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A OWNERSHIP STRUCTURE

A.1 Complete the following table on the company’s share capital:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of last modification</th>
<th>Share capital (€)</th>
<th>Number of shares</th>
<th>Number of voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>02/11/2010</td>
<td>4,164,561,049</td>
<td>8,329,122,098</td>
<td>8,329,122,098</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicate whether different types of shares exist with different associated rights:

Yes        No X

At 31 December 2010, the Bank’s share capital is represented by 8,329,122,098 shares of EUR 0.50 par value each.

All shares carry the same dividend and voting rights.

A.2 List the direct and indirect holders of significant ownership interests in your organisation at year-end, excluding directors:

Interests equal to or greater than 3% (*)

At 31 December 2010, the only shareholders with an interest greater than 3% appearing in the Company’s Shareholder Register were Chase Nominees Limited, State Street Bank & Trust, EC Nominees Ltd, Bank of New York Mellon, Guaranty Nominees Limited and Société Générale, with 10.24%, 9.52%, 6.45%, 5.05%, 3.73% and 3.28%, respectively.

However, the Company believes that such shares are held in custody on behalf of third parties, and to the Bank’s knowledge, none of the shareholders hold an interest of over 3% of the Bank’s share capital or voting rights.

- Significant influence on the Bank.

At 31 December 2010, the Bank is not aware of the existence of any other shareholder who has a number of shares that allows it to appoint a director, pursuant to Article 243.1 of the Companies Act (Ley de Sociedades Anónimas). This is the standard used to determine if a shareholder has significant influence on the Bank.

In fact, considering the current number of members of the board of directors (20), the percentage of share capital required to be entitled to appoint a director would be 5.00%.

Therefore, the Bank is not aware of the existence of shareholders with significant shareholdings at 31 December 2010.

Indicate the most significant movements in the shareholder structure during the fiscal year:

In the 2010 fiscal year, the Bank has undertaken two capital increases that were made effective on 7 October and 2 November in which 11,582,632 and 88,713,331 new shares were issued representing 0.139% and 1.065%, respectively, of the share capital of the Company at the close of 2010. The first increase was carried out to meet the conversion of 35,544 bonds with mandatory conversion (Valores Santander) and the second was part of the Santander Dividendo Elección programme.

A.3 Complete the following tables on company directors holding voting rights through company shares:

Below is a breakdown of the percentage interest that the directors hold or represent in the Bank’s share capital at 31 December 2010.

(*) Threshold stipulated, for the purposes of the annual corporate governance report, in Royal Decree 1362/2007, of October, 19.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Voting rights held directly</th>
<th>Voting rights held indirectly</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>8,191,268</td>
<td>151,922,027</td>
<td>2.146 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Fernando de Asúa Álvarez</td>
<td>61,135</td>
<td>50,641</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad</td>
<td>952,729</td>
<td>1,259,475</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Matías Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>967,600</td>
<td>162,222 (2)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Manuel Soto Serrano</td>
<td>61,500</td>
<td>384,752</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A.</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>56,089,940</td>
<td>0.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Basagoiti García-Tuñón</td>
<td>708,246</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>5,094,687</td>
<td>4,024,136</td>
<td>0.000 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>4,793,481</td>
<td>4,675,732</td>
<td>0.000 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lord Burns (Terence)</td>
<td>30,102</td>
<td>27,001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Guillermo de la Dehesa Romero</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Rodrigo Echenique Gordillo</td>
<td>658,758</td>
<td>9,398</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Escámez Torres</td>
<td>757,594</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Ángel Jado Becerro de Bengoa</td>
<td>1,877,500</td>
<td>4,950,000</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Luzón López</td>
<td>1,226,852</td>
<td>53,339</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Abel Matutes Juan</td>
<td>124,969</td>
<td>2,319,465</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Juan Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>1,267,626</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Luis Angel Rojo Duque</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Luis Alberto Salazar-Simpson Bios</td>
<td>253,205</td>
<td>5,713</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Isabel Tocino Biscarolasaga</td>
<td>39,860</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos is attributed the voting rights of 91,866,035 shares owned by the Marcelino Botín Foundation (1.10% of the share capital), 8,096,742 shares held by Mr Jaime Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos, 9,042,777 shares held by Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, 9,118,823 shares held by Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea and 9,469,213 shares held by Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea. Therefore, although the table above shows the direct and indirect ownership interests of each of the two last-mentioned directors of the Bank, these holdings, in the column relating to the percentage of total voting rights are calculated together with those belonging to or also represented by Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos.

(2) Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea has the status of an external proprietary director since he represents on the board 2.146% of the share capital relating to the holdings of the Marcelino Botín Foundation, Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos, Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, Mr Jaime Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos, Ms Paloma O’Shea Artiñano and his own holding.

(3) Mr Matías Rodríguez Inciarte holds the voting rights for 78,644 shares owned by his two sons.
The number of indirect shares listed below includes shares represented by proxy as well as shares that are indirectly owned:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Number of direct voting rights</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundación Marcelino Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y López</td>
<td>91,866,035</td>
<td>1.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simanicas, S.A.</td>
<td>5,266,945</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puentepeumar, S.L.</td>
<td>13,773,315</td>
<td>0.16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portejo San Miguel, S.A.</td>
<td>3,275,605</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latimer Inversiones, S.L.</td>
<td>19,570,900</td>
<td>0.23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bafimar, S.A.</td>
<td>536,200</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Jaime Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>8,096,742</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>5,094,687</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cronje S.L. Unipersonal</td>
<td>4,024,136</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>9,042,777</td>
<td>0.11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>4,793,481</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inversiones Zulu, S.L.</td>
<td>4,652,747</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Jorge Botín-Sanz de Sautuola Ríos</td>
<td>10,185</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola Ríos</td>
<td>12,800</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>169,956,555</td>
<td>2.04%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Name or corporate name of director**
Mr Fernando de Asúa Álvarez

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</th>
<th>Number of direct voting rights</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sualfer Inversiones SICAV, S.A.</td>
<td>50,641</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>50,641</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Name or corporate name of director**
Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</th>
<th>Number of direct voting rights</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liborne, S.L. (*)</td>
<td>1,259,475</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>1,259,475</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad donated his stake in the share capital of Liborne, S.L., but still has voting rights to the 1,259,475 shares of Banco Santander, S.A.

**Name or corporate name of director**
Mr Matías Rodríguez Inciarte

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</th>
<th>Number of direct voting rights</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood relatives</td>
<td>78,644</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cueto Calero SICAV, S.A.</td>
<td>83,578</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>162,222</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Name or corporate name of director**
Mr Manuel Soto Serrano

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</th>
<th>Number of direct voting rights</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spouse</td>
<td>40,650</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ace Global SICAV, S.A.</td>
<td>324,102</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>364,752</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</td>
<td>Number of direct voting rights</td>
<td>% of total voting rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generali Vie, S.A.</td>
<td>32,062,109</td>
<td>0.38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allianz Itoro S.p.A.</td>
<td>7,450,862</td>
<td>0.09%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generali España, S.A. de Seguros y Reaseguros</td>
<td>513,801</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generali Lebensversicherung AG</td>
<td>3,450,000</td>
<td>0.04%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generali IARD, S.A.</td>
<td>2,300,000</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AachenMünchener Lebensversicherung AG</td>
<td>2,283,750</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generali Versicherung AG</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cosmos Lebensversicherung AG</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECIB VIE</td>
<td>1,775,125</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generali Versicherung AG</td>
<td>1,624,270</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AachenMünchener Versicherung</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augusta Vita S.p.A.</td>
<td>130,000</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generali Belgium, S.A. (Belgium)</td>
<td>129,581</td>
<td>0.02%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generali Holding Vienna AG (Austria)</td>
<td>111,000</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generali Personenversicherungen AG (Switzerland)</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generali Assurances Générales (Switzerland)</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generali Worldwide Insurance Co. Ltd (Guernsey)</td>
<td>33,950</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generali International Ltd (Guernsey)</td>
<td>2,455</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europ Assistance Portugal, S.A. (Portugal)</td>
<td>2,437</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>56,089,940</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.67%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</th>
<th>Number of direct voting rights</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cronje S.L. Unipersonal</td>
<td>4,024,136</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,024,136</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.05%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</th>
<th>Number of direct voting rights</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inversiones Zulù, S.L.</td>
<td>4,652,747</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Jorge Botín-Sanz de Sautuola Ríos</td>
<td>10,185</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola Ríos</td>
<td>12,800</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>4,675,732</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.06%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</th>
<th>Number of direct voting rights</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pershing Keen</td>
<td>27,001</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>27,001</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</th>
<th>Number of direct voting rights</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spouse</td>
<td>9,398</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>9,398</strong></td>
<td><strong>0.00%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Complete the following tables on the members of the company’s board of directors that hold rights over company shares:

Performance shares plan, shares related to mandatory investment plan and plan of deferred and conditioned share distribution.

The general shareholders’ meeting of 23 June 2007 approved the first two cycles of the performance shares plan (plans I-09 and I-10) and the first cycle of the shares related to mandatory investment plan, relating to two programmes. Under the plans, Santander shares are delivered if certain requirements of permanence or changes in total shareholder return, earnings per share in the Bank or mandatory investment in the Bank are met, under the terms of their respective resolutions. Beneficiaries of said plans include executive directors of the Bank.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</th>
<th>Number of direct voting rights</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Ángel Jado Becerro de Bengoa</td>
<td>Inversiones Amilea, S.L.</td>
<td>1,112,500</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inversiones Daliel, S.L.</td>
<td>1,112,500</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inversiones Ilea, S.L.</td>
<td>1,112,500</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inversiones Elia, S.L.</td>
<td>1,112,500</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Matapegas, S.L.</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Muelle 6, S.L.</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4,950,000</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</th>
<th>Number of direct voting rights</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Luzón López</td>
<td>Cañabara Inversiones, SICAV, S.A.</td>
<td>53,339</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>53,339</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</th>
<th>Number of direct voting rights</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Abel Matutes Juan</td>
<td>Residencial Marina, S.L.</td>
<td>2,319,463</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>2,319,463</td>
<td>0.03%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Name or corporate name of the direct owner of the ownership interest</th>
<th>Number of direct voting rights</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Luis Alberto Salazar-Simpson Bos</td>
<td>C.I.U.V.A.S.A.</td>
<td>5,713</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5,713</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total % of share capital held by the board of directors 3.039%
Approval was given at the subsequent general shareholders’ meetings for the next cycles of the aforementioned plans, with certain modifications:

- The general shareholders’ meeting of 21 June 2008 approved the third cycle of a performance shares plan (Plan i-11) and the second cycle of the shares related to mandatory investment plan.
- The general shareholders’ meeting of 19 June 2009 approved the fourth cycle of the performance shares plan (Plan i-12) and the third cycle of the shares related to mandatory investment plan.
- The general shareholders’ meeting of 11 June 2010 approved the fifth cycle of a performance shares plan (Plan i-13) and the first cycle of the plan of deferred and conditioned share distribution. See section B1.14 of this report for a more detailed explanation of these remuneration schemes.

a) Performance shares plan:
The maximum number of shares to be awarded to each director under the cycles of this programme as at the date of this report (plans i-11, i-12 and i-13) is the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Plan i-11 (number of shares)</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
<th>Plan i-12 (number of shares)</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
<th>Plan i-13 (number of shares)</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>68,848</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>82,941</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>82,941</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad</td>
<td>189,628</td>
<td>0.002%</td>
<td>228,445</td>
<td>0.003%</td>
<td>228,445</td>
<td>0.003%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Matías Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>87,590</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>105,520</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>105,520</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea (1)</td>
<td>46,855</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>56,447</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>56,447</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Luzón López</td>
<td>77,083</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>92,862</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>92,862</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Juan Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>91,593</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>109,004</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>109,004</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The number of shares acquired by Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea in Plans i-11 and i-12 was approved by the Banesto general shareholder meeting of 24 February 2010.

b) Shares related to mandatory investment plan:
The numbers of shares acquired by each director under the three cycles of the shares related to Mandatory Investment Plan is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>1st cycle (number of shares acquired)</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
<th>2nd cycle (number of shares acquired)</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
<th>3rd cycle (number of shares acquired)</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>16,306</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>19,968</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>20,515</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad</td>
<td>37,324</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>47,692</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
<td>49,000</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Matías Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>20,195</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>25,159</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>25,849</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea (1)</td>
<td>13,610</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>16,956</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>18,446</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Luzón López</td>
<td>22,141</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>27,675</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>28,434</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Juan Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>14,617</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>14,738</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
<td>15,142</td>
<td>0.000%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) The number of Santander shares acquired by Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea as a beneficiary of the first cycle of this plan is in accordance with that which was agreed by both Banco Santander’s and Banesto’s general shareholders’ meetings of June, 23 and 27 2007, respectively. The number of shares corresponding to her under the second and third cycles of this plan was approved at Banesto’s general shareholders’ meeting held February, 24 2010.
c) Plan of deferred and conditioned share distribution:
The final number of shares acquired by each executive director under the first cycle of the plan of deferred and conditioned share distribution are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Executive directors</th>
<th>Number of shares</th>
<th>% of total voting rights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>94,345</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad</td>
<td>312,450</td>
<td>0.004%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Matías Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>135,188</td>
<td>0.002%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>91,187(1)</td>
<td>0.013%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Luzón Lopez</td>
<td>154,981</td>
<td>0.002%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Juan Rodriguez Inciarte</td>
<td>61,386</td>
<td>0.001%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Accrual of this deferred remuneration in shares is conditioned upon the permanence of the beneficiary in the Group, and the absence, in the judgment of the board, at the proposal of the appointments and remuneration committee, of any of the following circumstances during the period: poor financial performance of Group; (ii) non-compliance by the beneficiary of internal rules, particularly with regard to risks; (iii) a material restatement of the financial statements of the Group, except when appropriate pursuant to a modification of accounting rules, or (iv) significant changes in capital and the qualitative assessment of risks.

A.4 Indicate, as applicable, any family, commercial, contractual or corporate relationships between owners of significant shareholdings, insofar as these are known by the company, unless they are insignificant or arise from ordinary trading or exchange activities:

Does not apply, as there are no owners of significant shareholdings, as indicated in section A.2 above.

A.5 Indicate, as applicable, any commercial, contractual or corporate relationships between owners of significant shareholdings, and the company and/or its group, unless they are insignificant or arise from ordinary trading or exchange activities:

Does not apply, as there are no owners of significant shareholdings, as indicated in section A.2 above.

A.6 Indicate whether any shareholders’ agreements have been notified to the company pursuant to Article 112 of the Securities’ Market Act (Ley del Mercado de Valores). Provide a brief description and list the shareholders bound by the agreement, as applicable:

Yes X No

In February 2006, three directors, together with other shareholders of the Bank, entered into a shareholder agreement that was notified to the Bank and to the Spanish Securities Markets Commission (CNMV). The document witnessing the aforementioned agreement was filed at both the CNMV Registry and the Cantabria Mercantile Registry.

The agreement, which was signed by Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos, Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, Mr Francisco Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, Simancas, S.A., Puente San Miguel, S.A. Puertepumar, S.L., Latimer Inversiones, S.L. and Cronje, S.L. Unipersonal, provides for the syndication of the Bank shares held by the signatories to the agreement or whose voting rights have been granted to them.

The aim pursued by virtue of the syndication agreement, the restrictions established on the free transferability of the shares and the regulated exercise of the voting rights inherent thereto is to ensure, at all times, the concerted representation and actions of the syndicate members as shareholders of the Bank, for the purpose of developing a lasting, stable common policy and an effective, unitary presence and representation in the Bank’s corporate bodies.

At the date of execution of the agreement, the syndicate comprised a total of 44,396,513 shares of the Bank (0.533% of its share capital at 2010 year-end). In addition, as established in clause one of the shareholders’ agreement, the syndication extends, solely with respect to the exercise of the voting rights, to other Bank shares held either directly or indirectly by the signatories, or whose voting rights are assigned to them, in the future. Accordingly, at 31 December 2010, a further 34,342,041 shares (0.412% of share capital) were included in the syndicate.

At any given time, the chairman of the syndicate is the person then presiding over the Marcelino Botín Foundation, currently Mr Emilio Botín Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos.
The members of the syndicate undertake to syndicate and pool the voting and other political rights inherent to the syndicated shares, so that these rights may be exercised and, in general, the syndicate members heading the Bank may act in a concerted manner, in accordance with the instructions and indications and with the voting criteria and orientation, necessarily unitary, issued by the syndicate, and, for this purpose, the representation of these shares is attributed to the chairman of the syndicate as the common representative of its members.

Except for transactions carried out in favour of other members of the syndicate or in favour of the Marcelino Botín Foundation, prior authorisation must be granted from the syndicate assembly, which may freely approve or refuse permission for the planned transfer.

**Indicate whether the company is aware of the existence of any concerted actions among its shareholders: Give a brief description as applicable:**

Yes X No

These have been described above.

Expressly indicate any amendments to or termination of such agreements or concerted actions during the year. Not applicable.

**A.7 Indicate whether any individuals or bodies corporate currently exercise control or could exercise control over the company pursuant to Article 4 of the Securities Market Act (Ley del Mercado de Valores): If so, identify:**

None.

**A.8 Complete the following tables on the Company’s treasury shares:**

**At year end:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of direct shares</th>
<th>Number of indirect shares (*)</th>
<th>% of total capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11,784</td>
<td>22,292,972</td>
<td>0.268%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Through (see next table):

**Gain/(loss) from treasury stock transactions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date notified</th>
<th>Total number of direct shares acquired</th>
<th>Total number of indirect shares acquired</th>
<th>% of total share capital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18/01/2010</td>
<td>29,686,283</td>
<td>54,541,304</td>
<td>1.030%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03/02/2010</td>
<td>33,256,384</td>
<td>104,402,357</td>
<td>1.674%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/02/2010</td>
<td>33,092,012</td>
<td>51,481,732</td>
<td>1.029%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23/06/2010</td>
<td>19,532,182</td>
<td>68,393,233</td>
<td>1.019%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/08/2010</td>
<td>58,966,083</td>
<td>42,000,944</td>
<td>1.226%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23/06/2010</td>
<td>25,250,488</td>
<td>60,738,172</td>
<td>1.046%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/08/2010</td>
<td>31,660,365</td>
<td>94,636,023</td>
<td>1.530%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05/10/2010</td>
<td>34,330,847</td>
<td>48,639,845</td>
<td>1.014%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/11/2010</td>
<td>23,261,022</td>
<td>63,155,244</td>
<td>1.033%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pursuant to Article 40 of Royal Decree 1362/2007, a communication is made to the Spanish Securities Markets Commission (CNMV) on the percentage of voting rights held by the Bank when acquisitions of treasury stock are made, individually or in a series of transactions, since the last communication and exceeding 1% of the Company’s voting rights.

**Gain/(loss) from treasury stock transactions:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date notified</th>
<th>Gain/(loss) (in thousand €)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18/01/2010</td>
<td>-18,429 (*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) The after tax net proceeds generated by transactions in shares issued by the Bank in 2010 (EUR 18,429 thousand in losses) were recognised as equity.

**A.9 Give details of the applicable conditions and time periods governing any resolutions of the general shareholders’ meeting authorising the board of directors to acquire and/or transfer treasury shares:**

The authorisation for treasury stock transactions made in 2010 was provided by resolution no. 5 adopted at the annual general meeting held on 19 June 2009, and by resolution no. 5 adopted at the annual general meeting of 11 June 2010.

Resolution no. 5 adopted at the annual general meeting of 19 June 2009 stipulates the following:

1) “To deprive of effect, to the extent of the unused amount, the authorization granted by the shareholders acting at the ordinary General Shareholders’ Meeting of 21 June 2008 for the derivative acquisition of shares of the Bank by the Bank and the Subsidiaries comprising the Group.

2) To grant express authorization for the Bank and the subsidiaries comprising the Group to acquire shares representing the capital stock of the Bank for any valuable consideration permitted by Law, within the limits of and subject to any legal requirements, up to a maximum limit – including the shares they already hold – of a number of shares equivalent to 5 percent of the capital stock existing at any given time, or to such greater percentage as may be established by Law during the effectiveness of this authorization, which shares shall be fully paid-in, at a minimum price per share equal to the par value and a maximum price of up to 3 percent over the last listing price for transactions in which the Bank does not act for its own account on the Electronic Market of the Spanish Stock Exchanges (including the block market) prior to the acquisition in question. This authorisation can only be exercised within 18 months from the date on which the
Resolution no. 5 adopted at the annual general meeting of 11 June 2010 stipulates the following:

I) “To deprive of effect, to the extent of the unused amount, the authorization granted by the shareholders acting at the ordinary General Shareholders’ Meeting of June 19, 2009 for the derivative acquisition of shares of the Bank by the Bank and the Subsidiaries comprising the Group.”

II) To grant express authorization for the Bank and the subsidiaries comprising the Group to acquire shares representing the capital stock of the Bank for any valuable consideration permitted by Law, within the limits of and subject to any legal requirements, up to a maximum limit – including the shares they already hold – of a number of shares equivalent to 10 percent of the capital stock existing at any given time, or to such greater percentage as may be established by Law during the effectiveness of this authorization, which shares shall be fully paid-in, at a minimum price per share equal to the par value and a maximum price of up to 3 percent over the last listing price for transactions in which the Bank does not act for its own account on the Electronic Market of the Spanish Stock Exchanges (including the block market) prior to the acquisition in question. This authorisation can only be exercised within 18 months from the date on which the general shareholders’ meeting is held. The authorisation includes the acquisition of shares, if any, that must be conveyed directly to the employees and directors of the Company, or that must be conveyed as a result of the exercise of the options they hold.”

Treasury Stock Policy
The Bank’s board of directors, at its meeting on 11 June 2010 following the General Shareholders’ Meeting of 2010, unanimously approved the following regulation of the treasury stock policy:

1. Transactions involving the purchase and sale of treasury stock by the company or other companies dominated by it will conform, in the first place, to the provisions established by current ruling and by the resolutions of the general shareholders’ meeting in such respect.

2. Treasury stock trading will be used to:
   a. Provide liquidity or a supply of securities, as appropriate, in the market where the Bank’s shares are traded, giving depth to such market and minimising any temporary imbalances between supply and demand.
   b. Take advantage, to the benefit of shareholders, of situations of share price weakness in relation to medium-term performance.

3. Treasury stock trading will be undertaken by the Department of Investments and Holdings, as a separate isolated area protected by the respective Chinese walls, so as not to have any insider or material information at its disposal.

In order to know the market situation of the Bank’s shares, such Department may collect data from the market members it considers appropriate, although ordinary trades in the continuous market should only be executed through one of such members, reporting such fact to the CNMV.

No other Group unit will undertake treasury stock trading, the only exception being that stated in paragraph 9 below.

4. Treasury stock trading will be subject to the following general rules:
   a. It will not be done for the purpose of intervening in the free formation of prices.
   b. It may not take place if the unit responsible for executing the trade is in possession of insider or material information.
   c. Where applicable, the execution will be permitted of share repurchase and acquisition programmes to cover Bank or Group obligations arising from stock option plans, the handingover of shares or other similar plans, or the issuing of securities convertible into or exchangeable for shares.

5. Orders to buy should be made at a price not higher than the greater of the following two:
   - The price of the last trade carried out in the market by independent persons.
   - The highest price contained in a buy order of the orders book.

Orders to sell should be made at a price not lower than the lesser of the following two:
   - The price of the last trade carried out in the market by independent persons.
   - The lowest price contained in a buy order of the orders book.

6. As a general rule, treasury stock operations will not exceed 25% of the traded volume of the Bank’s shares on the continuous market.

In exceptional circumstances, such limit may be exceeded. In such case, the Investment and Holdings Department should inform such situation at the next meeting of the executive committee.

7. Treasury stock trading operations should adhere to the following time limits:
   a. During the adjustment period, the marking of price tendencies by buy or sell orders shall be avoided.
   b. All trading operations involving treasury stock will be carried out during normal trading hours, except for exceptional operations in line with any of the cases envisaged for carrying out special operations.
8. The rules contained in paragraphs 3 (paragraph two), 5, 6 and 7 above will not be applicable to treasury stock operations undertaken on the block trading market.

9. As envisaged in paragraph 3, Group units other than the Department of Investments and Holdings may acquire treasury stock in the implementation of market risk hedging activity or when providing brokerage or hedging for customers. The rules contained in paragraphs 2, 4 (subparagraph c), 5, 6 and 7 above will not be applicable to such activities.

10. The executive committee will receive regular information on treasury stock activity.

Furthermore, whenever trades of certain volume or other characteristics are proposed, and in any event, if they affect more than 0.5% of the share capital, the head of such department should consult the chairman or the managing director.

For their part, directors verify in every meeting of the board of directors that the requirements have been fulfilled for the acquisition of treasury shares established in Article 75.3 of the Public Limited Liability Companies Act1, pursuant to the new wording provided in Law 3/2009. “

In any event, treasury stock transactions may not be used as a form of safeguarding.

A.10 Indicate, as applicable, any restrictions imposed by Law or the Bylaws on exercising voting rights, as well as any legal restrictions on the acquisition or transfer of ownership interests in the share capital:

Indicate whether there are any legal restrictions on exercising voting rights:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Maximum percentage of legal restrictions on voting rights a shareholder can exercise: Not applicable

Indicate whether there are any restrictions included in the bylaws on exercising voting rights:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Maximum percentage of restrictions under the company’s bylaws on voting rights a shareholder can exercise: Not applicable

There are no bylaw restrictions on the exercise of voting rights as such.

The first paragraph of Article 26.1 of the Company Bylaws stipulates the following:

“The holders of any number of shares registered in their name in the respective book entry registry five days prior to the date on which the general shareholders’ meeting is to be held and who are current in the payment of capital calls shall be entitled to attend general shareholders’ meetings. “

The internal regulations of the Bank do not provide exceptions to the one-vote-per-share rule.

The first paragraph of Article 35.2 of the Bylaws stipulates:

“The attendees at the general shareholders’ meeting shall have one vote for each share which they hold or represent. Non-voting shares shall have the right to vote in the specific cases laid down in the Business Corporations Law. “

Indicate if there are any legal restrictions on the acquisition or transfer of share capital:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Description of legal restrictions on the acquisition or transfer of share capital

There are no bylaw-stipulated restrictions on the transfer of shares.

However, Articles 57 and 58 of Law 26/1988, of July 29, on Discipline and Intervention of Credit Institutions provide that any acquisition of a significant ownership interest in a credit institution must be previously notified to the Bank of Spain.

In certain circumstances the Bank of Spain has a right to object to the acquisition planned.

A.11 Indicate whether the general shareholders’ meeting has agreed to take neutralisation measures to prevent a public takeover bid by virtue of the provisions of Act 6/2007.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

1. Now Article 146.3 of the Corporate Enterprises Act.
B. COMPANY MANAGEMENT STRUCTURE

B.1 BOARD OF DIRECTORS

B.1.1 List the maximum and minimum number of directors included in the bylaws:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Maximum number of directors</th>
<th>22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum number of directors</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Complete the following table with board members’ details:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Representative</th>
<th>Position on the board</th>
<th>Date of first appointment</th>
<th>Date of last appointment</th>
<th>Election procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
<td>07.04.1960</td>
<td>06.21.2008</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Fernando de Asua Álvarez</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>First vice chairman</td>
<td>04.17.1999</td>
<td>06.11.2010</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Second vice chairman</td>
<td>07.11.1994</td>
<td>06.11.2010</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Matías Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Third vice chairman</td>
<td>10.07.1988</td>
<td>06.19.2009</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Manuel Soto Serrano</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Fourth vice chairman</td>
<td>04.17.1999</td>
<td>06.19.2009</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A.</td>
<td>Antoine Bernheim</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>04.17.1999</td>
<td>06.23.2007</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Basagoti García-Tufin</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>07.26.1999</td>
<td>06.23.2007</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>02.04.1989</td>
<td>06.17.2006</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>07.25.2004</td>
<td>06.11.2010</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lord Burns (Terence)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>12.20.2004</td>
<td>06.17.2006</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Guillermo de la Dehesa Romero</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>06.24.2002</td>
<td>06.19.2009</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Rodrigo Echenique Gordillo</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>10.07.1988</td>
<td>06.17.2006</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Esclámez Torres</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>04.17.1999</td>
<td>06.23.2007</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Ángel Jado Becerro de Bengoa</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>06.11.2010</td>
<td>06.11.2010</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Luzón López</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>03.22.1997</td>
<td>06.23.2007</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Abel Matutes Juan</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>06.24.2002</td>
<td>06.19.2009</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Juan Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>01.28.2008</td>
<td>06.21.2008</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Luis Ángel Roca Duque</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>04.25.2005</td>
<td>06.21.2008</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Luis Alberto Salazar-Simpson Bos</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>04.17.1999</td>
<td>06.21.2008</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Isabel Tocino Biscoralasaga</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>03.26.2007</td>
<td>06.11.2010</td>
<td>Annual general meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Representative: N/A: Not applicable.)

Total number of directors: Twenty

The Company believes that this number of directors is appropriate to ensure proper representation and the effective operation of the board of directors, in compliance with Article 7.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the board of directors(*).

The appointments and remuneration committee, at its meeting on 21 April 2010, proposed the appointment of Ángel Jado Becerro de Bengoa as external independent director and, after analyzing the performance of their duties, the reappointment of Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad, Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, Mr Fernando de Asua Álvarez and Ms Isabel Tocino Biscoralasaga as executive director in the first case and external proprietary director in the second case and external independent directors in the other two.

The proposals by the appointments and remuneration committee were approved by the board of directors and the general shareholders’ meetings of 26 April and 11 June 2010, respectively.

Further, the appointments and remuneration committee, in its meeting on 14 December 2010, proposed the appointment of Rodrigo Echenique Gordillo as a member of the audit and compliance committee, a proposal which was approved by the board of directors at its meeting of 20 December 2010.

(*) The Bylaws and Rules and Regulations of the Board of Banco Santander are published on the Group’s website www.santander.com.
Indicate any board members who left during this period:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Status of the director at the time</th>
<th>Leaving date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.1.3 Complete the following tables on board members and their respective categories:

**Executive directors**

Pursuant to the provisions of Article 6.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, executive directors shall be deemed to be the chairman, the managing director(s), and all other directors who perform management duties within the Company or the Group and do not limit their activity to the duties of supervision and collective decision-making falling upon the directors, including, in all events, those directors who, through the delegation of their powers, stable proxy-granting, or a contractual, employment or services relationship with the Company other than that inherent in their mere capacity as directors, have any decision-making capacity in connection with any part of the business of the Company or the Group. Therefore, the following are executive directors of the Company:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Committee proposing appointment</th>
<th>Post held in the company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>Appointments and remuneration</td>
<td>Executive chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad</td>
<td>Appointments and remuneration</td>
<td>Second vice chairman and chief executive officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Matías Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>Appointments and remuneration</td>
<td>Third vice chairman and head of the risks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>Appointments and remuneration</td>
<td>CEO of Santander UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Luzón López</td>
<td>Appointments and remuneration</td>
<td>Executive vice president in charge of the America division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Juan Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>Appointments and remuneration</td>
<td>Executive vice president in strategy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of executive directors</th>
<th>% of the board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**External proprietary director**

Since 2002, the criterion followed by the board and committee as a necessary but not sufficient condition for designation or consideration as an external proprietary director – as expressly stipulated in Article 6.2. b) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board- is the holding of at least 1% of the Bank’s share capital. This percentage has been fixed by the Company within its powers of self-regulation and does not coincide, nor does it need to coincide with Article 243.1 of the Spanish Companies Act (Ley de Sociedades Anónimas) (see section A.2 above). The Bank understands that 1% is sufficient to be able to classify all directors with a percentage interest equal to or higher than this as proprietary directors. Article 243.1 of the Spanish Companies Act (Ley de Sociedades Anónimas) is used to determine the level of percentage interest needed to have the legal right to appoint a director.

**External independent directors**

The board of directors deems that all directors are independent directors, a classification that is based on the solvency, integrity and professionalism of each director and not on compliance with certain requirements.

However, the Company incorporates the concept of independent director in the definition provided in Article 6.2 c) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board established in the Unified Code.

Article 6.2.c) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:

“External or non-executive directors are considered those who have been appointed based on their personal or professional status and who perform duties not conditioned by relationships with the company, or with the significant shareholders or management thereof shall be considered independent directors.

In no event may there be a classification as independent directors of those who:

i) Have been employees or executive directors of the Group’s companies, except after the passage of 3 or 5 years, respectively, since the cessation of such relationship.

ii) Receive from the Company, or from another Group company, any amount or benefit for something other than director compensation, unless it is immaterial.

---

* As of the date of this report, neither the Ministry of Economy and Treasury nor the CNMV have established definitions of executive director, proprietary director and independent director to be used in the annual corporate governance report pursuant to Article 61.7 of the Securities Market Act (Ley del Mercado de Valores) in the wording provided by Law 2/2011.
For purposes of the provisions of this sub-section, neither dividends nor pension supplements that a director receives by reason of the director’s prior professional or employment relationship shall be taken into account, provided that such supplements are unconditional and therefore, the Company paying them may not suspend, modify or revoke the accrual thereof without breaching its obligations.

iii) Are, or have been during the preceding three years, a partner of the external auditor or the party responsible for auditing the Company or any other Group company during such a period.

iv) Are executive directors or senior managers of another company in which an executive director or senior manager of the Company is an external director.

v) Maintain, or have maintained during the last year, a significant business relationship with the Company or with any Group company, whether in their own name or as a significant shareholder, director or senior manager of an entity that maintains or has maintained such relationship.

Business relationships shall be considered the relationships of a provider of goods or services, including financial services, and those of an adviser or consultant.

vi) Are significant shareholders, executive directors or senior managers of an entity that receives, or has received during the preceding three years, significant donations from the Company or the Group.

Those who are merely members of the board of a foundation that receives donations shall not be considered included in this item.

vii) Are spouses, persons connected by a similar relationship of affection, or relatives to the second degree of an executive director or senior manager of the Company.

viii) Have not been proposed, whether for appointment or for renewal, by the appointments and remuneration committee.

ix) Are, as regards a significant shareholder or shareholder represented on the board, in one of the circumstances set forth in items i), v), vi) or vii) of this sub-section 2(c). In the event of a kinship relationship set forth in item (vii), the limitation shall apply not only with respect to the shareholder, but also with respect to the related proprietary directors thereof in the affiliated company.”

In view of this definition, and taking into consideration the circumstances of each case and the prior notification of the appointments and remuneration committee, the board considers the following board members to be external independent directors:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Committee proposing appointment</th>
<th>Profile (*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Fernando de Asúa Álvarez</td>
<td>Appointments and remuneration</td>
<td>Born in 1932 in Madrid. Became a member of the board in 1999. Degree in Economics and Information Technology, post-graduate in Business Administration and Mathematics. Other relevant positions: formerly chairman of IBM España where he is currently honorary chairman. Non-executive director of Técnicas Reunidas, S.A.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Manuel Soto Serrano</td>
<td>Appointments and remuneration</td>
<td>Born in 1940 in Madrid. Became a member of the board in 1999. Degree in Economics and Business Administration. Other relevant positions: non-executive vice chairman of Indra Sistemas, S.A. and a non-executive director of Cartera Industrial REA, S.A. He is also the president of the board of advisors of Mercapital, S.L. and non executive board member for Grupo Lar Inversiones Inmobiliarias, S.A. He has also been chairman of the Global Board of Directors of Arthur Andersen and Director of Europe, Middle East, India and Africa (EMEIA) of the same company.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Abel Matutes Juan</td>
<td>Appointments and remuneration</td>
<td>Born in 1941 in Ibiza. Became a member of the board in 2002. Degree in Law and Economics. Main activity: chairman of Grupo de Empresas Matutes. Other relevant positions: He has been Minister of Foreign Affairs, and EU Commissioner, holding the positions of Credit and Investment, Financial Engineering and Policy for Small and Medium-Sized Companies (1989), North-South Relations, Mediterranean Policy and Relations with Latin America and Asia (1989), and Transport, Energy and Supply Agency for Euroatom (1993). He is chairman of Fiesta Hotels &amp; Resorts, S.L., non-executive director of FCC Construcción, S.A., and an external member of the supervisory board of TUI, A.G.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Luis Ángel Rojo Duque</td>
<td>Appointments and remuneration</td>
<td>Born in 1934 in Madrid. Became a member of the board in 2005. Degree in Law. Doctorate in Economics, State Economist and honorary doctorates from the universities of Alcalá de Henares and Alicante. Other relevant positions: in the Bank of Spain he was head of the Research Department, Deputy Governor and Governor. He has been a member of the Governing Council of the European Central Bank, vice chairman of the European Monetary Institute, a member of the Planning and Development Committee of the United Nations and Treasurer of the International Association of Economists. He is a member of the Royal Academy of Moral and Political Sciences and of the Spanish Royal Academy of Language.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Isabel Tocino Biscarolasaga</td>
<td>Appointments and remuneration</td>
<td>Born in 1949 in Santander. Became a member of the Board in 2007. Main activity: Professor at the Complutense University of Madrid. Doctor in Law. She has undertaken graduate studies in business administration at IESE and Harvard. Other relevant positions: former Minister for Environment of the Spanish Government, former chairwoman of the European Affairs and of the Foreign Affairs Committees of Spanish Congress and former chairwoman for Spain and Portugal and former vice-chairwoman for Europe of Siebel Systems. At present, she is also an elected member of the Spanish State Council, an external director of Televisión Autonómica de Madrid, S.A. (Telemadrid), Diagonal Gest, S.L. and Kikuva, S.A. and member of the Royal Academy of Doctors.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total number of independent directors: 10

% of the Board: 50%

(*) Unless otherwise indicated, the main activity of the directors listed in this section is that carried out at the Bank.
Other external directors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Committee proposing appointment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A.</td>
<td>Appointments and remuneration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Basagoiti García-Tuñón</td>
<td>Appointments and remuneration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lord Burns (Terence)</td>
<td>Appointments and remuneration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total number of other external directors</th>
<th>% of the board</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

List the reasons why these cannot be considered proprietary or independent directors and detail their relationships with the company, its executives or shareholders:

Lord Burns is an external non-proprietary director. As he currently receives remuneration as a member of the board of directors of Group subsidiaries Santander UK plc and Alliance & Leicester plc. The board of directors, based on a report by the appointments and remuneration committee, deems that he cannot be classified as an independent director.

Mr Antonio Basagoiti García-Tuñón is in the same situation. As a non-executive chairman of Banesto, he receives remuneration separately from his remuneration as a director of Banco Santander.

In addition, having reduced its ownership interest in the share capital of the Bank to below the threshold of 1%, the minimum required under Article 6.2b) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, in the opinion of the Board, based on a report by the appointments and remuneration committee, the non-executive director Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A. cannot be classified as a proprietary director. Nor can it be deemed to be independent due to the presence of an executive director of Banco Santander on its managing body.

List any changes in the category of each director which have occurred during the year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Date of change</th>
<th>Previous category</th>
<th>Current category (*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A.</td>
<td>31/12/2010</td>
<td>External proprietary director</td>
<td>Other external director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Basagoiti García-Tuñón</td>
<td>31/12/2010</td>
<td>Independent director</td>
<td>Other external director</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.1.4 Explain, when applicable, the reasons why Proprietary directors have been appointed upon the request of shareholders who hold less than 5% of the share capital:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of shareholder</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O'Shea</td>
<td>The criteria to appoint external proprietary directors representing shareholders who hold less than 5% of the capital has been described in section B.1.3.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.1.5 Indicate whether any director has resigned from office before their term of office has expired, whether that director has given the board his/her reasons and through which channel. If made in writing to the whole board, list below the reasons given by that director:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of director</th>
<th>Reasons for resignation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>Executive chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad</td>
<td>Chief executive officer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.1.6 Indicate what powers, if any, have been delegated to the chief executive officer.

The executive chairman and the chief executive officer, without prejudice to the statutory status of the hierarchical superiority in the Bank of the chairman, have been delegated the same powers as follows:

The powers of the board of directors, except for those not delegable by law and those listed below, classified as not delegable in the Rules and Regulations of the Board:

(a) Approval of the general policies and strategies of the Company, particularly:

(i) Strategic plans, management targets and annual budget;
(ii) Dividend and treasury stock policy;
(iii) General risk management policy;
(iv) Corporate governance policy;
(v) Corporate social responsibility policy.
(b) Approval of the policies for the provision of information to and for communication with the shareholders, the markets and the public opinion.

(c) Approval of the financial information that the Company must make public on a periodic basis.

(d) Approval of transactions entailing the acquisition and disposition of substantial assets of the Company and major corporate transactions, when it is the duty of the board.

(e) Approval, within the framework of the provisions of Article 58 of the Bylaws, of the remuneration to which each director is entitled.

(f) Approval of the contracts governing the performance by directors of duties other than those of a mere director and the compensation to which they are entitled for the performance of additional duties.

(g) The appointment, remuneration and, if applicable, removal of the members of the senior management, as well as the definition of the basic terms of their contracts.

(h) Control of management activities and evaluation of managers.

(i) Authorisation for the creation or acquisition of shareholdings in special purpose entities or entities domiciled in countries or territories that are considered to be tax havens.

(j) And those of the board in relation to its composition and functioning, the remuneration and duties of directors, the contracting of technical advisers and board relations with shareholders, markets and the financial auditor”.

B.1.7 List the Directors, if any, who hold office as directors or executives in other companies belonging to the listed Company’s group:

The directors who are managers or directors of other Group companies are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Name or corporate name of the group</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>Santander Investment, S.A.</td>
<td>Chairman (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad</td>
<td>Universia Holding, S.L.</td>
<td>Chairman (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Martín Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>Banco Español de Crédito, S.A.</td>
<td>Director (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Basagotti García-Tufón</td>
<td>Banco Español de Crédito, S.A.</td>
<td>Chairman (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>Santander UK plc</td>
<td>CEO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lord Burns (Terence)</td>
<td>Santander UK plc</td>
<td>Chairman (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Rodrigo Echenique Gordillo</td>
<td>Banco Banif, S.A.</td>
<td>Second vice chairman (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Escámez Torres</td>
<td>Santander Consumer Finance, S.A.</td>
<td>Chairman (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Angel Jado Becerro de Bengoa</td>
<td>Banco Banif, S.A.</td>
<td>Director (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Luzón López Inciarte</td>
<td>Grupo Financiero Santander, S.A. de C.V.</td>
<td>Director (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Juan Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>Santander UK plc</td>
<td>Vice chairman (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Fernando de Asúa Alvarez</td>
<td>Técnicas Reunidas, S.A.</td>
<td>External vice chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Mattias Rodriguez Inciarte</td>
<td>Financiera Ponferrada, S.A., SICAV</td>
<td>External director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Manuel Soto Serrano</td>
<td>Indra Sistemas, S.A.</td>
<td>External vice chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Basagotti García-Tufón</td>
<td>Pescanova, S.A.</td>
<td>External director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Guillermo de la Dehesa Romero</td>
<td>Faes Farmas, S.A.</td>
<td>External vice chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Luizón López</td>
<td>Campofrío Food Group, S.A.</td>
<td>External director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Basagotti García-Tufón</td>
<td>Konecta Activos Inmobiliarios, S.L.</td>
<td>Vice chairman (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Escámez Torres</td>
<td>Grupo Konektanet, S.L.</td>
<td>Vice chairman (*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) Non executive.

For the purpose of this table, the concept of Group under Article 4 of the Securities Market Act (Ley del Mercado de Valores) is used.

B.1.8 List any company board members who likewise sit on the boards of directors of other non-group companies that are listed on official securities markets in Spain, insofar as these have been disclosed to the company:

Details relating to the type of position held by the Bank’s directors are included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Name of listed company</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Basagotti García-Tufón</td>
<td>Pescanova, S.A.</td>
<td>External director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Guillermo de la Dehesa Romero</td>
<td>Faes Farmas, S.A.</td>
<td>External vice chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Luizón López</td>
<td>Campofrío Food Group, S.A.</td>
<td>External director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Basagotti García-Tufón</td>
<td>Konecta Activos Inmobiliarios, S.L.</td>
<td>Vice chairman (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Basagotti García-Tufón</td>
<td>Grupo Konektanet, S.L.</td>
<td>Vice chairman (*)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the purpose of this table, the concept of Group under Article 4 of the Securities Market Act (Ley del Mercado de Valores) is used.
B.1.9 Indicate and, where appropriate, explain whether the company has established rules about the number of boards on which its directors may sit:

Yes ☒ No ☒

Explanation of the rules: The maximum number of boards to which directors may belong as stipulated in Article 30 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board shall be governed by the provisions of Law 31/1968, of 27 July.

B.1.10 In relation with Recommendation 8 of the Unified Code, indicate the company’s general policies and strategies that are reserved for approval by the board of directors in plenary session:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy/Strategy</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Investment and financing policy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Design of the structure of the corporate group</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate Governance Policy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corporate social responsibility policy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The strategic or business plans, management targets and annual budgets</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk control and management, and the periodic monitoring of internal information and control systems</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dividend policy, as well as the policies and limits applying to treasury stock</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Article 3.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board stipulates the following:

“Without prejudice to the foregoing, the policy adopted by the Board consists of delegating the day-to-day management of the Company to the executive bodies and the management team and focusing its activity on the general supervisory function, assuming and discharging per se, without the power of delegation, the responsibilities entailed in this function and, in particular, those set forth below:

a) Approval of the general policies and strategies of the Company, particularly:

(i) Strategic plans, management targets and annual budget;
(ii) Dividend and treasury stock policy;
(iii) General risk management policy;
(iv) Corporate governance policy;
(v) Corporate social responsibility policy.

(b) Approval of the policies for the provision of information to and for communication with the shareholders, the markets and the public opinion. The Board assumes the duty to provide the markets with prompt, accurate and reliable information, especially in connection with the shareholding structure, any substantial amendments to the rules of governance, related party transactions of particular importance and treasury stock.

(c) Approval of the financial information that the Company must make public on a periodic basis.

(d) Approval of transactions entailing the acquisition and disposition of substantial assets of the Company and major corporate transactions, unless such approval must be given by the shareholders at a general shareholders’ meeting, pursuant to the provisions of Article 20 of the Bylaws.

(e) Approval, within the framework of the provisions of Article 58 of the Bylaws, of the remuneration to which each director is entitled.

(f) Approval of the contracts governing the performance by directors of duties other than those of a mere director and the compensation to which they are entitled for the performance of additional duties, whether they are the duties of an executive director or otherwise, other than the duties of supervision and collective decision-making that they discharge in their capacity as mere members of the board.

(g) The appointment, compensation and, if applicable, removal of the members of the senior management, as well as the definition of the basic terms of their contracts. Approval of their remuneration and that of any other members not part of senior management with significant remuneration, particularly variable remuneration, and whose activities may have a significant impact on the assumption of risks by the Group.

(h) Control of management activities and evaluation of managers

(i) Authorisation for the creation or acquisition of shareholdings in special purpose entities or entities domiciled in countries or territories that are considered to be tax havens.

(j) And all others specifically provided for in these rules and regulations.

The powers set forth in paragraphs (c), (d), (e), (f), (g) and (i) may be exercised by the executive committee on an emergency basis, with a subsequent report thereof to the board at the first meeting thereafter held by it.”

B.1.11 Complete the following tables on the aggregate remuneration paid to directors during the year:

Note 5 to the Group’s financial statements contains an individual breakdown on director remuneration, including that received by executive directors.

Please also see the appointments and remuneration committee report for 2010, which is jointly distributed with the Santander Group Annual Report.

However, the following information conforms to that specified in Circular 4/2007 of the CNMV:

a) In the reporting company:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>In thousand Euros</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed remuneration</td>
<td>10,754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable remuneration</td>
<td>11,917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>By-law stipulated compensation (annual allotment)</td>
<td>4,697</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attendance fees</td>
<td>1,386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others, except life insurance premiums</td>
<td>878</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>29,632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Share options and/or other financial instruments (1)</td>
<td>3,757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>33,389</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Amount of deferred variable remuneration received in 2010 in shares delivered under the Plan approved at the general shareholders’ meeting held on 23 June 2007. See section A.3 of this report for more information on this plan.
b) For company directors sitting on other governing bodies and/or holding senior management posts within group companies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post</th>
<th>Name or corporate name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>America</td>
<td>Mr Marcial Portela Álvarez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal Audit</td>
<td>Mr Jesús Mª. Zabalza Lotina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Wholesale Banking</td>
<td>Mr Adolfo Lagos Espinosa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global Private Banking and Asset Management and Insurance</td>
<td>Mr Javier Marín Romano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banesto</td>
<td>Mr José García Cantera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications, Corporate Marketing and Studies</td>
<td>Mr Juan Manuel Cendoya Méndez de Vigo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>Mr Jorge Morán Sanchez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Juan Andrés Yanes Luciani</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance and Investor Relations</td>
<td>Mr José Antonio Álvarez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Accounting and Control</td>
<td>Mr José Manuel López Borrazo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Resources</td>
<td>Mr José Luis Gómez Alcántara</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santander España Network</td>
<td>Mr Enrique García Cardellos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk</td>
<td>Mr Javier Peralta de las Heras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr José María Espí Martínez</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consumer Finance</td>
<td>Ms Magda Salanch Hernández de Valderrama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santander Totta</td>
<td>Mr Nuno Manuel da Silva Amado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santander UK</td>
<td>Mr José María Nus Badía</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Secretariat</td>
<td>Mr Ignacio Benjumea Cabeza de Vaca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology and Operations</td>
<td>Mr César Ortega Gómez</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Jaime Pérez Renovales</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Also, Mr Ramón Tellaeche Bosch, deputy executive vice president of the Bank, is head of the payment methods division and Mr José Antonio Villasante Cerro, also deputy executive vice president, is head of the Santander Universities division.

Total remuneration received by senior management: 66,940 (in thousand euros)

(*) Includes the annual remuneration regardless of the number of months of service on the general management team and excludes that which relates to executive management.

(**) Does not include the remuneration of the CEO of Banesto.
B.1.13 Identify, in aggregate terms, any indemnity or “golden parachute” clauses that exist for members of the senior management (including executive directors) of the company or of its group in the event of dismissal or changes in control. Indicate whether these agreements must be reported to and/or authorised by the governing bodies of the company or its group:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of beneficiaries</th>
<th>22</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body authorising clauses</td>
<td>Board of directors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Is the General Meeting informed of the clauses?  

| Yes | No |
| X | – |

Members of the Group’s senior management, excluding executive directors, have contracts recognising their right to severance payments in the event of resignation not due to voluntary resignation, retirement, disability or a serious breach of their duties. These payments are recognised as pension fund provisions and similar obligations and as a personnel expense only when the relationship between the Bank and the directors is breached before the normal retirement date.

B.1.14 Describe the procedures for establishing remuneration for board members and the relevant provisions in the bylaws:

1. To describe the process to set the compensation of directors, it is necessary to draw a line between the different compensation schemes set forth in the Bylaws and to provide the text of the rules therein established and of the Rules and Regulations of the Board that relevant thereto.

Article 58 of the Bylaws stipulates:

“1. The directors shall be entitled to receive compensation for performing the duties entrusted to them by reason of their appointment as mere members of the board of directors by the shareholders at a general shareholders’ meeting or by the board itself exercising its power to make interim appointments(co-option) to fill vacancies.

2. The compensation referred to in the preceding paragraph shall be paid as a share in profits and bylaw-mandated compensation; and shall have two components: (a) an annual amount and (b) attendance fees. Attendance fees shall be paid in advance on account of the profits for the fiscal year.

The specific amount payable for the above-mentioned items to each of the directors shall be determined by the board of directors. For such purpose, it shall take into consideration the positions held by each director on the board and their membership in and attendance at the meetings of the various committees.

The aggregate amount of the compensation established in this sub-section shall be equal to one percent of the profit of the Company for the fiscal year, provided, however, that the board may resolve that such percentage be reduced in those years in which it so deems justified.

3. In addition to the compensation systems set forth in the preceding paragraphs, the directors shall be entitled to receive compensation by means of the delivery of shares or option rights thereon, or by any other compensation system referenced to the value of shares, provided the application of such compensation systems is previously approved by the shareholders at the general shareholders’ meeting. Such resolution shall determine, as the case may be, the number of shares to be delivered, the exercise price of the options, the value of the shares used as a reference and the duration of such compensation system.

4. Independently of the provisions of the preceding paragraphs, the directors shall also be entitled to receive such other compensation (salaries, incentives, bonuses, pensions, insurance and severance payments) as, following a proposal made by the appointments and remuneration committee and upon resolution by the board of directors, may be considered appropriate in consideration for the performance of other duties at the Company, whether they are the duties of an executive director or otherwise, other than the duties of supervision and collective decision-making that they discharge in their capacity as members of the board.

5. The Company shall take out liability insurance for its directors on such terms as are customary and commensurate with the circumstances of the Company itself.”

Article 28 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board also stipulates:

“1. The directors shall be entitled to receive compensation for performing the duties entrusted to them by reason of their appointment as mere members of the board of directors by the shareholders at a general shareholders’ meeting or by the board itself exercising its power to make interim appointments(co-option) to fill vacancies.

2. The compensation referred to in the preceding paragraph shall be paid as a share in profits and bylaw-mandated compensation; and shall have two components: (a) an annual amount and (b) attendance fees. Attendance fees shall be paid in advance on account of the profits for the fiscal year.

The specific amount payable for the above-mentioned items to each of the directors shall be determined by the board of directors within the limits established in sub-section 2 of Article 58 of the Bylaws and after a proposal of the appointments and remuneration committee. For such purpose, it shall take into consideration the positions held by each director on the board and their membership in and attendance at the meetings of the various committees.
3. In addition to the compensation systems set forth in the preceding paragraphs, the directors shall be entitled to receive compensation by means of the delivery of shares or option rights thereon, or by any other compensation system referenced to the value of shares, provided the application of such compensation systems is previously approved by the shareholders at the general shareholders’ meeting. Such resolution shall determine, as the case may be, the number of shares to be delivered, the exercise price of the options, the value of the shares used as a reference and the duration of such compensation system.

4. Independently of the provisions of the preceding paragraphs, the directors shall also be entitled to receive such other compensation (salaries, incentives, bonuses, pensions, insurance and severance payments) as, following a proposal made by the appointments and remuneration committee and upon resolution by the board of directors, may be considered appropriate in consideration for the performance of other duties at the Company, whether they are the duties of an executive director or otherwise, other than the duties of supervision and collective decision-making that they discharge in their capacity as members of the board.

5. The Company shall maintain civil liability insurance for its directors on such terms as are customary and commensurate with the circumstances of the Company itself.

6. The board shall endeavour to ensure that director compensation meets standards of moderation and correspondence to the earnings of the Company. In particular, it shall endeavour to ensure that the compensation of external directors is sufficient to compensate them for the dedication, qualifications and responsibilities required for the performance of their duties.”

2. Article 17.4 f) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board includes, among the competencies of the appointments and remuneration committee, the following:

“f) Propose to the board:

(i) The policy for compensation of directors and the corresponding report, upon the terms of Article 29 of these rules and regulations.

(ii) The policy for compensation of the members of senior management.

(iii) The individual compensation of the directors.

(iv) The individual compensation of the executive directors and, if applicable, external directors, for the performance of duties other than those of a mere director, and other terms of their contracts.

(v) The basic terms of the contracts and compensation of the members of senior management.

(vi) Approval of their remuneration and that of any other members not part of senior management with significant remuneration, particular variable remuneration, and whose activities may have a significant impact on the assumption of risks by the Group.

3. Based on the foregoing, the remuneration systems for directors and the process to set such compensation are as follows:

(i) Compensation for acting in the position of director as such.

a. Joint share in the income for each fiscal year. The share in the income for the fiscal year, paid to directors as bylaw stipulated fees comprises an annual amount and attendance fees. The amount is set in the Bylaws as one percent of the net income of the Bank for the fiscal year, where the directors can agree annually to reduce this percentage. Also funding is first required of all other allocations required by law (for example, allocations to reserves required to comply with the equity rules for credit institutions).

Article 58.2 of the Company’s current Bylaws establishes with respect to the compensation item that the one percent of profit, which in the previous Bylaws related only to the annual amount, is now the total limit for the annual amount and the attendance fees.

Concerning this type of remuneration, at the board meeting held on 20 December 2010, following a proposal of the appointments and remuneration committee, the directors set the bylaw-mandated share of the members of the board in the concept of the annual payment in 2010 as follows:

- EUR 106,326 for each member of the board of directors.
- EUR 213,246 to each member of the executive committee
- EUR 35,640 to the first vice-chairman and the fourth vice-chairman.
- EUR 49,500 to each member of the audit and compliance committee.
- EUR 29,700 to each member of the appointments and remuneration committee.

In the case of positions that have not been filled for the entire fiscal year, such amounts are paid proportionately.

b. Attendance fees.

At its meeting of 14 December 2010, the appointments and remuneration committee propose to the Board to set the amount of the fees for attending the Board meetings and those of its committees, excluding the executive committee, to which no attendance fees are paid. The board approved the proposal of the appointments and remuneration committee at its meeting on 20 December 2010.

The amounts are those indicated below:

- Board: EUR 2,540 for resident directors and EUR 2,057 for non-resident directors.
- Committees:
(i) Resident directors: risk committee and audit and compliance committee: EUR 1,650; other committees (excluding executive committees) EUR 1,270; and
(ii) non-resident directors: EUR 1,335 for the risk committee and the audit and compliance committee and EUR 1,028 for other committees (excluding the executive committee).

(ii) Compensation for the performance of duties in the Company other than the duties of director.

Pursuant to Article 58.4 of the Bylaws and Article 28.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, director compensation may be considered appropriate in consideration for the performance of other duties at the Company, whether they are the duties of an executive director or otherwise, other than the duties of supervision and collective decision-making that they discharge in their capacity as directors.

The various components of this compensation (salaries, incentives, bonuses, pensions, insurance and retirement benefits), are approved by the board of directors at the proposal of the appointments and remuneration committee.

These are detailed in note 5 to the financial statements. They are also contained in the appointments and remuneration committee report.

(iii) Compensation linked to the Company’s shares.

In this case, as required by law and the Bylaws, the decision is to be adopted at the annual general meeting, following a proposal made by the board of directors on the basis of a report submitted by the appointments and remuneration committee.

The policy of the Group states that only the executive directors can be beneficiaries of compensation systems relating to the provision on shares or options.

The compensation linked to shares for the Bank for 2010 was approved at the annual general meeting of 11 June, as described below.

The shareholders at the 2010 annual general meeting authorised, within the scope of Banco Santander long-term incentive policy, the fifth cycle of the Performance Shares Plan (Plan I-13), the first cycle of the plan of deferred and conditioned share distribution and the selective grant of up to 2,500,247 shares (representing 0.030% of the Bank’s capital at year-end 2010), establishing a maximum total limit of 25,028,650 shares for distribution in these programmes, equivalent to 0.300% of the Bank’s share capital at year-end 2010 (the “Total Limit”).

1. Fifth cycle (Plan I-13) of the Performance Shares Plan

The fifth cycle of the plan is subject to the following rules:

(i) Beneficiaries: The executive directors, the remaining members of senior management, and such other executives of the Santander Group (excluding Banesto) as are determined by the board of directors, or the executive committee by delegation thereof. At 31 December 2010, there were 6,782 total participants.

(ii) Objectives: The objectives used to determine the number of shares for distribution (the “Objectives”) are linked to the Total Shareholder Return (TSR):

TSR is deemed to be the difference (expressed as a percentage) between the final value of an investment in ordinary shares in each of the institutions compared and the initial value of the same investment, bearing in mind that any calculation of the final value must take into account dividends or similar items received by the shareholder for this investment during the corresponding period of time as if an investment was made in more shares of the same kind on the first date on which the dividend was payable to shareholders and the average weighted share price on that date. In order to determine the aforementioned initial and final values, the share prices established in item (iii) below will be adopted.

At the end of the cycle, the TSR for Santander and each of the group institutions identified below (the “Benchmark Group”) will be calculated and listed in decreasing order. The application of the TSR criterion will determine the percentage of shares to distribute, based on the following scale and Santander’s relative position within the Benchmark Group:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Santander’s position in the TSR rankings</th>
<th>Percentage shares earned of the maximum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st to 5th</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th</td>
<td>82.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>65.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8th</td>
<td>47.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th</td>
<td>30.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10th and over</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Benchmark Group comprises the following 16 institutions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bank</th>
<th>Country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Itaú Unibanco Banco Múltiplo</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BBVA</td>
<td>Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BNP Paribas</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credit Suisse</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSBC Holdings</td>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ING Group</td>
<td>Netherlands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intesa Sanpaolo, S.p.A.</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JP Morgan Chase &amp; Co.</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group</td>
<td>Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nordea Bank</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Royal Bank of Canada</td>
<td>Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Société Générale</td>
<td>France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Chartered</td>
<td>UK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBS</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UniCredit</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wells Fargo &amp; Co.</td>
<td>USA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The board of directors, or the executive committee by delegation thereof, based on a prior report from the appointments and remuneration committee, shall have the power to adapt, as appropriate, the composition of the Benchmark Group in the event of unforeseen circumstances that affect the entities of which it is initially composed. In such cases, no shares will be earned if Santander falls below the Benchmark Group median (50th percentile); the maximum number of shares will be earned if Santander is within the first quartile (including the 25th percentile) of the Benchmark Group; a maximum of 30% of the shares will be earned at the median (50th percentile); and, at positions between the median (exclusive) and the first quartile (25th percentile exclusive) shares earned will be calculated by linear interpolation.

(iii) Duration: This fifth cycle covers the years 2010, 2011 and 2012. To calculate the TSR, the daily average weighted volume of the average weighted listing prices for the 15 trading sessions prior to 1 April 2010 (exclusive) (to calculate the initial value) and the
The appointments and remuneration committee, on 14 December 2010, reported favourably on the regulations of the fourth cycle of the performance shares plan, which was approved by the board of the Bank on 20 December 2010.

2. Plan of deferred and conditioned share distribution: The first cycle of the plan is subject to the following rules:

(i) Objective and beneficiaries: The first cycle of the deferred and conditioned share distribution plan is applied to the variable remuneration or bonus approved by the board of directors for 2010 of executive directors and directors or employees of the Santander Group whose annual variable remuneration or bonus for 2010 is greater than as a general rule, EUR 300,000 gross in order to defer a portion of said variable remuneration or bonus for a period of three years until payment as shares, in conformity with the rules set forth below.

(ii) Operation: Accrual of deferred remuneration in shares shall be conditioned upon the continued employment of the beneficiary in the Santander Group, and on the absence, in the judgment of the board of directors, at the proposal of the appointments and remuneration committee, of any of the following circumstances during the period prior to each of the distributions of shares:

(i) poor financial performance by the Group;
(ii) breach by the beneficiary of internal rules, particularly with regard to risk;
(iii) material restatement of the Group’s financial statements, except where warranted in accordance with a modification of accounting standards, or
(iv) significant variations in capital and the qualitative assessment of risks.

Deferral of the share bonus shall have a duration of three years and will be paid in thirds from the first year.

The amount to be deferred in shares shall generally calculated according to the tranches in the following scale set by the board of directors, in accordance with the gross variable remuneration in cash or annual bonus for 2010.

### Reference bonus (thousand euros)

| Less than or equal to 300 | 0% |
| More than 300 to 600 (inclusive) | 20% |
| More than 600 to 1,200 (inclusive) | 30% |
| More than 1,200 to 2,400 (inclusive) | 40% |
| Above 2,400 | 50% |

(vi) The following number of shares are to be distributed to each executive director:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Executive directors</th>
<th>Number of shares</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>94,345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alfredo Saenz Abad</td>
<td>317,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Matias Rodriguez Inciarte</td>
<td>135,188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>91,187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Luzon Lopez</td>
<td>154,981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Juan Rodriguez Inciarte</td>
<td>61,386</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) To be received in shares from the Banco Español de Crédito, S.A. pursuant to the authorisation given by the general shareholders’ meeting of the institution on 23 February 2011.

3. Selective Share Delivery Plan

The annual general meeting of 11 June 2010 authorised that, for a period of 12 months from implementation thereof, commitments may be undertaken to deliver up to a maximum of 2,500,247 shares of the Bank (representing 0.030% of the Bank’s capital at year-end).
2010) to be used selectively as a tool for retaining or hiring executives or employees of the Bank or other Group companies, other than executive directors. The board of directors, or the executive committee by delegation thereof, shall be tasked with deciding when to use this tool.

Furthermore, it must comply with the Total Limit indicated at the beginning of section B.1.14.

Each participant shall be required to remain with the Group for a minimum period of 3 to 4 years. After meeting the minimum period established in each case, the participant will be entitled to distribution of the shares.

As of the date of this Report, the Bank was not obliged to distribute any shares as set forth under this heading at year-end 2010.

Indicate whether the board has reserved for plenary approval the following decisions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>At the proposal of the company’s most senior executive, the appointment and removal of senior officers, and their compensation clauses.</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Directors’ remuneration and, in the case of executive directors, the additional consideration for their management duties and other contract conditions.</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In reference to this question, sections e), f) and g) of Article 3.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board are particularly pertinent.

Article 3.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“Without prejudice to the foregoing, the policy adopted by the Board consists of delegating the day-to-day management of the Company to the executive bodies and the management team and focusing its activity on the general supervisory function, assuming and discharging per se, without the power of delegation, the responsibilities entailed in this function and, in particular, those set forth below:

(…) e) Approval, within the framework of the provisions of Article 58 of the Bylaws, of the remuneration to which each director is entitled.

f) Approval of the contracts governing the performance by directors of duties other than those of a mere director and the compensation to which they are entitled for the performance of additional duties, whether they are the duties of an executive director or otherwise, other than the duties of supervision and collective decision-making that they discharge in their capacity as mere members of the board.

g) The appointment, remuneration and, if applicable, removal of the members of the senior management, as well as the definition of the basic terms of their contracts Approval of their remuneration and that of any other members not part of senior management with significant remuneration, particularly variable remuneration, and whose activities may have a significant impact on the assumption of risks by the Group.

(…) The powers set forth in paragraphs (c), (d), (e), (f), (g) and (i) may be exercised by the executive committee on an emergency basis, with a subsequent report thereof to the board at the first meeting thereafter held by it.”

B.1.15 Indicate whether the board of directors approves a detailed remuneration policy and specify the points included:

Yes X No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The amount of the fixed components, itemised where necessary, of board and board committee attendance fees, with an estimate of the fixed annual payment they give rise to</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variable components</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The main characteristics of pension systems, including an estimate of their amount of annual equivalent cost</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The conditions that the contracts of executive directors exercising executive functions shall respect</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Company Bylaws (Article 59) expressly stipulate that the board of directors shall prepare a report on the compensation policy for the directors. This report will detail the criteria and principles on which the collegiate environment or the board is to be based and the compensation due to individual members of the board for the last fiscal year and the current year, making this report available to the shareholders at the annual general meeting.

This report was first included in the annual general meeting of June 11, 2010 as a separate item on the agenda and submitted to a vote for consultation purposes and received 94.943% of votes in favour.

The report for 2010 shall be submitted to a vote for consultation purposes in the annual general meeting of 2011.

Article 59.1 of the Bylaws

“The board of directors shall, on an annual basis, prepare a report on the compensation policy where it shall set forth the standards and basis used to determine the compensation of the directors for the last current fiscal year and the current fiscal year, and shall make it available to the shareholders when the ordinary general shareholders’ meeting is called. The contents of the report shall be governed by the provisions of the rules and regulations of the board.”

Article 29 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

1. Report on the compensation policy

(a) The board of directors shall, on an annual basis, prepare a report on the compensation policy where it shall set forth the standards and basis used to determine the compensation of the directors for the last current fiscal year and the current fiscal year, and shall make it available to the shareholders when the ordinary general shareholders’ meeting is called.

(b) The report shall provide an explanation of the changes in compensation to the directors for the performance of their duties of supervision and collective decision-making.

(c) The report shall also contain a separate presentation regarding the policy of compensation for executive directors for duties other than those contemplated in the preceding paragraph, which shall cover at least the following items:

(i) Changes in fixed compensation accompanied by an estimate of the approximate overall amount thereof.

(ii) Reference parameters and the basis for any short- or long-term variable compensation system (annual or multi-year bonuses or incentives).
(iii) Preliminary estimate of the absolute amount of variable compensation to which the proposed compensation plan will give rise.

(iv) Significance of variable compensation relative to fixed compensation and significance of deferred variable compensation in relation to total variable compensation.

(v) Standards of reference for the accrual of compensation based on the delivery of shares, stock options or compensation linked to share prices.

(vi) Main features of the benefit systems (supplemental pensions, life insurance and similar items) with an estimate of the amount thereof or equivalent annual cost.

(vii) Terms of the contracts of the executive directors (duration, prior notice periods, hiring bonuses, compensation for termination of the contract and any other terms).

Information shall also be provided regarding the compensation, if any, to be paid to the external directors for duties other than the duties of a mere director.

(d) The report shall also disclose the most significant changes in the compensation policy approved for the current fiscal year as compared to the policy applied during the prior fiscal year, and shall include an overall summary of how such compensation policy was put into effect during such fiscal year.

(e) The report shall also provide information regarding the preparatory work and the decision-making process followed to establish the director compensation policy, including the duties, the composition of the appointments and remuneration committee and, if applicable, the identity of the external advisers whose services have been used to determine the compensation policy.

(f) The report on compensation policy shall be publicly disclosed through the Company’s website no later than the date of the call to the ordinary general shareholders’ meeting.

(g) In the report regarding compensation policy made public in accordance with the provisions of the preceding paragraph, the board shall exclude those items whose disclosure might be prejudicial to the Company’s interests.

2. Annual report

In the annual report, the board shall set forth, on an individual basis, the compensation received by each director, specifying the amounts corresponding to each compensation item. It shall also set forth therein, on an individual basis and for each item of compensation, the compensation payable, pursuant to Articles 49 and 58.4 of the bylaws, for the performance of executive duties entrusted to the executive directors of the Company.

Furthermore, the report shall provide, in the form of a table or diagram, a comparison between the changes in aggregate compensation for all of the executive directors during the last fiscal year, differentiating those amounts received for the performance of their duties of supervision and collective decision-making as members of the board and those corresponding to other duties that they perform as members of the board, and the changes in the Group’s consolidated results and the listing price of the Company’s shares during the same period.”

B.1.16 Indicate whether the board submits a report on the directors’ remuneration policy to the advisory vote of the general meeting, as a separate point on the agenda. Explain the points of the report regarding the remuneration policy as approved by the board for forthcoming years, the most significant departures in those policies with respect to that applied during the year in question and a global summary of how the remuneration policy was applied during the year. Describe the role played by the remuneration committee and whether external consultancy services have been procured, including the identity of the external consultants.

Yes X No

Issues covered in the remuneration policy report

The report on the compensation policy of directors shall be included as a separate item on the agenda and for consultation purposes and submitted to a vote by shareholders at the next general meeting, scheduled for 16 and 17 June at first and second call, respectively. Articles 59.1 of the Bylaws and 29.1 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, transcribed above, state that the board should annually approve the compensation policy. This policy will detail the principles and criteria to be used to determine the compensation policy for the directors, making this available at the annual general meeting.

The report on the compensation policy of the directors for 2010 will also be published as part of the report by the appointments and remuneration committee which is distributed with the Group’s annual report.

In this report, there is, as specified in Article 29.1 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, a description of the criteria used by the appointments and remuneration committee to propose the fixed compensation for 2011 and the variable compensation for 2010 for the chairman and the chief executive officer of the Bank.

The individual breakdown per compensation item for the board of directors, including executives, for 2010 is published in note 5 of the Group’s financial statements in the format established by CNMV Circular 4/2007 in sections B.1.11 of this report.

Role of the remunerations committee and external advisers

Appointments and remuneration committee

The appointments and remuneration committee has drafted a policy contained in the report on compensation policy for the board of directors for 2010 which has been approved by the board in its meeting of March 21, 2011.

External advisers

In all the adoption processes for their respective decisions, the appointments and remuneration committee and the Board were able to check the data against those of comparable markets and institutions, given the Group’s size, characteristics and activities. The appointments and remuneration committee and the board of directors have received the assistance of Towers Watson as provider of market information and consultant in designing the Group’s compensation policy and in preparing the report on compensation policy for the board of directors.

Have external consultancy firms been used? X

Identity of external consultants Towers Watson
B.1.17 List any board members who are likewise members of the boards of directors, or executives or employees of companies that own significant holdings in the listed company and/or group companies:

As previously indicated (section A.2. of this report), the Bank does not know of any shareholder with a significant ownership interest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director</th>
<th>Name or corporate name of the significant shareholder (*)</th>
<th>Post (*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

List, if appropriate, any relevant relationships, other than those included under the previous heading, that link members of the board of directors with significant shareholders and/or their group companies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of the director</th>
<th>Name or corporate name of the significant shareholder (*)</th>
<th>Description of relationship</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>Fundación Marcelino Botín</td>
<td>Representation on the board of directors of the Bank for the percentage share ownership of those persons detailed in the previous column.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Paloma O’Shea Artiñano</td>
<td>Ms Paloma O’Shea Artiñano</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Jaime Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>Mr Jaime Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>Mr Jorge Botín-Sanz de Santuola Ríos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Javier Botín-Sanz de Santuola Ríos and Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Santuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>Mr Francisco Javier Botín-Sanz de Santuola Ríos and Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Santuola y O’Shea</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*): Significant shareholder: As indicated in section A.2, strictly speaking no significant shareholders exist.

B.1.18 Indicate whether any changes have been made to the regulations of the board of directors during the year:

Yes X No

Description of amendments

The audit and compliance and the appointments and remuneration committees, in their respective meetings of April 21, 2010, reported favourably on the proposed amendment of the Rules and Regulations of the Board of Directors to assign to the appointments and remuneration committee and the board the power to propose and to decide, respectively, on the compensation of directors not part of senior management with significant compensation, especially variable compensation, and whose activities may have a significant impact on the assumption of risks by the Group, and to include among the aspects the report must contain on the compensation policy the importance of deferred variable remuneration in relation to total variable remuneration for executive directors. Said amendment was approved by the board in its meeting of 26 April 2010.

The Rules and Regulations of the board of directors are available on the Group’s website (www.santander.com) under “Information for Shareholders and Investors” “Corporate Governance” “Board of Directors”.

B.1.19 Indicate the procedures for appointing, re-electing, appraising and removing directors. List the competent bodies and the processes and criteria to be followed for each procedure.

The most significant regulations governing the procedures, criteria and competent bodies for the nomination, re-election and renewal of directors are contained in various provisions of the Spanish Companies Act (Articles 211 to 215, 221, 224, 243 and 244), the Regulations of the Mercantile Registry (143 to 145), the Bank’s Bylaws (Articles 20.2. (i), 41, 42, 55 and 56) and the Rules and Regulations of the Board (Articles 6, 7, 17 and 21 to 25). The legislation governing credit institutions is also applicable.
Following is a description of the most relevant features of the framework resulting from all the aforementioned provisions:

- Number of directors.
  The Bylaws (Article 41.1) state that the maximum number of directors shall be 22 and the minimum shall be 14, where the specific number shall be determined by the annual general meeting. The board of the Bank is presently composed of 20 directors, a number the institution considers suitable for ensuring proper representation and the effective operation of the board, thus fulfilling the provisions of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

- Power to appoint directors.
  Responsibility for the nomination and re-election of directors lies with the general meeting. Nevertheless, in the event that directors vacate their office during the term for which they were appointed, the board of directors may provisionally designate another director until the shareholders, at the earliest subsequent general meeting, either confirm or revoke this appointment.

- Appointment requisites and restrictions.
  It is not necessary to be a shareholder in order to be appointed a director, except when this is legally required in the event of appointment by the board by co-option, as mentioned above. The following persons may not hold directorships: minors who are not emancipated, legally disabled persons, persons considered incapacitated in accordance with the Bankruptcy Law (Ley Concursal) during the period of incapacitation by firm ruling for crimes against liberty, property the social and economic order, collective security or the administration of justice, and crimes of deception, as well as those which based on their position would entail a bar on holding the directorship. Other persons ineligible for directorships are government employees who discharge functions related to the companies’ specific activities, judges or magistrates, or others affected by a legal incompatibility. Directors must be persons of renowned commercial and professional integrity, competence and solvency. There is no age limit for directors. In addition, a majority of the members of the board must possess sufficient knowledge and experience in the area in which the Company carries out its activities. The board of directors understands that it is not advisable to limit, as a general recommendation, the mandate of the board of directors. This decision is left, in each case, to the shareholders.

  In the selection of who should be appointed to the position of director, it will be expected that this person will be recognised for their business and professional honourability, competence and solvency, paying special attention, if applicable, to the relevance of their percentage share ownership in the equity of the Bank.

  If a director is a body corporate, the natural person representative thereof is subject to compliance with the same requirements as established for natural person directors.

  All persons designated as directors must formally agree to fulfil the obligations and duties of their position upon taking office.

- Proportional system.
  Shares pooled to form an amount of share capital equal to or greater than that which results from dividing the total share capital by the number of board members will carry entitlement to designate, on the legally-stipulated terms, a proportionate number of directors, disregarding fractions.

- Term of office.
  The term of office of a director is five years, although directors can be re-elected. The directors who have been designated by interim appointment (co-option) to fill vacancies may be ratified in their position at the first general meeting that is held following such designation, in which case they shall vacate office on the date on which their predecessor would have vacated office.

  A reform of the Bylaws and the Rules and Regulations of the Board is planned to establish the term of office of directors at three years.

- Vacation of office or removal.
  Directors shall cease to hold office when the term for which they were appointed elapses, unless they are re-elected, when the general meeting so resolves, or when they resign or place their office at the disposal of the board.

  Directors must place their office at the disposal of the board and tender the related notice of resignation if the board, after receiving the report of the appointments and remuneration committee, should deem this appropriate, in those cases in which the directors might have an adverse effect on the functioning of the board or on the Bank’s credibility and reputation and, in particular, when they are subject to any incompatibility or prohibition provided for by law that would bar them from holding office.

  Furthermore, the directors must, as soon as possible, notify the board of any circumstances which might jeopardise the Bank’s credibility and reputation and, in particular, of any lawsuits in which they are involved as accused parties.

  Lastly, the Rules and Regulations of the Board (Article 23.3) specifically provide that non-executive proprietary directors must tender their resignations, in the appropriate number, when the shareholder they represent disposes of, or significantly reduces, its ownership interest.

- Procedure.
  The proposals for appointment, re-election and ratification of directors that the board of directors submits for shareholder consideration at the annual general meeting, as well as the decisions adopted by the board of directors regarding appointments by co-option shall be preceded by the corresponding proposal made by the appointments and remuneration committee.

  Should the board disregard the proposal made by said committee, it shall substantiate such decision and record the reasons therefore in the minutes.

  Directors affected by proposals for appointment, re-election, ratification, removal, separation or withdrawal from office shall abstain from attending at and participating in the debate and voting of the board of directors and of the appointments and remuneration committee.

- Criteria applied by the board of directors and the appointments and remuneration committee.
  Considering the set of applicable regulations, the recommendations resulting from the Spanish reports on corporate governance and the present situation of the Company and its Group, the appointments and remuneration committee and the board of directors have been applying the following criteria to the processes for the appointment, confirmation and re-election of directors and to the preparation of proposals for that purpose.
a. First, attention is given to limitations resulting from legal prohibitions and incompatibilities, and from positive requirements (experience, solvency...) applicable to bank directors in Spain.

b. Having complied with these restrictions, a balanced composition of the board of directors is sought. To that effect:

(i) A broad majority of external or non-executive directors is sought, but leaving room for an adequate number of executive directors. Currently 6 of the 20 directors are executive directors.

(ii) A significant participation of independent directors is sought among the external directors (presently 10 out of 14 external directors), but at the same time, a board of directors representing a significant percentage of the Company’s capital is sought (at 31 December 2010, the directors represented 3.039% of the Company’s share capital, and one director is currently a proprietary director).

In all cases, and in accordance with the Bylaws (Article 42.1) and the Rules and Regulations of the Board (Article 6.1), the board of directors shall endeavour to ensure that the external or non-executive directors represent a wide majority over the executive directors and that the former include a reasonable number of independent directors as is currently the case, where external independent directors represent 50% of the board.

(iii) In addition to the foregoing, special importance is given to the experience of directors in all aspects of their professional life, both in public and private sectors, as well as their professional performance in the various geographical areas in which the Group operates.

c. Together with the above general criteria, an evaluation of the work and effective dedication of the director during the director’s term in office is specifically taken into account in the re-election or confirmation thereof.

– Procedure for the succession of the chairman and the managing director

Planning for the succession of the main administrators is a key element of good governance within the Bank, in order to ensure an orderly transition in its leadership at all times.

In this regard, Article 24 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board states that:

“In the cases of withdrawal, announcement of renunciation or resignation, disability or death of the members of the board of directors or its committees or withdrawal, announcement of renunciation or resignation of the chairman of the board of directors or of the managing director or directors, as well as from other positions on such bodies, at the request of the chairman of the board of directors or in his absence at the request of the highest-ranking vice chairman, the appointments and remuneration committee will be convened in order for such committee to examine and organise the process of succession or replacement in an orderly manner and to present the corresponding proposal to the board of directors. Such proposal shall be communicated to the executive committee and subsequently submitted to the board of directors at the following meeting scheduled to be held by the board’s annual calendar of meetings or at such extraordinary meeting as may be called if deemed necessary.”

Article 44.2 of the Bylaws provides rules for interim replacements, (applicable in the event of absence or impossibility to act or illness), to carry out the duties of the chairman of the board in the absence of vice chairmen.

On a yearly basis the board determines the number order in relation to the length of service of the directors. As such, at its meeting on 11.06.10 the board unanimously agreed, for the interim exercising of the duties of chairman in the absence of vice chairmen, to assign the following order of precedence to the current directors:

1) Mr Rodrigo Echenique Gordillo
2) Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea
3) Mr Francisco Luzón López
4) Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A.
5) Mr Antonio Escámez Torres
6) Mr Luis Alberto Salazar-Simpson Bos
7) Mr Antonio Basagotía García-Tuñón
8) Mr Guillermo de la Dehesa Romero
9) Mr Abel Matutes Juan
10) Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea
11) Lord Burns
12) Mr Luis Ángel Rojo Duque
13) Ms Isabel Tocino Biscarolasaga
14) Mr Juan Rodríguez Inclarte
15) Mr Ángel Jado Becerro de Bengoa

Article 44.2 of the Bylaws

“The vice chairman or vice chairmen, in the established numerical sequence, and in their absence, the appropriate director according to a numerical sequence established by the board of directors, shall replace the chairman in the event of absence or impossibility to act or illness.”

– Self-assessment

The appraisal, carried out as in the previous year with the support of Spencer Stuart, and consisting of questionnaires and personal interviews with the directors, has also included this year, in line with the proposal in the Unified Code and the Rules and Regulations of the Board (Article 19.7), a special section for the individual evaluation of the chairman, of the chief executive officer and other directors.

The process of self-assessment of the board focused on the organisation, operation and content of meetings of the board and committees, a comparison with other international banks and open questions on subjects related to the future, such as strategy or internal and external factors.

The directors drew attention to the following strengths of the Group’s corporate governance: knowledge of the banking business, experience, a balance between executive and external directors, the dedication of board members and involvement in risk control.
In the directors’ view, these strengths have enabled the Group to be a management benchmark during the present crisis and exploit business opportunities that have arisen.

Further, with regard to the organisation, operation and content of board meetings, they highlighted the following: high level of strategy debate; composition of the board and the knowledge of directors; director training programme; excellent functioning of the chairman-CEO tandem; fostering of debate; high degree of commitment of directors and the board’s involvement in the control of credit risk and other types of risk, including reputational, operational and technological risks.

B.1.20 Indicate the cases in which directors must resign.

The Bylaws (Article 56.2) and the Rules and Regulations of the Board (Article 23.2) stipulate that directors shall tender their resignation to the board of directors and formally resign from their position if the board, upon the prior report of the appointments and remuneration committee, deems it appropriate, in those cases that might adversely affect the operation of the board or the credit and reputation of the Company and, particularly, when they are prevented by any legal prohibition against or incompatibility with holding such office.

As such, the Rules and Regulations of the Board (Article 23.3) stipulates that proprietary directors must submit their resignations, in the corresponding numbers, when the shareholder that they represent parts with its shareholdings or reduces them in a significant manner.

Article 56.2 of the Bylaws

“Directors must tender their resignation to the board of directors and formally resign from their position if the board of directors, following a report from the appointments and remuneration committee, deems it fit, in those cases in which they may adversely affect the operation of the board or the credit or reputation of the Company and, in particular, when they are involved in any of the circumstances of incompatibility or prohibition provided by law.”

Article 23.2 and 3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“2. Directors must tender their resignation to the board of directors and formally resign from their position if, following a report from the appointments and remuneration committee, the board of directors deems it fit, in cases in which the operation of the board or the credit or reputation of the Company might be adversely affected, and in particular, when they are involved in any of the circumstances of incompatibility or prohibition provided by law.

3. In addition, proprietary directors must submit their resignations, in the corresponding numbers, when the shareholder that they represent parts with its shareholdings or reduces them in a significant manner.”

B.1.21 Indicate whether the duties of chief executive officer fall upon the chairman of the board of directors. If so, describe the measures taken to limit the risk of powers being concentrated in a single person:

Yes X No

Measures for reducing risk

Pursuant to Article 48.1 of the Bylaws “the chairman of the board of directors shall have the status of executive chairman of the Bank and shall be considered as the highest executive in the Company, vested with such powers as are required to hold office in such capacity. Considering his particular status, the executive chairman shall have the following powers and duties, among others set forth in these bylaws or in the rules and regulations of the board:

a) To ensure that the bylaws are fully complied with and that the resolutions adopted at the general shareholders’ meeting and by the board of directors are duly carried out.

b) To be responsible for the overall inspection of the Bank and all services thereof.

c) To hold discussions with the managing director and the general managers in order to inform himself of the progress of the business.”

However, it is important to remember that the structure of all the individual and collective bodies of the board is set up so as to allow a balanced interaction between them, including the chairman. The following aspects are of particular relevance:

(1) The board of directors and its committees (as detailed in section 8.2 of this report) have a supervisory and monitoring role over the actions of the chairman and the chief executive officer.

(2) The first vice chairman, who is an external independent director, presides over the appointments and remuneration committee and acts as a co-ordinator for the external directors.

(3) The powers vested in the managing director are equal to those vested in the chairman, as has been indicated above (section 8.1.6), excluding in both cases those exclusively reserved for the board.

(4) The managing director is in charge of the daily management of the various business lines and reports to the chairman.

Indicate, and, if necessary, explain whether rules have been established that enable any of the independent directors to convene board meetings or include new items on the agenda, to coordinate and voice the concerns of external directors and oversee the evaluation by the board of directors:

Yes X No

Explanation of the rules

Any director has the authority to call for the convening of the board, and the chairman is obligated to accept the call if the request comes from at least three directors.

Further, any board member may propose the inclusion of any new item on the agenda to be submitted to the board by the chairman, pursuant to Article 46.1 and 2 of the Bylaws and 19.2 and 3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

Article 46.1 and 2 of the Bylaws

46.1

“The board shall meet with the frequency required for the proper performance of its duties, and shall be called to meeting by the chairman. The chairman shall call board meetings on his own initiative or at the request of at least three directors.”

46.2

“The agenda shall be approved by the board at the meeting itself. Any member of the board may propose the inclusion of any other item not included in the draft agenda proposed by the chairman to the board.”
**Article 19.2 and 3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:**

19.2
“The board shall approve the annual calendar for its meetings, which must be held with the frequency needed to effectively perform its duties, with a minimum of nine meetings. In addition, the board of directors will meet whenever the chairman so wishes to call a meeting, or at the request of at least three directors.

(…)

19.3
“The agenda shall be approved by the board at the meeting itself. Any member of the board may propose the inclusion of any other item not included in the draft agenda proposed by the chairman to the board.”

Pursuant to Article 9 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, the vice-chairman, or, if there is more than one, one of them, must be an independent director and act as the co-ordinator of directors in this category and the remainder of external directors.

Further, the appointments and remuneration committee, chaired by an independent director (Article 17.3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board) shall be responsible for informing the board of the process of assessment of the same and its members (Article 17.4.m) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board) with the scope falling within the authority of the former and its committees, the quality of its work and the individual performance of its members, including the chairman and the chief executive officer(s), which shall both be subject to an evaluation once a year (Article 19.7 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board).

**Artículo 9 (tercer párrafo) del Reglamento del Consejo**

“The vice-chairman, or, if there is more than one, one of them, must be an independent director and act as the co-ordinator of directors in this category and the remainder of external directors.”

**Artículo 17.3 del Reglamento del Consejo**

“The appointments and remuneration committee must in all events be presided over by an independent director.”

**Artículo 17.4 del Reglamento del Consejo**

“The appointments and remuneration committee shall have the following duties:

(m) Report on the process of evaluation of the board and of the members thereof.

Artículo 19.7 del Reglamento del Consejo

“The operation of the board and of the committees thereof, the quality of its work, and the individual performance of its members, including the chairman and the managing director or directors, shall be evaluated once a year.”

### B.1.22 Are qualified majorities, other than legal majorities, required for any type of decisions?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Describe how resolutions are adopted by the board of directors and specify, at least, the minimum attendance quorum and the type of majority for adopting resolutions:**

**Adopting resolutions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of resolution</th>
<th>Quorum</th>
<th>Type of majority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Article 47.5 (entry one) of the Bylaws stipulates the following:**

“Except in those cases in which a greater majority is specifically required pursuant to a provision of the law, the Bylaws or the rules and regulations of the board, resolutions shall be adopted by an absolute majority of the directors present in person or by proxy.”

**Article 10.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:**

“The assignment to the chairman or to the managing director or managing directors and to any other member of the board of executive standing powers, either general or relating to an specific sector, other than the supervisory and collective decision-making powers inherent in the position of director, may be made by organic delegation, by means of general powers of attorney or through other types of agreements and shall be approved by a two-thirds majority of the board. The members of the board to whom such powers are delegated shall be deemed to be executive directors. The resolution whereby such powers are assigned or delegated shall define the scope of the powers granted to the executive director, the compensation to be received, and all other terms and conditions of the relationship, which shall be included in the respective contract.”

**Article 19.3 of the Spanish Corporate Enterprises Act (Ley de Sociedades de Capital), are required for resolutions relating to the appointment of the chairman of the board of directors, as provided in Article 48.3 of the Bylaws and Articles 6.2.a) and 8.1 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, those relating to the appointment of the director or directors to whom delegated powers are entrusted, to the permanent delegation of powers to the Executive committee and to the appointment of the members thereof, as provided in Articles 49.2, 51.2 and 52.4 of the Bylaws, and 10.2, 14.3 and 15.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.**

Said rules establish the following:

**Article 48.3 of the Bylaws:**

“The chairman shall be appointed to hold office for an indefinite period and shall require the favourable vote of two-thirds of the members of the board.”

**Article 49.2 of the Bylaws:**

“The assignment to the chairman and to any other member of the board of executive standing powers, either general or relating to an specific sector, other than the supervisory and collective decision-making powers inherent in the position of director, may be made by organic delegation, by means of general powers of attorney or through other types of agreements and shall be approved by a two-thirds majority of the board. The members of the board to whom such powers are delegated shall be deemed to be executive directors.

The resolution whereby such powers are assigned or delegated shall define the scope of the powers granted to the executive director, the compensation to be received, and all other terms and conditions of the relationship, which shall be included in the respective contract.”

**Article 51.2 of the Bylaws:**

“Any permanent delegation of powers to the executive committee and all resolutions adopted for the appointment of its members shall require the favourable vote of not less than two-thirds of the members of the board of directors.”

**Article 52.4 of the Bylaws:**

“The delegation of powers to the risk committee and the resolutions appointing the members thereof shall require the affirmative vote of not less than two-thirds of the members of the board.”

**Article 6.2.a) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:**

“The following shall be considered executive directors: the chairman, the managing director(s), and all other directors who perform management duties within the Company or the Group and do not limit their activity to the duties of supervision and collective decision-making falling upon the directors, including, in all events, those directors who, through the delegation of their powers, stable proxy-granting, or a contractual, employment or services relationship with the Company other than that inherent in their mere capacity as directors, have any decision-making capacity in connection with any part of the business of the Company or the Group.”

**Article 8.1 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:**

“The chairman of the board of directors shall be chosen from amongst the members of this management decision-making body, shall have the status of executive chairman of the Bank and shall be deemed to hold the highest-ranking position in the Company. Accordingly, there shall be delegated to him all such powers as may be delegated pursuant to the provisions of law, the Bylaws and these rules and regulations, and he shall have the duty to lead the management team of the Company, at all times in accordance with the decisions made and the standards established by the shareholders at the general shareholders’ meeting and by the board of directors, each within their respective spheres of action.”

**Article 29.3 of the Bylaws:**

“Except in those cases in which a greater majority is specifically required pursuant to a provision of the law, the Bylaws or the rules and regulations of the board, resolutions shall be adopted by an absolute majority of the directors present in person or by proxy.”

“The chairman shall have a tie-breaking vote.”

**Greater majorities, in accordance with Article 249.3 of the Spanish Corporate Enterprises Act (Ley de Sociedades de Capital), are required for resolutions relating to the appointment of the chairman of the board of directors, as provided in Article 48.3 of the Bylaws and Articles 6.2.a) and 8.1 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, those relating to the appointment of the director or directors to whom delegated powers are entrusted, to the permanent delegation of powers to the Executive committee and to the appointment of the members thereof, as provided in Articles 49.2, 51.2 and 52.4 of the Bylaws, and 10.2, 14.3 and 15.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.**

Said rules establish the following:

**Article 48.3 of the Bylaws:**

“The chairman shall be appointed to hold office for an indefinite period and shall require the favourable vote of two-thirds of the members of the board.”

**Article 49.2 of the Bylaws:**

“The assignment to the chairman and to any other member of the board of executive standing powers, either general or relating to an specific sector, other than the supervisory and collective decision-making powers inherent in the position of director, may be made by organic delegation, by means of general powers of attorney or through other types of agreements and shall be approved by a two-thirds majority of the board. The members of the board to whom such powers are delegated shall be deemed to be executive directors.

The resolution whereby such powers are assigned or delegated shall define the scope of the powers granted to the executive director, the compensation to be received, and all other terms and conditions of the relationship, which shall be included in the respective contract.”
Article 14.3 and 4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:
“3. Any permanent delegation of powers to the executive committee and all
resolutions adopted for the appointment of its members shall require the
favourable vote of not less than two-thirds of the members of the board of
directors.
4. The permanent delegation of powers by the board of directors to the
executive committee shall include all of the powers of the board, except for
those which cannot legally be delegated or which may not be delegated
pursuant to the provisions of the bylaws or of these rules and regulations.”

Article 15.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:
“The delegation of powers to the risk committee and the resolutions
appointing the members thereof shall require the affirmative vote of not less
than two-thirds of the members of the board.”

The minimum quorum is defined in Article 47.1 of the Bylaws and Article 20.1 of
the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

Article 47.1 of the Bylaws:
“1. Meetings of the board shall be validly held when more than one-half of
its members are present in person or by proxy:”

Article 20.1 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:
“Meetings of the board shall be validly held when more than one-half of its
members are present in person or by proxy. The directors shall endeavour to
ensure that absences are reduced to cases of absolute necessity.”

B.1.23 Indicate whether there are any specific
requirements, apart from those relating to the directors,
to be appointed chairman.

Yes  No  X

Description of the requirements

B.1.24 Indicate whether the chairman has the casting vote:

Yes  X  No

Business to which the casting vote applies

According to Article 47.5 of the Bylaws and Article 20.6 of the Rules and
Regulations of the Board, the chairman has the casting vote to settle tied
votes.

Article 47.5 of the Bylaws and 20.6 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“Except in those cases in which a greater majority is
specifically required pursuant to a provision of the law, the
bylaws or the rules and regulations of the board, resolutions
shall be adopted by an absolute majority of the directors
present in person or by proxy. The chairman shall have a tie-
breaking vote.”

B.1.25 Indicate whether the bylaws or the regulations of
the board of directors set any age limit for directors:

Yes  No  X

Age limit for the chairman
—
Age limit for the chief executive officer
—
Age limit for the directors
—

B.1.26 Indicate whether the bylaws or the regulations of
the board of directors set a limited term of office for
independent directors:

Yes  No  X

Maximum number of years in office
—

The board of directors did not deem it prudent to incorporate
Recommendation 29 of the Unified Code. This limits the
maximum number of years in office for an independent director
to 12 years. The board would then have to do without the
contributions, qualifications and experience of those
independent directors, considered in the best interests of the
Bank, or deprive them of their status as independent, even
though their continued service does not warrant such.

The board of directors attaches great value to the experience of
its directors, for which reason it does not deem it advisable to
limit their terms of office as a general recommendation. This
decision must be left in each case to the annual general
meeting.

In any event, at 31 December 2010, the average length of
service on the board of directors for external independent
directors was 9.6 years.

B.1.27 If there are few or no female directors, explain
the reasons and describe the initiatives adopted to
remedy this situation.

Explanation of reasons and initiatives
This does not apply since there are several female directors sitting on the
board of directors.
Currently the board of directors of Banco Santander contains two women
out of a total of 20 members, representing 10%, which is quite similar to
other Spanish listed companies.

In particular, indicate whether the appointments and
remuneration committee has established procedures to
ensure that selection processes are not subject to
implicit bias hindering the selection of female directors
and the deliberately search for female candidates who
meet the required profile.

Yes  X  No

Indicate the main procedures

The selection procedure for directors followed by Banco Santander does not
contain any specific slant which would impede the inclusion of women on its
board.
The appointments and remuneration committee, in accordance with Article
17.4 a) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, establishes and reviews the
standards to be followed in order to determine the composition of the board and
select those persons who are to be put forward as directors.
Among these criteria, and in line with the commitment of the Bank to
provide equal opportunities for men and women, both the appointments and
remuneration committee and the board of directors are aware of the benefits of
appointing women to the board of directors with the necessary abilities,
dedication and skills suited to the job.
As such, it is useful to note that of the last three appointments to the board,
one was Ms Isabel Tocino Biscarolasaga. Her appointment was approved by
the board at its meeting on 26 March 2007, at the proposal of the
appointments and remuneration committee
Further, Isabel Tocino Biscarolasaga was re-elected a director in 2010, with
97.729% of votes in favour.
It is also worth noting that the female director Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de
Sautuola y O’Shea has been a member of the Banco Santander board of
directors since 2004.
B.1.28 Indicate whether there are any formal processes for granting proxies at board meetings. If so, give brief details.

Article 47 sections 1 and 2 of the Bylaws states:

“1. Meetings of the board shall be validly held when more than one-half of its members are present in person or by proxy.

2. When unable to attend in person, the directors may grant a proxy to another director, for each meeting and in writing, in order that the latter shall represent them at the meeting for all purposes.”

Furthermore, Article 20.1 and 2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board states that:

“1. Meetings of the board shall be validly held when more than one-half of its members are present in person or by proxy. The directors shall endeavour to ensure that absences are reduced to cases of absolute necessity.

2. When directors cannot attend personally, they may grant a proxy to any other director, for each meeting and in writing, in order that the latter shall represent them at the meeting for all purposes. A director may hold more than one proxy. The proxy shall be granted with instructions.”

B.1.29 Indicate the number of board meetings held during the year. Likewise, indicate the number of times, if any, the board has met in the absence of its chairman:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of board meeting</th>
<th>Twelve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of board meetings held in the absence of its chairman</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicate how many meetings of the various board committees were held during the year:

The meetings held during the year by the various committees of the board, including committees with and without delegated powers, are detailed below:

| Number of meetings of the executive committee | 55 |
| Number of meetings of the delegated risks committee | 99 |
| Number of meetings of the audit and compliance committee | 11 |
| Number of meetings of the appointments and remuneration committee | 9 |
| Number of meetings of the international committee | 1 |
| Number of meetings of the technology, productivity and quality committee | 2 |

B.1.30 Indicate the number of board meetings held during the year without the attendance of all members. Non-attendance should also include proxies granted without specific instructions:

| Number of non-attendances by directors during the year | 3 |
| % of non-attendances of the total votes cast during the year | 0.04% |

During 2010, there were 23 cases when a director failed to attend a board meeting.

That said, in the case of proxies, the heading to this section clearly states that only cases where a proxy has not been made with specific instructions are counted.

Under this definition, the number of non-attendances was zero. The percentage indicated in the second box of 0.04% was calculated considering as total votes the maximum number of shares in attendance (i.e. had all 233 directors attended the board meetings) and as non-attendance absence where not proxies with specific instructions were granted (one).

Below is an individual breakdown of the attendance at all board and committee meetings during 2010.
On average, each one of the directors has dedicated approximately 65 hours to board meetings. In addition, those who are members of the executive committee have dedicated approximately 285 hours, those members of the risk committee 297 hours, of the audit and compliance committee approximately 55 hours, of the appointments and remuneration committee 28 hours, of the international committee 2 hours and of the technology, productivity and quality committee 4 hours.

In accordance with the Rules and Regulations of the Board, any director may attend and participate but not vote at the meetings of any committees of the board of directors of which he or she is not a member, by invitation of the chairman of the board of directors and of the chairman of the respective committee, after having requested such attendance from the chairman of the board. In addition, all members of the board who are not also members of the executive committee may attend the meetings of the latter at least twice a year, for which purpose they shall be called by the chairman.

In 2010, there was regular attendance at executive committee meetings by directors who are not members thereof. Each of the independent external directors who are not members of the committee attended 11 meetings on average, and the external proprietary director was present at 10 meetings out of a total of 55 held that year.
B.1.31 Indicate whether the individual and consolidated financial statements submitted for approval by the board are certified previously:

Yes X No

Indicate, if applicable, the person(s) who certified the company’s individual and consolidated financial statements for preparation by the board:

Name
Mr José Manuel Tejón Borrajo

Head of financial accounting

B.1.32 Explain the mechanisms, if any, established by the board of directors to prevent the individual and consolidated financial statements it prepares from being submitted to the general shareholders’ meeting with a qualified audit report.

The mechanisms adopted for such purpose (contemplated in Articles 16.1, 2, 3 and 4 (c), (e), (g), (h), (i) and (j) and 35.1 and 5 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board and Article 62.3 of the Bylaws) can be summarised as follows:

– Review by the audit and compliance committee of the company’s individual and consolidated financial statements for preparation by the board:

– Regular meetings with the auditor, both by the board of directors (twice in 2010) and by the audit and compliance committee. During 2010, the auditor attended the 11 meetings held by the committee, which allowed enough time to unearth any possible differences in the accounting criteria employed.

– In the event of a discrepancy, when the board believes that its criteria should take precedence, it shall provide a public explanation of the content and scope of the discrepancy.

The relevant sections of the Rules and Regulations of the Board and Bylaws relating to these mechanisms are set forth below:

Article 62.3 of the Bylaws:
“The board of directors shall use its best efforts to prepare the accounts such that there is no room for qualifications by the auditor. However, when the board believes that its opinion must prevail, it shall provide a public explanation, through the chairman of the audit and compliance committee, of the content and scope of the discrepancy, and shall also endeavour to ensure that the auditor likewise discloses its considerations in this regard.”

Article 16.1, 2, 2 and 4 (c), (e), (g), (h), (i) and (j) of the Rules and Regulations of the board regarding the audit and compliance committee:

“1. The audit and compliance committee shall consist of a minimum of three directors and a maximum of seven, all of whom shall be external or non-executive, with independent directors having majority representation.

2. The members of the audit and compliance committee shall be appointed by the board of directors, taking into account the directors’ knowledge, aptitude and experience in the areas of accounting, auditing or risk management.

3. The audit and compliance committee must at all times be chaired by an independent director, who must also have the necessary knowledge and experience in accountancy, auditing and risk management. The chairman of the audit and compliance committee shall be replaced every four years, and may be re-elected after the passage of one year from the end of his preceding term.

4. The audit and compliance committee shall have the following duties:

…

c) Review the accounts of the Company and the Group, monitor compliance with legal requirements and the proper application of generally accepted accounting principles and report on the proposals for alterations to the accounting principles and standards suggested by management.

…

e) Know the process for gathering financial information and for the internal control systems. In particular, the audit and compliance committee shall:

(i) Supervise the process of preparing and the integrity of the financial information relating to the Company and the Group, reviewing compliance with regulatory requirements, the proper demarcation of the scope of consolidation and the correct application of accounting standards; and
Articles 35.1 and 5 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board on relations with the auditor.

"1. All relations between the board of directors and the auditor shall be channelled through the audit and compliance committee.

(ii) Periodically review the systems for the internal monitoring and management of risks, so that the principal risks are identified, managed and properly disclosed.

(…) 

g) Serve as a channel of communication between the board and the auditor, assess the results of each audit and the response of the management team to its recommendations, and act as a mediator in the event of disagreement between the board and the auditor regarding the principles and standards to be applied in the preparation of the financial statements. Specifically, it shall endeavour to ensure that the statements ultimately drawn up by the board are submitted to the shareholders at the general shareholders’ meeting without any qualifications or reservations in the auditor’s report.

h) Supervise the fulfilment of the audit contract, endeavouring to ensure that the opinion on the annual financial statements and the main contents of the auditor’s report are set forth in a clear and accurate fashion.

i) Ensure the independence of the auditor, by taking notice of those circumstances or issues that might risk such independence and any others related to the development of the auditing procedure, as well as receive information and maintain such communication with the auditor as is provided for in legislation regarding the auditing of financial statements and in technical auditing regulations. And, specifically, verify the percentage represented by the fees paid for any and all reasons of the total income of the audit firm, and the length of service of the partner who leads the audit team in the provision of such services to the Company. The annual report shall set forth the fees paid to the audit firm, including information relating to fees paid for professional services other than audit work. Furthermore, the committee shall ensure that the Company publicly communicates a change of auditor and accompanies such communication with a declaration regarding the possible existence of disagreements with the outgoing auditor and, if any, regarding the content thereof and, in the event of the resignation of the auditor, the committee shall examine the circumstances causing it.

j) Report to the board, in advance of the adoption by it of the corresponding decisions, regarding:

(i) The financial information that the Company must periodically make public, ensuring that such information is prepared in accordance with the same principles and practices applicable to the annual financial statements.

(ii) The creation or acquisition of equity interests in special purpose entities or entities domiciled in countries or territories that are considered to be tax havens.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the auditor shall attend the meetings of the board of directors twice a year in order to submit its report and permit all the directors to have access to as much information as possible regarding the content and conclusions of the auditor’s reports relating to the Company and the Group.

(…) 

5. The board of directors shall use its best efforts to prepare the accounts such that there is no room for qualifications by the auditor. However, when the board believes that its opinion must prevail, it shall provide a public explanation, through the chairman of the audit and compliance committee, of the content and scope of the discrepancy, and shall also endeavour to ensure that the auditor likewise discloses its considerations in this regard.”

No reservations or qualifications have been made to the individual financial statements of the Company or to the consolidated financial statements of the Group over the last three fiscal years.

**B.1.33 Is the secretary to the board also a director?**

Yes  No X

To perform the duties of the Bank’s general secretary, it is not necessary to be a director, and the current secretary is not one.

**B.1.34 Explain the procedures for appointing and removing the secretary to the board, indicating whether his/her appointment and removal have been notified by the appointments committee and approved by the board sitting in plenary session.**

Appointment and removal procedure

The procedure for the appointment and removal of the secretary of the board is described in Article 17.4 d) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board. Article 17.4 d) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board: “The appointments and remuneration committee shall have the following duties: (...) 

d) Report on proposals for appointment or withdrawal of the secretary of the board, prior to submission thereof to the board.”

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Does the appointments committee report on appointments?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the appointments committee report on dismissals?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do appointments have to be approved by the Board in plenary session?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do dismissals have to be approved by the Board in plenary session?</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Is the secretary to the board entrusted in particular with the task of overseeing corporate governance recommendations?**

Yes X  No

**Notes**

This is common practice at the Bank and is expressly contemplated in Articles 45.2 of the Bylaws and 11.3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

Article 45.2 of the Bylaws: “The secretary shall ensure the formal and substantive legality of all action taken by the board, ensure observance of the good governance recommendations adopted by the company and ensure that governance procedures and rules are observed and regularly reviewed.”
Article 11.3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:
“The secretary shall at all times ensure the formal and substantive legality of all action taken by the board, shall endeavour to ensure the good governance recommendations assumed by the Company are followed, and shall ensure observance and periodic review of the procedures and rules of governance.”

B.1 Indicate the mechanisms, if any, established by the Company to preserve the independence of the auditors, of financial analysts, of investment banks and of rating agencies.

a. Auditor

Deloitte, S.L. was the auditor for the individual and consolidated accounts for the Santander Group in 2010.

Article 35 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board governing relations with the external auditor includes several sections (1 to 4) on this subject. Said rules establish the following:

“1. All relations between the board of directors and the auditor shall be channelled through the audit and compliance committee.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the auditor shall attend the meetings of the board of directors twice a year in order to submit its report and permit all the directors to have access to as much information as possible regarding the content and conclusions of the auditor’s reports relating to the Company and the Group.

2. The board of directors shall not hire audit firms in which the fees intended to be paid to them, for all and any services, are equal to more than two percent of the total income thereof during the last fiscal year.

3. No services shall be contracted with the audit firm, other than audit services proper which might jeopardise the independence of such firm.

4. The board of directors shall make public the overall amount of fees paid by the Company to the audit firm for services other than auditing.”

The amounts invoiced to the Group by Deloitte during 2010 were as follows:

- For audits and related services, the sum of EUR 32.3 million (EUR 30.6 million in 2009).

The breakdown is as follows: i) audit of the annual financial statements (EUR 21.6 million in 2010 and EUR 19.6 million in 2009) ii) audit of internal control in compliance with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and the calculation of regulatory capital (Basel) (EUR 6.5 million in 22010 and EUR 6.8 million in 2009) and iii) other reports required by legal and tax regulations enacted by the national supervisory bodies of the countries where the Group operates, other than the Sarbanes-Oxley Act (EUR 4.2 million in 2010 and EUR 4.2 million in 2009).

- Further, companies in the Group contracted other services as follows:

Auditing services in 2010 required for the issue of debentures of EUR 2.5 million (EUR 0.7 million in 2009), services related to the adaptation of Solvency II for EUR 0.6 million and purchase audits and other corporate operations for EUR 5.8 million (EUR 3 million in 2009). Finally, fiscal consultancy services provided to Group companies amounted to EUR 3.9 million (EUR 3.2 million in 2009) and non-auditing services to EUR 1.7 million (EUR 1.5 million in 2009).

The audit and compliance committee believes that there are no objective grounds for doubting the independence of our financial auditor. For such purposes, and with respect to the criteria established by the Panel O’Malley and other relevant international documents intended to ensure the effectiveness of external auditing services, the audit and compliance committee has verified:

1. The ratio between the amount invoiced by the main auditor for items other than auditing (EUR 5.6 million in 2010) and the fees for audits of annual financial statements and other legally required reports plus due diligences for acquisitions and other corporate transactions, amounted to 0.14 in 2010 (0.14 and 0.16 in 2009 and 2008, respectively).

By way of reference, and in accordance with available information on the main British and North American financial institutions whose shares are listed on organised markets, the average fees paid by such institutions to their auditors during fiscal year 2009 for non-audit services were in the range of 0.4 times the fees paid for audit services.

The services contracted with our auditors comply with the independence requirements prescribed by Law 19/1988 of 12 July on Audits (reformed by Law 12/2010 June, 30), as well as the Sarbanes – Oxley Act of 2002 adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

2. With regard to the relative importance of the fees paid to the auditing firm by the Group in relation to the total fees received by the firm, the Group has adopted the criterion to not contract auditing firms where said ratio is higher than 2%. In the case of Deloitte’s global organisation, this ratio is less than 0.18% of its total revenue. For Spain, the ratio is less than 1.4% of the business volume of the principal auditor.

In its meeting of 15 March 2011, the audit and compliance committee received written confirmation from the auditor of its Independence from the Bank and entities related to it directly or indirectly, as well as information on additional services of any type rendered to said institutions by the auditors or entities related to them, in accordance with the provisions of Law 19/1988 12 July on Audits.

Therefore, the audit and compliance committee, at the same meeting of 15 March 2011, issued a favourable report on the independence of the auditors stating its position, inter alia, on the performance of additional services as mentioned in the foregoing paragraph.

The aforesaid report, which was issued prior to the auditors’ report, includes the content required by the Securities Market Act (Ley del Mercado de Valores).
b. Financial analysts
The department for investor relations and analysts channels communication with the institutional shareholders and financial analysts that cover Santander’s activities. Every care is taken, in accordance with Article 32.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, that institutional shareholders do not receive any information that might place them in a privileged or advantageous position vis-à-vis the other shareholders.

c. Investment banks and rating agencies.
With respect to investment banks and rating agencies, the Company believes that it is not appropriate in this Report to describe the mechanisms for preserving their independence, as it believes that a description of such mechanisms should be provided by the entities themselves, and not the listed company referred to herein.

B.1.36 Indicate whether the company has changed its external audit firm during the year. If so, identify the new audit firm and the previous firm:

Yes  No X

B.1.37 Indicate whether the audit firm performs other non-audit work for the company and/or its group. If so, state the amount of fees received for such work and the percentage they represent of the fees billed to the company and/or its group:

Yes X  No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of other non-audit work</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(in thousand €)</td>
<td>837</td>
<td>4,787</td>
<td>5,624</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of other non-audit work as a % of total amount billed by audit firm</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12.76%</td>
<td>11.90%</td>
<td>12.02%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.1.38 Indicate whether the audit report on the previous year’s financial statements is qualified of includes reservations. Indicate the reasons given by the chairman of the audit committee to explain the content and scope of those reservations or qualifications.

Yes  No X

Explanation of the reasons —

B.1.39 Indicate the number of consecutive years during which the current audit firm has been auditing the financial statements of the company and/or its Group. Likewise, indicate how many years the current firm has been auditing the accounts as a percentage of the total number of years over which the financial statements have been audited:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of consecutive years</th>
<th>Company (*)</th>
<th>Group (*)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of years audited by current audit firm/No. of years the company has been audited (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Company (*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) The audit firms that audit the Company and almost all Group companies formed part of the Andersen firm until 2001.

B.1.40 List any equity holdings of the members of the company’s board of directors in other companies with the same, similar or complementary types of activity to that which constitutes the corporate purpose of the company and/or its group, and which have been reported to the company. Likewise, list the posts or duties they hold in such companies:

This section contains a list of the interests of the Company’s directors in the capital of companies that engage in banking, financing or lending. The managerial or administrative functions of the directors in such companies are also specified as and when applicable.

The roles that directors may take in companies that form part of the Group, as detailed in section B.1.7 above, are not included, nor are the positions they hold in the Bank itself.

The following table includes only interests of more than 0.1% in the capital of the company in question.

For more information see note 5 to the Group’s financial statements for 2010.

(1) In the case of Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A., the table does not provide all interests where this director holds more than 0.1% of the share capital. For further information on the interests of Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A., please refer to their annual report or visit their website (www.generali.com).

(2) Company in which the representative of Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A. on the board of directors, Mr Antoine Bernheim, is non-executive director.

(3) 244,000 shares are held by related persons.
B.1.41 Indicate and give details of any procedures through which directors may receive external advice.

Yes ☑ No

Details of the procedure

The Rules and Regulations of the Board (Article 27) expressly recognise that directors and the audit and compliance and appointments and remuneration committees are entitled to be assisted by experts in the performance of their duties and thus are entitled to ask the board to hire external advisors, at the Bank’s cost, to deal with specific issues of special significance or complexity arising during the performance of their duties. The board may only reject the request with good reason.

Article 27 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board reads as follows:

“1. In order to be assisted in the performance of their duties, the directors and the audit and compliance and appointments and remuneration committees may address a request to the general secretary for the hiring of legal, accounting, financial, or other expert advisors, whose services shall be paid for by the Company.

The assignment must deal with specific issues of special significance or complexity arising during the performance of their duties.

2. The hiring decision lies with the board of directors, which may dismiss the request if the board considers:
   a) That the hiring is not necessary for the proper performance of the duties entrusted to the directors;
   b) That the cost thereof is not reasonable in light of the significance of the issues; or
   c) That the technical assistance sought may be adequately provided by the Company’s own technical experts.

B.1.42 Indicate whether there are procedures for directors to receive the information they need in sufficient time to prepare for meetings of the governing bodies:

Yes ☑ No

Details of the procedure

Article 19.2, 3 and 4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board provides that the announcement of its meetings must be sent 15 days in advance by the secretary to the board, or in the absence thereof, by the vice secretary, who shall also send to the directors, at least 4 days prior to the board meeting in question, the draft agenda proposed by the chairman – which remains subject to approval by the directors at the meeting – in addition to any required information and documentation (normally 3 days prior to the board meeting).

The information provided to the directors prior to the meetings is prepared specifically for the purpose of preparing for these meetings and is intended for such purpose. In the opinion of the board, such information is complete and is sent sufficiently in advance.

In addition, the Rules and Regulations of the Board expressly vest directors with the right to request and obtain information regarding any aspect of the Company and its subsidiaries, whether domestic or foreign, as well as the right of inspection, which allows them to examine the books, files, documents and any other records of corporate transactions, and to inspect the premises and facilities of such companies.

Directors have the right to request and obtain, through the secretary, such information and advice as deemed necessary for the performance of their duties.

Article 19.2, 3 and 4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board reads as follows:

“2. The board shall approve the annual calendar for its meetings, which must be held with the frequency needed to effectively perform its duties, with a minimum of nine meetings. In addition, the board of directors will meet whenever the chairman so wishes to call a meeting, or at the request of at least three directors.

The meetings shall, in all events, be called by the secretary or, in the absence thereof, the vice secretary; in compliance with the orders received from the chairman; notice of the call to meeting shall be sent 15 days in advance and in writing (which includes notice by fax or by electronic and data telecommunication means).

The draft agenda proposed by the chairman shall be sent at least 4 days prior to the meeting of the board by the same means provided for in the preceding paragraph. The information to be presented at the meeting of the board shall be provided to the directors reasonably in advance thereof.

When a meeting that is not contemplated in the annual calendar is called, notice of the call shall be given as early as possible. In such case, notice may also be given by telephone, and neither the time periods nor the formalities set forth in the preceding paragraphs with respect to the meetings contemplated in the annual calendar shall apply.

3. The agenda shall be approved by the board at the meeting itself. Any member of the board may propose the inclusion of any other item not included in the draft agenda proposed by the chairman to the board.

4. In the course of the meeting and/or subsequently thereto, the directors shall be provided with all such information or clarifications as they deem fit in connection with the items on the agenda. In addition, any director shall have the right to request and obtain such information and advice as is necessary for the performance of his duties; the exercise of this right shall be channelled through the secretary of the board.”

In addition, and as explained in the preceding section, the Rules and Regulations of the Board expressly recognise the right of directors, the audit and compliance committee and the appointments and remuneration committee to be assisted by experts in the performance of their duties. Thus, directors may ask the board to hire external advisers, at the Company’s cost, to deal with specific issues of special significance or complexity arising during the performance of their duties. Such request may only be rejected by the board with good reason.

Lastly, in accordance with the Rules and Regulations of the Board, any director may attend and participate but not vote at the meetings of any committees of the board of directors of which he or she is not a member, by invitation of the chairman of the board of directors and of the chairman of the respective committee, after having requested such attendance from the chairman of the board. Likewise, all members of the board who are not also members of the executive committee may attend the meetings of the latter at least twice a year, for which purpose they shall be called by the chairman.

B.1.43 Indicate and, where appropriate, give details of whether the company has established rules obliging directors to inform the board of any circumstances that might harm the organisation’s name or reputation, tendering their resignation as the case may be:

Yes ☑ No

Details of the rules

As part of the duty of loyalty of the directors, Article 30 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board establishes the obligation of directors to report any circumstances that might harm the good name or reputation of the Bank.

In addition, when these circumstances arise, Articles 56.2 of the Bylaws and 23.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board establish that directors must tender their resignation to the board and formally resign from their position if the board, following a report from the appointments and remuneration committee, deems this appropriate.

Finally, Article 23.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board states that when a director withdraws from such director’s position, due to resignation or for other reasons, prior to the end of the director’s term of office, such director shall explain the reasons therefore in a letter that shall be sent to the other members of the board. Disclosure thereof shall also be made in the annual corporate governance report.

Article 30 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“The director shall fulfil all the duties and obligations which are inherent in his position as such and which are provided for by Law, the Bylaws, the rules and regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting and the rules and regulations of the board of directors, including the following: (...)

- Duty of loyalty:
  (...)

  (iv) The directors must notify the board, as soon as possible, of those circumstances affecting them that might prejudice the credit or reputation of the Company, and particularly the criminal cases with which they are charged.

  (…)”
Article 56.2 of the Bylaws

"Directors must tender their resignation to the board of directors and formally resign from their position if the board of directors, following a report from the appointments and remuneration committee, deems it fit, in those cases in which they may adversely affect the operation of the board or the credit or reputation of the Company and, in particular, when they are involved in any of the circumstances of incompatibility or prohibition provided by law."

Article 23.2 and 4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

23.2

"Directors must tender their resignation to the board of directors and formally resign from their position if the board of directors, following a report from the appointments and remuneration committee, deems it fit, in those cases in which they may adversely affect the operation of the board or the credit or reputation of the Company and, in particular, when they are involved in any of the circumstances of incompatibility or prohibition provided by law."

23.4

"When a director withdraws from such director’s position, due to resignation or for other reasons, prior to the end of the director’s term, such director shall explain the reasons therefore in a letter that shall be sent to the other members of the board. Disclosure thereof shall also be made in the annual corporate governance report."

B.1.44 Indicate whether any director has notified the company that he/she has been indicted or tried for any of the offences set forth in Article 124 of the Spanish Companies Act (Ley de Sociedades Anónimas):

Yes X No

On 10 March 2011, Banco Santander announced through a material fact that its board of directors was aware of the sentence handed down by the Supreme Court in the aforesaid cassation appeal filed by Mr Alfredo Sáenz, further indicating that the board of directors had also been informed of the initiatives to be pursued under the law by Mr Sáenz and, with the utmost respect for court rulings, it confirmed its confidence in him so that he should continue to perform his duties as the chief executive officer of the Bank.

Indicate whether the board of directors has examined this matter. If so, provide a justified explanation of the decision taken as to whether or not the director should continue to hold office.

Yes X No

Decision

To continue in office

Explanation

The board at its meeting held on March 7, 2010 prior to the report of the appointments and remuneration board of the same date, affirmed its confidence in Mr Sáenz to continue performing his duties as chief executive officer of the Bank. It believes that the aforementioned sentence does not negatively affect the operations of the board or the credit and reputation of the Bank.

Indicate whether any director has notified the company that he/she has been indicted or tried for any of the offences set forth in Article 124 of the Spanish Companies Act (Ley de Sociedades Anónimas):

Yes X No

Name of director          Charge          Notes

Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad     See below

Article 30 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board states that directors must notify the board, as soon as possible, of those circumstances affecting them that might prejudice the credit or reputation of the Company, and particularly the criminal cases with which they are charged.

At the board meeting held on 26 March 2007, the general secretary reported on the progress of Preliminary Investigation 3266/1995 in relation to the criminal proceedings for malicious accusations brought before the court of first instance number 20 of Barcelona affecting Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad. The proceedings stem from a criminal complaint regarding concealment of property brought by Banesto against the current claimants. The claim was eventually dismissed. The current claimants initiated three proceedings: one for bribery, which was shelved, and two for malicious accusation, of which one was not accepted for consideration, while the other was processed. The latter was dismissed in a ruling of 28 October 2004 by the Provincial Court of Barcelona. After lodging an appeal before the court of cassation, and despite the fact that the Public Prosecutor of the Supreme Court challenged the appeal on the grounds that no crime had been committed, the appeal was accepted for consideration and subsequently upheld on 29 May 2006.

After the case was heard, a conviction was handed down on 28 December 2009, against which Mr Sáenz and Banesto have appealed to be overturned. Accordingly, the ruling is not firm.

In 2010, the appointments and remuneration committee and the board were informed of the proceeding in its meetings on 17 and 22 March respectively.

B.2 Committees of the board of directors

B.2.1 Give details of all the committees of the board of directors and their members:

Executive committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Fernando de Asúa Alvarez</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Matías Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Basagot García-Turón</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O'Shea</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Guillermo de la Dehesa Romero</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Rodrigo Echenque Gordillo</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Escámez Torres</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Luzón López</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Ignacio Benjumea Cabeza de Vaca</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Risk committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Matías Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Fernando de Asúa Alvarez</td>
<td>Vice chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Basagot García-Turón</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Escámez Torres</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Juan Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Ignacio Benjumea Cabeza de Vaca</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Audit and compliance committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Manuel Soto Serrano</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Fernando de Asúa Alvarez</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Rodrigo Echenque Gordillo</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Luis Ángel Rojo Duque</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Luis Alberto Salazar-Simpson Bos</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Ignacio Benjumea Cabeza de Vaca</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Appointments and remuneration committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Fernando de Asúa Álvarez</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Guillermo de la Dehesa Romero</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Rodrigo Echenque Gordillo</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Luis Ángel Rojo Duque</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Manuel Soto Serrano</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Ignacio Benjumea Cabeza de Vaca</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

International committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O'Shea</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Guillermo de la Dehesa Romero</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Rodrigo Echenque Gordillo</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Escámez Torres</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Luzón López</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Abel Matutes Juan</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Ignacio Benjumea Cabeza de Vaca</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Technology, productivity and quality committee

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Post</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Basagot García-Turón</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O'Shea</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Fernando de Asúa Alvarez</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Escámez Torres</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Luis Alberto Salazar-Simpson Bos</td>
<td>Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Ignacio Benjumea Cabeza de Vaca</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The number of meetings held by the board of directors and its committees during 2010, and the individual attendance of the directors, have been detailed in sections B.1.29. and B.1.30 respectively of this report.

B.2.2 Indicate whether the audit committee is responsible for the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To supervise the preparation process and monitor the integrity of the financial information on the company and, if applicable, the group, and to verify compliance with regulatory requirements, the appropriate boundaries of the scope of consolidation and the correct application of accounting principles.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To periodically review the systems for the internal monitoring and management of risks, so that the principal risks are identified, managed and properly disclosed.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To monitor the independence and efficacy of the internal audit function; propose the selection, appointment, reappointment and removal of the head of internal audit; propose the department’s budget; receive regular report-backs on its activities; and verify that senior management are acting on the findings and recommendations of its reports.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To establish and supervise a mechanism whereby staff can report, confidentially and, if necessary, anonymously, any irregularities they may detect within the company with potentially serious implications for the firm, in particular financial or accounting irregularities.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To submit to the Board proposals for the selection, appointment, reappointment and removal of the external auditor, and the terms and conditions of its engagement.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To receive regular information from the external auditor on the progress and findings of the audit plan and to check that senior management are acting on its recommendations.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>To ensure the independence of the external auditor.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In the case of groups, the Committee urges the group auditor to take on the auditing of all component companies.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The functions of the audit and compliance committee are described in Article 16.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board. Sections b), c), d), e), f), g), h), i), j) and m) are of particular relevance in this regard.

The audit and compliance committee report, which is published along with the Annual Report, describes the activities carried out by the committee in 2010.

**Article 16.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board**

“The audit and compliance committee shall have the following duties:

b) Propose the appointment of the auditor, as well as the conditions under which such auditor will be hired, the scope of its professional duties and, if applicable, the revocation or non-renewal of its appointment. The committee shall favour the Group’s auditor also assuming responsibility for auditing the companies making up the Group.

c) Review the accounts of the Company and the Group, monitor compliance with legal requirements and the proper application of generally accepted accounting principles and report on the proposals for alterations to the accounting principles and standards suggested by management.

d) Supervise the internal audit services, and particularly:
   
i) Proposing the selection, appointment and withdrawal of the party responsible for internal audit;
   
   ii) Reviewing the annual working plan for internal audit and the annual activities report;
   
   iii) Ensuring the independence and effectiveness of the internal audit function;
   
   iv) Proposing the budget for this service;
   
   v) Receiving periodic information regarding the activities thereof; and
   
   vi) Verifying that senior management takes into account the conclusions and recommendations of its reports.

e) Know the process for gathering financial information and for the internal control systems. In particular, the audit and compliance committee shall:
   
i) Supervise the process of preparing and the integrity of the financial information relating to the Company and the Group, reviewing compliance with regulatory requirements, the proper demarcation of the scope of consolidation and the correct application of accounting standards; and
   
   ii) Periodically review the systems for the internal monitoring and management of risks, so that the principal risks are identified, managed and properly disclosed.

f) Report on, review and supervise the risk control policy established in accordance with the provisions of these rules and regulations.

g) Serve as a channel of communication between the board and the auditor, assess the results of each audit and the response of the management team to its recommendations, and act as a mediator in the event of disagreement between the board and the auditor regarding the principles and standards to be applied in the preparation of the financial statements. Specifically, it shall endeavour to ensure that the statements ultimately drawn up by the board are submitted to the shareholders at the general shareholders’ meeting without any qualifications or reservations in the auditor’s report.

h) Supervise the fulfilment of the audit contract, endeavouring to ensure that the opinion on the annual financial statements and the main contents of the auditor’s report are set forth in a clear and accurate fashion.

i) Ensure the independence of the auditor, by taking notice of those circumstances or issues that might risk such independence and any others related to the development of the auditing procedure, as well as receive information and maintain such communication with the auditor as is provided for in legislation regarding the auditing of financial statements and in technical auditing regulations. And, specifically, verify the percentage represented by the fees paid for any and all reasons of the total income of the audit firm, and the length of service of the partner who leads the audit team in the provision of such services to the Company. The annual report shall set forth the fees paid to the audit firm, including information relating to fees paid for professional services other than audit work.

Furthermore, the committee shall ensure that the Company publicly communicates a change of auditor and accompanies such communication with a declaration regarding the possible existence of disagreements with the outgoing auditor and, if any, regarding the content thereof and, in the event of the resignation of the auditor, the committee shall examine the circumstances causing it.

j) Report to the board, in advance of the adoption by it of the corresponding decisions, regarding:

   i) The financial information that the Company must periodically make public, ensuring that such information is prepared in accordance with the same principles and practices applicable to the annual financial statements.

   ii) The creation or acquisition of equity interests in special purpose entities or entities domiciled in countries or territories that are considered to be tax havens.

(...)

m) Know and, if applicable, respond to the initiatives, suggestions or complaints put forward or raised by the shareholders regarding the area of authority of this committee and which are submitted to it by the office of the general secretary of the Company. The committee shall also:

   i) Receive, deal with and keep a record of the claims received by the Bank on matters related to the process for gathering financial information, auditing and internal controls.

   ii) Receive on a confidential and anonymous basis possible communications from Group employees who express their concern on possible questionable practices in the areas of accounting or auditing.

(...).”
B.2.3 Describe the organisational and operational rules and responsibilities attributed to each of the board committees.

1. Executive committee:
The executive committee is governed by Article 51 of the Company’s Bylaws and Article 14 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board. All the powers of the board of directors have been permanently delegated to the executive committee, except those which may not be legally delegated and the following:

a) Approval of the general policies and strategies of the Company, particularly:
   (i) Strategic plans, management targets and annual budget;
   (ii) Dividend and treasury stock policy;
   (iii) General risk management policy;
   (iv) Corporate governance policy;
   (v) Corporate social responsibility policy.

b) Approval of the policies for the provision of information to and for communication with the shareholders, the markets and the public opinion. The board assumes the duty to provide the markets with prompt, accurate and reliable information, especially in connection with the shareholding structure, any substantial amendments to the rules of governance, related party transactions of particular importance and treasury stock.

c) Control of management activities and evaluation of managers.

d) And those of the board in relation to its composition and functioning, the remuneration and duties of directors, the contracting of technical advisers and board relations with shareholders, markets and the financial auditor.

The board believes that the composition of this committee is well balanced, given that it is made up of ten directors, five of which are executive directors and five of which are external directors. Of the five external directors, four are independent and one is neither proprietary nor independent.

The executive committee submits to the board any matters that fall within the exclusive jurisdiction of the latter. It also reports to the board on any matters or decisions it has adopted at its meetings and furnishes board members with copies of the minutes for such meetings.

Article 3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board states that the following powers of the board may be exercised by the executive committee when required on the grounds of urgency, with a subsequent report thereof to the board at the immediately following board meeting:

a) Approval of the financial information that the Company must make public on a periodic basis.

b) Approval of transactions entailing the acquisition and disposal of substantial assets of the Company and major corporate transactions, unless such approval must be given by the shareholders at a general shareholders’ meeting, pursuant to the provisions of Article 20 of the Bylaws.

c) Approval, within the framework of the provisions of Article 58 of the Bylaws, of the remuneration to which each director is entitled.

d) Approval of the contracts governing the performance by directors of duties other than those of a mere director and the compensation to which they are entitled for the performance of additional duties, whether they are the duties of an executive director or otherwise, other than the duties of supervision and collective decision-making that they discharge in their capacity as mere members of the board.

e) The appointment, remuneration and, if applicable, removal of the members of the senior management, as well as the definition of the basic terms of their contracts. Approval of their remuneration and that of any other members not part of senior management with significant remuneration, particular variable remuneration, and whose activities may have a significant impact on the assumption of risks by the Group.

f) Authorisation for the creation or acquisition of shareholdings in special purpose entities or entities domiciled in countries or territories that are considered to be tax havens.

2. Risk committee:
The risk committee is governed by Article 52 of the Bylaws and Article 15 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

It currently comprises five directors, of which two are executive directors and three are external directors. Of the three external directors, two are independent and one is neither proprietary nor independent.

Its chairman is a vice chairman with executive duties in accordance with the Rules and Regulations of the Board (Article 15.1).

Article 15.1 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The risk committee shall be composed of a minimum of four and a maximum of six directors. The chairmanship of the committee shall be held by a vice chairman with executive duties. (…)”

The committee has been permanently delegated the following powers of the board of directors:

“a) Decide on the granting of loans, the opening of credit accounts and risk operations in general, as well as the modification, transfer and cancellation thereof, and on global risk management (country, interest rate, credit, market, operational, treasury and derivatives risk), as well as determining and approving the general and specific conditions applicable to discounts, loans, deposits, guarantees and all types of banking operations.

b) Establish, change, subrogate and terminate lease agreements for all kinds of personal and real assets, upon the terms and conditions that it may freely determine, as well as acquire the underlying assets of such financial leasing, with no limit on amount or volume.”
c) Create, modify and cancel all manner of sureties, bonds and any other guarantees before all kinds of natural persons, bodies corporate and public or private entities and bodies, in particular for the purposes of the Public Administration Contracts Act (Ley de Contratos de las Administraciones Públicas) and consolidating legislation, subject to the terms and conditions it deems appropriate, thereby establishing the necessary deposits in cash or securities, with entitlement to bind the Company, even jointly and severally with the main debtor, therefore waiving the benefits of discussion and division, all the foregoing on its own behalf in order to secure the obligations of third parties, whether natural persons or legal entities, with no limitation whatsoever on the amount thereof:"

It has the following duties pursuant to Article 15.3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:

"a) To propose to the board the Group’s risk policy, which must particularly identify:

(i) The various types of risk (operational, technological, financial, legal and reputational, among others) that the Company faces, including, among financial and economic risks, contingent liabilities and others which are off-balance sheet;

(ii) The information and internal monitoring systems that will be used to monitor and manage such risks;

(iii) The setting of the risk level that the Company deems acceptable;

(iv) The planned measures to mitigate the impact of identified risks, in the event that they materialise.

b) To systematically review risk exposure among principal customers, economic sectors, geographic areas and risk types.

c) To be aware of and to update, if appropriate, management tools, improvement initiatives, advancement of projects and any other relevant activity relating to risk control, specifically including the nature and performance of internal risk models as well as the results of internal validations thereof.

d) To assess and monitor any observations made by supervisory authorities in furtherance of their duties.

e) To ensure that the activities of the Group are consistent with the previously decided risk tolerance level and to delegate to lower-level committees or managers the powers to assume risks.

f) To conclude transactions that exceed the powers delegated to lower decision-making bodies, and determine the overall limits of pre-classifications in favour of economic groups or with respect to exposures by classes of risks.”

The Risk Management report of the 2010 Annual Report (pages 150 to 207) includes a thorough explanation of the risk-control systems of the Bank and its Group.

3. Audit and compliance committee:

- The audit and compliance committee of the Bank was originally created in 1986 as an audit committee, although its functions and duties have changed significantly since then.

- The committee is regulated by additional provision eighteen of the Securities Market Act and Articles 53 of the Bylaws and 16 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board. In addition, Articles 27 and 35 of the regulations contain a specific regulation relating to specific aspects of its activities.

- The audit and compliance committee must comprise a minimum of three and a maximum of seven directors, all external and non-executive, with independent directors having majority representation. Currently, the six directors making up the audit and compliance committee are external independent directors.

- The members of the audit and compliance committee are decided by the board of directors, taking into account the knowledge, skills and experience in accountancy, auditing and risk management of the directors.

- The audit and compliance committee must at all times be chaired by an independent director, who must also have the necessary knowledge and experience in accountancy, auditing and risk management.

- The chairman of the audit and compliance committee is Mr. Manuel Soto Serrano.

It has the following duties pursuant to Article 16.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:

“a) Have its chairman and/or secretary report to the shareholders at the general shareholders’ meeting with respect to matters raised therein by shareholders regarding its powers.

b) Propose the appointment of the auditor, as well as the conditions under which such auditor will be hired, the scope of its professional duties and, if applicable, the revocation or non-renewal of its appointment. The committee shall favour the Group’s auditor also assuming responsibility for auditing the companies making up the Group.

c) Review the accounts of the Company and the Group, monitor compliance with legal requirements and the proper application of generally accepted accounting principles and report on the proposals for alterations to the accounting principles and standards suggested by management.

d) Supervise the internal audit services, and particularly:

(i) Proposing the selection, appointment and withdrawal of the party responsible for internal audit;

(ii) Reviewing the annual working plan for internal audit and the annual activities report;

(iii) Ensuring the independence and effectiveness of the internal audit function;

(iv) Proposing the budget for this service;

(v) Receiving periodic information regarding the activities thereof; and

(vi) Verifying that senior management takes into account the conclusions and recommendations of its reports.
e) Know the process for gathering financial information and for the internal control systems. In particular, the audit and compliance committee shall:

(i) Supervise the process of preparing and the integrity of the financial information relating to the Company and the Group, reviewing compliance with regulatory requirements, the proper demarcation of the scope of consolidation and the correct application of accounting standards; and

(ii) Periodically review the systems for the internal monitoring and management of risks, so that the principal risks are identified, managed and properly disclosed.

f) Report on, review and supervise the risk control policy established in accordance with the provisions of these rules and regulations.

g) Serve as a channel of communication between the board and the auditor, assess the results of each audit and the response of the management team to its recommendations, and act as a mediator in the event of disagreement between the board and the auditor regarding the principles and standards to be applied in the preparation of the financial statements. Specifically, it shall endeavour to ensure that the statements ultimately drawn up by the board are submitted to the shareholders at the general shareholders' meeting without any qualifications or reservations in the auditor's report.

h) Supervise the fulfilment of the audit contract, endeavouring to ensure that the opinion on the annual financial statements and the main contents of the auditor’s report are set forth in a clear and accurate fashion.

i) Ensure the independence of the auditor, by taking notice of those circumstances or issues that might risk such independence and any others related to the development of the auditing procedure, as well as receive information and maintain such communication with the auditor as is provided for in legislation regarding the auditing of financial statements and in technical auditing regulations. And, specifically, verify the percentage represented by the fees paid for any and all reasons of the total income of the audit firm, and the length of service of the partner who leads the audit team in the provision of such services to the Company. The annual report shall set forth the fees paid to the audit firm, including information relating to fees paid for professional services other than audit work. Furthermore, the committee shall ensure that the Company publicly communicates a change of auditor and accompanies such communication with a declaration regarding the possible existence of disagreements with the outgoing auditor and, if any, regarding the content thereof and, in the event of the resignation of the auditor, the committee shall examine the circumstances causing it.

j) Report to the board, in advance of the adoption by it of the corresponding decisions, regarding:

(i) The financial information that the Company must periodically make public, ensuring that such information is prepared in accordance with the same principles and practices applicable to the annual financial statements.

(ii) The creation or acquisition of equity interests in special purpose entities or entities domiciled in countries or territories that are considered to be tax havens.

k) Supervise the observance of the code of conduct of the Group in the securities markets, the manuals and procedures for the prevention of money laundering and, in general, the rules of governance and compliance in effect in the Company, and make such proposals as are deemed necessary for the improvement thereof. In particular, the committee shall have the duty to receive information and, if applicable, issue a report on disciplinary penalties to be imposed upon members of senior management.

l) Review compliance with such courses of action and measures as result from the reports issued or the inspection proceedings carried out by the administrative authorities having functions of supervision and control.

m) Know and, if applicable, respond to the initiatives, suggestions or complaints put forward or raised by the shareholders regarding the area of authority of this committee and which are submitted to it by the office of the general secretary of the Company. The committee shall also:

(i) Receive, deal with and keep a record of the claims received by the Bank on matters related to the process for gathering financial information, auditing and internal controls.

(ii) Receive on a confidential and anonymous basis possible communications from Group employees who express their concern on possible questionable practices in the areas of accounting or auditing.

n) Report on any proposed amendments to these rules and regulations prior to the approval thereof by the board of directors.

o) Evaluate, at least once a year, its operation and the quality of its work.”

p) And others specifically provided for in these rules and regulations.”

One of its meetings shall be devoted to evaluating the efficiency of and compliance with the rules and procedures for governance of the Bank and preparing the information that the board is required to approve and include as part of its yearly public documentation.

It is expected the amendment of the transitional provision of the Council Regulation in order to adapt its text to the Law 12/2010, of 30 June, amending the Spanish Auditing Act (Ley de Auditoría de Cuentas).

4. Appointments and remuneration committee:

- The appointments and remuneration committee is another specialised committee of the board, without delegated duties. It is charged with reporting and formulating proposals within the scope of its powers.

- Article 54 of the Bylaws includes a basic rule for the appointments and remuneration committee, which the Rules and Regulations of the Board consolidated and expands. Article 17 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board defines the composition, duties and powers of this committee. In addition, Articles 21, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 33 of the regulations contain a specific ruling on certain aspects of their activities.

- The appointments and remuneration committee must be made up of a minimum of three and a maximum of seven
directors, all external and non-executive, with a majority representation of independent directors, and with one of these independent directors being its chairman. It is currently made up exclusively of external independent directors.

- The members of the appointments and remuneration committee are designated by the Board, taking into consideration the knowledge, skills and experience of the directors and the requirements of said committee.
- During 2010, no members of the appointments and remuneration committee were executive directors, members of the senior management, or Bank employees. Similarly, no executive director or member of the senior management of the Bank has belonged to the board (or a remuneration committee) of any company that has employed members of the appointments and remuneration committee.

It has the following duties pursuant to Article 17.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:

"a) Shall establish and review the standards to be followed in order to determine the composition of the board and select those persons who will be proposed to serve as directors. In particular, the appointments and remuneration committee:

(i) Shall evaluate the competencies, knowledge and experience required of directors.

(ii) Shall specify the duties and the aptitudes needed of the candidates to fill each vacancy, evaluating the time and dedication needed for them to carry out their commitments properly.

(iii) Shall receive for consideration the proposals of potential candidates to fill vacancies that might be made by the directors.

b) Prepare, by following standards of objectiveness and conformance to the corporate interests, the proposals for appointment, re-election and ratification of directors provided for in section 2 of Article 21 of these rules and regulations, as well as the proposals for appointment of the members of each of the committees of the board of directors. Likewise, it shall prepare, by following the same aforementioned standards, the proposals for the appointment of positions on the board of directors and its committees.

c) Annually verify the classification of each director (as executive, proprietary, independent or other) for the purpose of their confirmation or review at the annual general Meeting and in the annual corporate governance report.

d) Report on proposals for appointment or withdrawal of the secretary of the board, prior to submission thereof to the board.

e) Report on appointments and withdrawals of the members of senior management.

f) Propose to the board:

(i) The policy for compensation of directors and the corresponding report, upon the terms of Article 29 of these rules and regulations.

(ii) The policy for compensation of the members of senior management.

(iii) The individual compensation of the directors.

(iv) The individual compensation of the executive directors and, if applicable, external directors, for the performance of duties other than those of a mere director, and other terms of their contracts.

(v) The basic terms of the contracts and compensation of the members of senior management.

(vi) Approval of their remuneration and that of any other members not part of senior management with significant remuneration, particular variable remuneration, and whose activities may have a significant impact on the assumption of risks by the Group.

g) Ensure compliance with the policy established by the Company for compensation of the directors and the members of senior management.

h) Periodically review the compensation programmes, assessing the appropriateness and yield thereof and endeavouring to ensure that the compensation of directors shall conform to standards of moderation and correspondence to the earnings of the Company.

i) Ensure the transparency of such compensation and the inclusion in the annual report and in the annual corporate governance report of information regarding the compensation of directors and, for such purposes, submit to the board any and all information that may be appropriate.

j) Ensure compliance by the directors with the duties prescribed in Article 30 of these rules and regulations, prepare the reports provided for herein and receive information, and, if applicable, prepare a report on the measures to be adopted with respect to the directors in the event of non-compliance with the abovementioned duties or with the code of conduct of the Group in the securities markets.

k) Examine the information sent by the directors regarding their other professional obligations and assess whether such obligations might interfere with the dedication required of directors for the effective performance of their work.

l) Evaluate, at least once a year, its operation and the quality of its work.“

m) Report on the process of evaluation of the board and of the members thereof.

n) And others specifically provided for in these rules and regulations.

5. International committee:
The International committee (to which reference is made in Article 13 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board) is responsible for monitoring the progress of the Group’s strategy and the activities, markets and countries in which the Group wishes to operate through direct investments or through the
deployment of specific business. The committee is informed of the commercial initiatives and strategies of the various Group units and of any new projects presented to it. It also reviews the progress of financial investments and business as well as the international economic climate so that it can make, where appropriate, suitable proposals to correct country risk limits, their structure and returns and their allocation by business and/or unit.

The committee is made up of eight directors, four executive directors and four external independent directors.

6. Technology, productivity and quality committee:
The technology, productivity and quality committee is also dealt with in Article 13 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, and is responsible for studying and reporting on the plans and actions relating to information and application programming systems, investments in computer equipment, design of operational processes to improve productivity, and programmes to improve service quality and measurement procedures, as well as programs relating to resources and costs.

It is made up of eight directors, three executive and five external directors. Of the five external directors, four are independent directors and one is neither proprietary nor independent.

B.2.4 Indicate, as appropriate, whether there are any regulations governing the board committees. If so, indicate where they can be consulted and whether any amendments have been made during the year. Also indicate whether an annual report on the activities of each committee has been prepared voluntarily.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Committee name</th>
<th>Brief description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Executive committee</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk committee</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit and compliance committee</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appointments and remuneration committee</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International committee</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology, productivity and quality committee</td>
<td>See above</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B.2.5 Indicate, as appropriate, whether there are any regulations governing the board committees. If so, indicate where they can be consulted and whether any amendments have been made during the year. Also indicate whether an annual report on the activities of each committee has been prepared voluntarily.

There are no specific regulations on board committees, because the regulations that govern them are contained, as mentioned in section B.2.3. above, in the Rules and Regulations of the Board. The executive committee, the risk committee, the audit and compliance committee and the appointments and remuneration committee are regulated by both the Bylaws and the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

In addition, the audit and compliance committee and the appointments and remuneration committee prepare their own reports, which are published along with Grupo Santander’s Annual Report.

– Audit and compliance committee report
The audit and compliance committee issued its first activities report in 2003. The 2010 audit and compliance report addresses the following issues in detail:

a) Regulatory aspects, functioning, duties, composition and the attendance of its members at the committee meetings held in 2010.

b) Activities performed in 2010, grouped in accordance with the core duties of the committee:
   – Financial information
   – Financial auditor
   – Internal control and risk management systems of the Group
   – Internal audit
   – Compliance
   – Corporate governance
   – Information to the board and to shareholders at the annual general meeting, and assessment of the effectiveness of and compliance with the Bank’s governance rules and procedures.

c) Assessment by the committee of the performance of its duties in 2010.

– Report of the appointments and remuneration committee
Since 2004, the appointments and remuneration committee has been publishing a yearly activities report, which has included a report on the remuneration policy for directors since 2006. The 2010 report addresses the following issues in detail:

a) Regulatory aspects, functioning, duties, composition and the attendance of its members at the committee meetings held in 2010.

b) Report on the remuneration policy of directors.

c) Activities performed in 2010:
   – Appointment of members of the board and board committees
   – Yearly assessment of the category of the directors
   – Participation in the board self-assessment process
   – Appointment and remuneration of members of the senior management who do not sit on the board of directors
   – Training
   – Civil liability insurance
   – Related party transactions
   – Institutional documentation
   – Self-assessment

d) Assessment by the committee of the performance of its duties in 2010.

B.2.6 Indicate whether the composition of the executive committee reflects the participation within the board of the different types of directors:

Yes X No

If the answer is no, explain the composition of the executive committee.
C. RELATED-PARTY TRANSACTIONS

C.1 Indicate whether the board plenary sessions have reserved the right to approve, based on a favourable report from the audit committee or any other committee responsible for this task, transactions which the company carries out with directors, significant shareholders or representatives on the board, or related parties:

Yes X No

In relation to the indicated powers of the board, Article 30 of its Rules and Regulations states that:

“The directors must notify the board of any direct or indirect conflict with the interests of the Company in which they may be involved. If the conflict arises from a transaction with the Company, the director shall not be allowed to conduct it unless the board, following a report from the appointments and remuneration committee, approves such transaction. In the event of conflict, the director involved shall not participate in the deliberations and decisions on the transaction to which the conflict refers. In all events, the situations of conflict in which the Company directors are involved shall be reported in the annual corporate governance report.”

C.2 List any relevant transactions entailing a transfer of assets or liabilities between the company or its group companies and the significant shareholders in the company:

As indicated above (see section A.2.), the Company is not aware of the existence of significant shareholders and accordingly, there is no information regarding transactions therewith.

C.3 List any relevant transactions entailing a transfer of assets or liabilities between the company or its group companies, and the company’s managers or directors:

No director, no other member of the Bank’s senior management, no person represented by a director or a member of the Bank’s senior management, nor any company where they are directors, members of the senior management or significant shareholders or any other person who has entered into a concerted action or carried out any transactions with the Bank that were not ordinary or relevant whereby the Bank, in accordance with Order EHA/3050/2004 of 15 September regarding the information that companies issuing securities admitted for trading on official secondary markets should provide in connection with related-party transactions in their interim reports.

Below, the direct risks of the Group with the directors of the Bank in terms of loans, credit and guarantees at 31 December 2010 are shown. The conditions of these transactions are equivalent to those carried out in market conditions or where remuneration in kind have been assigned.

All these transactions are part of the ordinary course of business of the Bank or the company of the Group with whom the transaction was made.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of director or senior manager</th>
<th>Name or corporate name of the company or its group company</th>
<th>Nature of the relationship</th>
<th>Type of transaction</th>
<th>Amount (thousand €)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad</td>
<td>Banco Santander, S.A.</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Matías Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>Banco Santander, S.A.</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Manuel Soto Serrano</td>
<td>Banco Santander, S.A.</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Basagoiti García-Turón</td>
<td>Banco Santander, S.A.</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>Banco Español de Crédito, S.A.</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>Banco Santander, S.A.</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Rodrigo Echemique Gordillo</td>
<td>Banco Santander, S.A.</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Escámez Torres</td>
<td>Banco Banif, S.A.</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>1,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Ángel Jado Becerro de Bengoa</td>
<td>Banco Santander, S.A.</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>3,002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Luzón López</td>
<td>Banco Santander, S.A.</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>5,546</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Juan Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>Banco Santander, S.A.</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>3,684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Luis Alberto Salazar-Simpson Bos</td>
<td>Banco Banif, S.A.</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Isabel Rocino Biscarolasaga</td>
<td>Banco Español de Crédito, S.A.</td>
<td>Debtor</td>
<td>Financing</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C.4 List any relevant transactions undertaken by the company with other companies in its group that are not eliminated in the process of drawing up the consolidated financial statements and whose subject matter and terms set them apart from the company’s ordinary trading activities:

There have been no transactions with such characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Corporate name of the group company</th>
<th>Brief description of the transaction</th>
<th>Amount (thousand €)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C.5 Identify, where appropriate, any conflicts of interest affecting company directors pursuant to Article 127 of the Spanish Companies Act (Ley de Sociedades Anónimas). 5

Yes □  No □  X □

No conflict of interest has arisen as described under Article 229 of the Corporate Enterprises Act (Ley de Sociedades de Capital)

During fiscal year 2010, there have been 39 cases where directors, including those in senior management, have abstained from participating in meetings or voting on resolutions of the board of directors or its committees.

The breakdown of the 39 cases is as follows: on 16 occasions this was due to proposals of appointments, re-election and renewal of directors; on 13 occasions this was to approve compensation terms; on five occasions, the annual review of the nature of directors carried out by the appointment and remuneration committee, in line with Article 6.3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, at its meeting of 17 March 2010; on four occasions when debating proposals of financing of companies related to different directors, and, on one occasion, to approve an action of corporate social responsibility for a foundation chaired by a director.

C.6 List the mechanisms established to detect, determine and resolve any possible conflicts of interest between the company and/or its group, and its directors, management or significant shareholders.

Directors.

In the case of the Company’s directors, conflict of interest situations are governed by Article 30 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, which stipulates that director must notify the board of any direct or indirect conflict with the interests of the Company in which they may be involved. If the conflict arises from a transaction with the Company, the director shall not be allowed to conduct it unless the board, following a report from the appointments and remuneration committee, approves such transaction. In the event of conflict, the director involved shall not participate in the deliberations and decisions on the transaction to which the conflict refers.

Mechanisms used to detect, determine and resolve conflicts of interests with members of senior management who are not directors.

The mechanisms used to detect conflicts of interest are essentially based on the obligation to declare any such conflict-of-interest by the persons subject to the code of conduct in securities markets.

This code, which may be found on the Group’s corporate website (www.santander.com), governs the obligation to declare conflicts of interest under Section I, Chapter III, Letter A (“Statement of Personal Situation”). Specifically relevant are sections 12 and 13 of the code, the texts of which are set forth below:

Subjected Persons should present a statement to Compliance Management detailing their linkages. Such statement should be constantly updated.

13. Situations of possible conflict.
Subjected Persons should notify Compliance Management of any situation in which a conflict of interest could occur, from the point of view of an impartial and unbiased observer and with respect to a specific act, service or operation, owing to the linkages of such Subjected Person or because of any other reason or circumstance.”

Title 1, chapter III, letter B (Conduct in the event of conflicts of interest) of the code of conduct in securities markets regulates the actions of subjected persons in conflicts of interest based on the principle of avoiding conflicts of interests. Point 14 of the code states:

“Subjected Persons shall endeavour to avoid conflicts of interests, both their own and those of the Group, and if affected personally by such conflicts, shall abstain from deciding (or where applicable, issuing) their vote in situations where such conflicts.”

5. Conflicts of interest are regulated under Article 229 of the Corporate Enterprises Act.
Regarding the rules to be applied in resolving conflicts of interest, section 15 of the code provides that the following shall be borne in mind:

“15.1 In the event of a conflict between the Group and a customer, the safeguarding of the latter’s interests.

15.2 In the event of a conflict between Subjected Persons and the Group, the loyal obligation of the former.

15.3 In the event of a conflict between customers, the affected persons will be notified, and the services or operations where the conflict is present may only be implemented if the affected parties agree. The favouring of any affected party shall be avoided.”

Decision-making bodies that regulate and resolve conflicts of interest:

Directors.

These matters are the responsibility of the board of directors.

Senior Management.

The provisions contained in Section I, Chapter III, letter B (“Conduct in the event of a conflict of interest”), section 15, establishes the following decision-making bodies:

“Conflicts of interest shall be resolved by the person holding maximum responsibility for the Inside Area so affected. If several areas are affected, the resolution shall be made by the immediately senior officer of all such areas, or if none of the foregoing rules are applicable, by whomever Compliance Management may decide. In the event of any doubt, Compliance Management should be consulted.”

C.7 Is more than one group company listed in Spain?

Yes [X] No

Identify the listed subsidiaries in Spain:

Listed subsidiary companies

Banco Español de Crédito, S.A.

Indicate if the areas of activity and future business relations between them have been publicly defined in detail, as well as the listed subsidiaries with other companies in the group:

Yes [X] No

Define the possible business relationship between the listed subsidiary company and the other companies in the group.

The document “Framework for the Relationship between Santander and Banesto”, which can be found on the Group’s website (www.santander.com), establishes the rules defining the framework for the relationship between Banco Santander as the dominant company and its listed subsidiary, Banesto. This is line with Recommendation 2 of the Unified Code. These rules define the respective areas of activity and possible business relationships, as well as the mechanisms in place to resolve any potential cases of conflict of interest. To this end, it establishes that any intergroup transaction between Santander and Banesto must be agreed under reasonable market terms taking into consideration the nature of the transaction, volumes and other relevant circumstances which may impact.

Identify the mechanisms in place to resolve potential conflicts of interest between the listed subsidiary and the other companies in the group:

Mechanisms to resolve potential conflicts of interest

Conflicts of interest are regulated by chapter VI of the document “Framework for the Relationship between Santander and Banesto (Handling of conflicts. Relationship control).

Under this document, intra-group operations are understood to mean ordinary or extraordinary commercial operations, between a Grupo Santander company (including Santander) and a Grupo Banesto company (including Banesto).

The mechanisms in place to resolve conflicts of interest as set out in the Framework for the Relationship between Santander and Banesto are the following:

“10. Members of the board.

Persons who hold executive positions in Santander or Banesto and also form part of the board of another institution shall participate in the debates and decisions of the latter avoiding the appearance of any conflict of interests arising from their dual status, or if this is not possible, abstaining whenever such conflicts arise.

In any event, they shall act as contemplated in the corporate Code of Conduct for Securities Markets.

11. Yearly reporting.

In the Annual Report and the Annual Report on Corporate Governance (and where applicable, in the Periodic Public Information), in accordance with the regulations applicable to each of such documents, information will be included on intra-group operations as related party transactions.

12. Communications.

The parties designate their respective Heads of Compliance as the persons through whom they will make the ordinary communications that are necessary in executing the rules contained herein.”

No intra-group operations between Santander and Banesto took place in 2010 that have not been removed in the consolidation process and that do not form part of the daily operations of the Company and the companies within the Group in relation to its purpose and conditions.
D. RISK CONTROL SYSTEM

D.1 Give a general description of risk policy in the company and/or its group, detailing and evaluating the risks covered by the system, together with evidence that the system is appropriate for the profile of each type of risk.

The Risk Management report in the Group’s 2010 annual report (pages 150 to 207) includes detailed information on this subject.

D.2 Indicate whether the company or Group has been exposed to different types of risk (operational, technological, financial, legal, reputational, fiscal… ) during the year:

Yes X No

If so, indicate the circumstances and whether the established control systems worked adequately:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risks occurring in the year</th>
<th>Circumstances responsible for this occurrence</th>
<th>Operation of control systems</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The Risk Management report in the Group’s 2010 annual report (pages 150 to 207) includes detailed information on this subject.

D.3 Indicate whether there is a committee or other governing body in charge of establishing and supervising these control systems.

Yes X No

If so, please explain its duties.

Governing body and its duties:

Article 3.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board expressly states that management of the general risk policy is part of the general supervisory duties of the board, and, as such is identified as an integral part of its mission.

Among the committees of the board, the most relevant to this area is the risk committee, whose duties and functions, regulated under Article 15 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, are detailed in section B.2.3.2 of this form.

The Group’s Risk Policy is orientated toward maintaining a predictable and medium to low risk profile, both in terms of credit risk and market risk.

D.4 Identify and describe the processes for compliance with the regulations applicable to the company and/or its Group.

Compliance risk is the risk of the Company and/or its Group receiving a sanction, economic or other, or exposure to other types of disciplinary measures by supervisors as a result of failing to comply with the law, regulations, rules, self-regulation standards of the organisation and applicable codes of conduct.

The audit and compliance committee reports the compliance function on an ongoing basis to the board. The chief compliance officer took part in ten of the eleven meetings held by the committee in 2010.

The compliance committee, which monitors the compliance policy, held five meetings in 2010.

Project for compliance and modification of the Group’s General Code of Conduct

The chief compliance officer informed the audit and compliance committee, at its meeting of 15 December 2010, area presented the audit and compliance committee, at its meeting of 18 November 2009, of the enactment on 23 December 2010 of Basic Law 5/2010 of 22 June, reforming the Penal Code.

The principal innovation of the reform is that a body corporate shall be criminally liable for the following: i) for crimes committed in the name of or on behalf of the body corporate, or in its benefit, by its de jure or de facto legal representatives and directors, and ii) for crimes committed in the exercise of company duties on account of an in benefit of the same, who, subject to the authority of the natural persons mentioned in the foregoing point, were able to commit such acts owing to the failure to exercise proper control over them, in accordance with the specific circumstances of a case.

Hence, it has been deemed necessary for the Group to have a compliance program that will strengthen prevention of crimes in the exercise of the corporate activities of the Group, on the basis of the policies, procedures, organisational model and oversight inherent to compliance.

Hence, it became necessary to modify the General Code of Conduct, as it is a key element in the compliance programme and to include activities that may result in penal liabilities for the Bank that had not been expressly envisaged in the same.

The General Code of Conduct plays a key role in the framework of the compliance programme because it contains: i) the ethical principles of the Group and the rules and standards of behaviour in different areas of risk; ii) the duties and responsibilities of the Group’s executive bodies with regard to the application of the programme and iii) the rules governing breach of said code and the formulation and processing of communications of allegedly illegal activities.

In its meeting of 15 December 2010, the audit and compliance committee reported favourably on the compliance programme and the modification of the General Code of Conduct, which were approved by the board of directors in its meeting of 20 December 2010.
Marketing of products and framework for control and monitoring of the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MiFID)
Since 1999, the Group’s compliance area has been developing the processes related to the approval of new products and services offered to customers through the global new products committee.

In application of the corporate marketing project, the global new products committee has been transformed into a corporate marketing committee.

In 2010, the global marketing committee and the local marketing committee of Banca Comercial España held 21 and 10 meetings, respectively, at which 237 products and services were reviewed.

Further, 52 not-new products and services were not approved by the corporate office of reputational risk management in the year.

Throughout the year, the audit and compliance committee has received reports from managers of the Santander network, internal audits and compliance on a number of matters related to the MiFID rules. Comprised of an EU directive and its development and transposition to the European and Spanish legal systems, they basically regulate the organisation of companies that provide investment services, customer and investor protection, markets and alternative forms of marketing products.

Prevention of money laundering
During 2010 the head of Compliance informed the audit and compliance committee of the situation regarding the prevention of money laundering.

The Group’s money-laundering prevention policies and systems are applied globally and at the corporate level. The prevention organisation comprises 209 units of the Group in 37 countries. At present, the Group’s prevention of money laundering and financing of terrorism employs 499 staff, of which three fourths do so with exclusive dedication.

At its meeting of 21 July, the audit and compliance committee was informed of the favourable results of the annual review performed by Deloitte of the Group’s systems for prevention of money laundering and terrorism financing, in accordance with the requirements of Royal Decree 925/1995 and the provisions of Ministerial Order EHA/2444/2007, dated 31 July, regulating the structure and minimum content of the independent expert’s report.

The review covers all the units of the Group in Spain with the legal status of regulated parties and the activities of control and supervision Banco Santander performs, as the Group’s parent company, over all the branch offices and subsidiaries.

With regard to reporting of suspicious operations in Spain and, specifically the reports sent in 2009 to the Executive Service of the Bank of Spain for the Prevention of Money Laundering (SEPBLAC for its initials in Spanish), the audit and compliance committee was informed at its meeting on 22 September 2010 that SEPBLAC had awarded Santander the classification of “Good” in terms of compliance, outperforming the mean score of other major Spanish banking groups.

Hence, it is particularly important to note that information on the percentage of reports filed by SEPBLAC on Santander and Banesto were far below the sector mean, thus proving the quality of the reports made.

Measures proposed by the supervisory authorities
The committee is responsible for reviewing compliance with such courses of action and measures as result from the reports issued or the inspection proceedings carried out by the administrative authorities having functions of supervision and control.

In 2010, the audit and compliance committee had access to the reports issued by supervisory authorities both in Spain and in other countries where the Group does business. In addition, this committee received regular monitoring reports on the main issues, verifying the proper implementation of the proposed measures.

In its meeting of 19 May 2010, the general auditor presented to the audit and compliance committee the new rules of conduct in dealings with supervisors in order to highlight their importance in the Group, assigning responsibility for these dealings with local bodies to country managers.

Off-shore entities
The audit and compliance committee knows the Group’s policy of not creating or acquiring shares in special purpose vehicles or entities domiciled in countries or territories considered tax havens without the prior express authorisation of the board, subject to a report by the committee —or, when reasons of urgency so advise, of the executive committee, with a subsequent report thereof to the board, pursuant to the provisions of Article 3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board—and in all cases following an analysis of the specific circumstances surrounding any such authorisation and the rationale for the timeliness thereof.

The audit and compliance committee is kept timely informed by the various areas responsible for these matters of the activities carried out and the results obtained by the Group’s off-shore branches and companies, and supervises the internal control exercised over such entities, in accordance with the recommendations of the Bank of Spain contained in the Report on Banking Supervision in Spain for 2003.

At its meetings held on 17 February 2010 and 15 March 2010, the audit and compliance committee received detailed information on the actions taken by the Group in 2009 and 2010 as part of its policy to reduce the number of entities in tax havens.

It was indicated to the committee that the internal audit division had reviewed all these entities in 2010 and that compliance management, in turn, had reported as necessary in accordance with the regulations on the prevention of money laundering.

The conclusions of the internal audit division are satisfactory, and those of compliance management show the reduced risk of money laundering present in off-shore units as a whole. Both the internal audit division and compliance management have formulated some recommendations for improvements, indicating the timeframe for their implementation.

Also, the financial statements of the Group’s off-shore units are audited by companies belonging to the Deloitte group.
In conclusion, the committee has a positive opinion regarding compliance by the Group with the principles arising from the Report on Banking Supervision in Spain for 2003, especially in connection with the involvement of the management decision making bodies of the parent company, the identification of the activities conducted by each off-shore entity and the results obtained, control by the parent company over off-shore activities, and transparency of the annual financial statements, with detailed information on such entities being provided in the annual report.

E. GENERAL SHAREHOLDERS’ MEETING

E.1 Indicate the quorum required for constitution of the general shareholders’ meeting established in the company’s bylaws. Describe how it differs from the system of minimum quorums established in the Spanish Companies Act 6 (Ley de Sociedades Anónimas).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quorum % other than that established in Article 102 of the Spanish Companies Act (Ley de Sociedades Anónimas) in general</th>
<th>Quorum % other than that established in Article 103 of the Spanish Companies Act (Ley de Sociedades Anónimas) for special cases 103</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Quorum required for first call</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quorum required for second call</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of the differences
There are none

The quorum required to hold a valid general shareholders’ meeting established in the Bylaws (Article 25) and in the Rules and Regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting (Article 12) is the same as provided under the Spanish Corporate Enterprises Act.

Therefore, Articles 193 and 194.1 and second sentence, of the Spanish Corporate Enterprises Act apply, the text of which is as follows:

Article 193. Quorum of general shareholders’ meeting of public limited liability company.

1. In public limited companies, the general shareholders’ meeting shall be validly established on first call if the shareholders present in person or by proxy hold at least twenty-five percent of the subscribed share capital carrying the right to vote. The bylaws may increase the quorum required.

2. On second call, the meeting shall be validly established regardless of the capital in attendance, unless the bylaws establish a particular quorum, which shall be lower than the quorum established or required by Law for first meetings upon first call.

Article 194. Qualified quorum in special cases.

1. In public limited companies, if the shareholders in ordinary or extraordinary meetings are called upon to deliberate on capital increases or decreases or any other amendments to the bylaws, the issuance of debentures, the disapplication of pre-emptive subscription rights to new shares, as well as on the transformation, merger, spin-off or global transfer of assets and liabilities, or the relocation of the registered office to a foreign country, the required quorum on first call shall be met by the attendance or representation of shareholders representing at least fifty percent of the subscribed share capital with the right to vote.

2. At second call, shareholders representing twenty-five percent of the share capital shall suffice.

(...)*.

6. New Corporate Enterprises Act
E.2 Indicate and, as applicable, describe any differences between the company’s system of adopting corporate resolutions and the framework set forth in the Spanish Companies Act (Ley de Sociedades Anónimas)\(^9\):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Majority other than that established in Article 103.2 of the Spanish Companies Act (Ley de Sociedades Anónimas) for the cases described in Article 103.1(^10)</th>
<th>Other majorities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Describe how they differ from the rules established under the Spanish Companies Act (Ley de Sociedades Anónimas).

In particular, the board of directors shall make available to the shareholders, prior to the general shareholders’ meeting, all such information as may be legally required. The board, acting through the general secretary, shall respond in writing to those requests which, in the exercise of the right to receive information as contemplated by law, the shareholders may submit in writing to the board as much in advance of the general shareholders’ meeting as may be requisite.

In addition, the board shall, by means of its chairman or, if applicable and if so decided by the chairman, by means of the chairman of the audit and compliance committee, any director, the general secretary or, if appropriate, any employee or expert in the issues, respond, when it is admissible under the provisions of Law, the bylaws or the regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting, to any questions that the shareholders may pose verbally during the course of the general shareholders’ meeting in connection with the matters included in the agenda. When it is impossible to satisfy the shareholder’s right at such time, the requested information shall, if appropriate, be provided in writing within seven days following the end of the general shareholders’ meeting.

Furthermore, the board of directors shall maintain and make available to the shareholders an updated website of the Company, with due observance of the applicable regulations, where all such information as is required under legal or bylaw provisions or regulations may be accessed.\(^11\)

**Measures adopted to foster the informed participation of the shareholders**

Amongst the measures adopted by the Bank to foster informed participation of shareholders in the general shareholders’ meetings are the following:

- Eligibility to attend the general shareholders’ meetings being the holder of only one share.
- No system of share blocking to identify those persons with the right to attend the general shareholders’ meeting. The only requirement is to have any number of shares registered in the name of the shareholders five days prior to the day on which the general shareholders’ meeting is to be held.
- Remote attendance using teleconference facilities, and the exercising of voting rights via post, in person or electronically.
- The possibility that the shareholders can exercise their right to information via email, by writing to the email address junta.accionistas@santander.com from the date the call to meeting is issued.
- Separate voting on issues such as the appointment of directors and Articles or groups of Articles that are materially different in the case of amendments to the Company Bylaws or the Rules and Regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting, except for votes on complete texts of the Bylaws or the Rules and Regulations of the general shareholders’ meeting.
- Delegation to any person, whether or not they are a shareholder.
- Presence of a notary public to prepare the minutes of the general shareholders’ Meeting.

**E.3 List all shareholders’ rights regarding the general meetings other than those established under the Spanish Companies Act (Ley de Sociedades Anónimas).**

The rights granted to the shareholders by the Bylaws and the Rules and Regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting with respect to general shareholders’ meetings are the same rights as provided in the Spanish Corporate Enterprises Act.

**E.4 Indicate the measures, if any, adopted to encourage shareholder participation at general meetings.**

The board of directors expressly encourages the informed participation of shareholders at general shareholders’ meetings, as evidenced by Article 31.3 of their rules and regulations, pursuant to which:

“The board of directors shall encourage the informed participation of the shareholders at the general shareholders’ meetings and shall adopt such measures as may be appropriate to make it easier for the shareholders acting at a General Shareholders’ Meeting to effectively exercise the powers conferred upon them by Law and the bylaws.”

Information to shareholders and communication with them.

On occasion of the 2010 annual general meeting, the chairman sent another letter to all the shareholders inviting them to propose issues to be discussed at the meeting, without prejudice to their rights to receive information and make proposals.

A total of 849 letters and emails were received and duly answered.

During 2010, there were 661 meetings with investors and a permanent channel of communication was maintained with analysts and ratings agencies, entailing personal contact with more than 1,350 investors/analysts. For the third year running, the department for investor relations and analysts was chosen by both investors (buy side) as the best IR Team in Europe’s financial sector, according to a survey conducted by specialist magazine Institutional Investor. The department also continued with its activity of informing the main investors and analysts of the Group’s corporate social responsibility policies.

Santander continued to strengthen its channels of information and services for shareholders through the seven Investor Relations Offices in the major markets in which it operates: Spain, the United Kingdom, the United States, Brazil, Mexico, Portugal and Chile.

Finally, in compliance with the CNMV recommendations on meetings with analysts and investors, calls to for these meetings are published in advance along with the necessary documentation.

E.5 Indicate whether the general meeting is presided by the chairman of the board of directors. List the measures, if any, adopted to guarantee the independence and correct operation of the general shareholders’ meeting:

Yes X No

Details of measures
The Company Bylaws (Article 29.2) and the Rules and Regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting (Article 13.2) state that the chairman of the board of directors or, in his absence, the vice chairman serving in his stead pursuant to Article 44, and in the absence of both the chairman and the vice chairman, the director designated by the board of directors, shall preside over general shareholders’ meetings. Likewise, should there be no designated director, the meeting shall be presided over by a shareholder appointed by all the shareholders present at the meeting.

Measures adopted to ensure independence and proper operation of the annual general meeting:

At the annual general meeting of 21 June 2003, shareholders approved the Rules and Regulations for the General Shareholders’ Meeting. Thereafter, Law 26/2003, of July 17 became effective, which amended Law 24/1988, of July 28 on the Securities Market and the revised text of the Companies Law, approved by Royal Decree 1564/1989 of December 22, in order to reinforce the transparency of the listed companies. At the annual general meeting held on June 19 2004, the shareholders approved, upon the proposal of the board of directors, new regulations that incorporate the new features incorporated by such Law, with the regulations amended in 2006, 2007 and 2008. The Rules and Regulations for the General Shareholders’ Meeting, which include a detailed set of measures ensuring the independence and proper operation of the general shareholders’ meeting, may be found on the website of the Group at the address specified in E.6. below.

Among the specific measures implemented by the Company to improve the operation of the general shareholders’ meeting, some of the most noteworthy are those implemented in 2004 including voting and proxy-granting by electronic procedures (internet) and postal voting.

In addition, since the 2005 annual general meeting, the Bank’s shareholders are able to participate remotely via teleconferencing.

A detailed description of the votes cast for the general shareholders’ meeting held on June 11, 2010, as a percentage of the Company’s share capital using the abovementioned voting and proxy procedures is included in E.7 of this Report.

E.6 Indicate the amendments, if any, made to the general shareholders’ meeting regulations during the year.

No amendments were made in 2010 to the Rules and Regulations for the General Shareholders’ Meeting. These regulations can be found on the corporate website (www.santander.com), in the main menu under “Information for Shareholders and Investors,” in the “Corporate Governance” sub-menu under “Rules and regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting.”

E.7 Indicate the attendance figures for the general shareholders’ meetings held during the year.

The following table brings together voting data as a percentage of Bank capital used in different voting and proxy systems that were available to shareholders in the general meeting held on 11 June 2010:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of general meeting</th>
<th>% attending in person</th>
<th>% by proxy</th>
<th>% remote voting</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06/11/2010</td>
<td>0.364% (1)</td>
<td>34.840% (2)</td>
<td>20.651% (3)</td>
<td>55.855%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) Of the percentage specified (0.364%), 0.002% corresponds to the capital represented by proxies granted via internet.
(2) The percentage of capital represented by proxies granted via internet was 0.015%.
(3) Of the percentage specified (20.651%), 20.647% corresponds to postal votes and 0.004% to electronic votes.

E.8 Briefly indicate the resolutions adopted at the general shareholders’ meetings held during the year and the percentage of votes with which each resolution was adopted.

Below is a description of the resolutions adopted by the shareholders at the general shareholders’ meeting held 11 June 2010 and the percentage of votes by which each of such resolutions was passed.

The complete text of these agreements is available on the Group’s website (www.santander.com).
EXTRAORDINARY SHAREHOLDERS’ MEETING OF JUNE 10, 2010.(1)

Votes

1. Examination and approval, if deemed appropriate, of the annual accounts (balance sheet, profit and loss statement, statements of recognised income and expense, statement of changes in net assets and cash flows, and notes) and of the corporate management of Banco Santander, S.A. and its consolidated Group, all with respect to the Fiscal Year ended December 31, 2009.

   98.506% 0.521% 0.961% 0.012%

2. Application of 2009 results

   99.120% 0.042% 0.825% 0.013%

3. Board of Direction: appointment, reelection and ratification of directors

   Three A – Appointment of Mr Angel Jado Becerro de Bengoa
   Three B – Re-election of Francisco Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea.
   Three C – Re-election of Ms Isabel Tocino Biscarolasaga
   Three D – Re-election of Mr Fernando de Asua Álvarez
   Three E – Re-election of Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad

   97.546% 1.186% 1.245% 0.023%
   97.264% 1.657% 1.059% 0.020%
   97.729% 1.202% 1.049% 0.020%
   97.530% 1.389% 1.060% 0.021%
   97.730% 1.314% 0.935% 0.021%

4. Auditor reelection for the year 2010

   98.430% 0.739% 0.817% 0.014%

5. Authorisation for the Bank and its subsidiaries to acquire their own stock pursuant to the provisions of Section 153.1a) of the Business Corporations Law (Ley de sociedades Anónimas), depriving of effect to the extent of the unused amount the authorization granted by the shareholders at the Ordinary General Shareholders’ Meeting held on June 19, 2009.

   98.274% 0.887% 0.823% 0.016%

6. Delegation to the Board of Directors of the power to carry out the resolution to be adopted by the shareholders at the Meeting to increase the share capital, pursuant to the provisions of Section 153.1a) of the Business Corporations Law, depriving of effect the authorization granted by the shareholders at such General Meeting on June 19, 2009.

   96.249% 2.708% 1.026% 0.017%

7. Seven A – Increase of the share capital in such amount as may be determined pursuant to the resolution by means of the issuance of new ordinary shares having a par value of one-half (0.5) euro each, without an issuance premium, of the same class and series as those that are currently outstanding, with a charge to voluntary reserves set up with unappropriated earnings. Express provision for the possibility of incomplete allocation. Delegation of powers to the Board of Directors, with authority to, in turn, delegate such powers to the Executive Committee, to set the terms and conditions of the increase in all matters not contemplated by the shareholders at this General Shareholders’ Meeting, to carry out all actions required for implementation thereof, to amend the text of paragraphs 1 and 2 of Article 5 of the Bylaws to reflect the new amount of the share capital and to execute such public and private documents as may be necessary to carry out the increase. Application to the appropriate domestic and foreign authorities for admission to trading of the new shares on the Madrid, Barcelona, Bilbao and Valencia Stock Exchanges through Spain’s Electronic Trading System (Continuous Market) and on the foreign Stock Exchanges on which the shares of Banco Santander are traded (Lisbon, London, Milan, Buenos Aires, Mexico and, through ADSs, on the New York Stock Exchange) in the manner required by each of such Exchanges.

   98.312% 0.831% 0.842% 0.016%

8. Delegation to the Board of Directors of the power to issue simple fixed-income securities or debt instruments of a similar nature (including bonds, promissory notes or warrants), as well as fixed income securities convertible into and/or exchangeable for shares of the Company. Delegation to the Board of Directors of the power to issue simple fixed-income securities or debt instruments of a similar nature (including bonds, promissory notes or warrants), as well as fixed income securities convertible into and/or exchangeable for shares of the Company. Deprive of effect the delegation of powers approved by resolution Nine II) of the Ordinary General Shareholders’ Meeting of June 19, 2009.

   85.034% 13.744% 1.207% 0.016%

9. Incentive policy

   Nine A - In connection with the long-term Incentive Policy approved by the Board of Directors, approval of new cycles and plan for the delivery of Santander shares for implementation by the Bank and by companies of the Santander Group and linked to certain permanence and performance requirements or to changes in total shareholder return.

   Nine B - Approval of an incentive plan for employees of Abbey National Plc. and other companies of the Group in the United Kingdom by means of options to shares of the Bank linked to the contribution of periodic monetary amounts and to certain requirements of permanence.

   95.372% 2.426% 2.183% 0.019%

   97.799% 0.268% 0.915% 0.018%
The holders of any number of shares registered in their name in the respective book entry registry five days prior to the date on which the general shareholders’ meeting is to be held and who are current in the payment of capital calls shall be entitled to attend general shareholders’ meetings.

The first paragraph of Article 26.1 of the current Bylaws states: “All shareholders having the right to attend the meeting may be represented by a proxy granted or notified to the Company by hand-delivery or postal correspondence, sending the Company the duly signed and completed attendance and proxy card; such electronic copy shall allow for due confirmation of the identity of the shareholder granting the proxy and of the representative being appointed, or by electronic correspondence or communication with the Company, including an electronic copy of the attendance and proxy card; such electronic copy shall specify the representation being granted and the identity of the party represented, and shall include the electronic signature or other form of identification of the shareholder being represented, in accordance with the conditions set by the board of directors recorded in a resolution adopted for such purpose, in order to ensure that this system of representation includes adequate assurances regarding authenticity and the identity of the shareholder represented.”

The annual general meeting held on June 17, 2006 approved the amendment of the Articles in the Bylaws and the Rules and Regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting to allow the delegation of a vote to a non-shareholder. This right is recognised in Article 27.1 of the Bylaws and in the first paragraph in Article 8 of the Rules and Regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting, stating:

Article 27.1 of the Bylaws (first sentence)
“All shareholders having the right to attend the meeting may be represented at a general shareholders’ meeting by giving their proxy to another person, even if such person is not a shareholder.”

Article 8 of the Rules and Regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting (first paragraph, first sentence)
“Without prejudice to the provisions of the bylaws, the right to attend the General Shareholders’ Meeting may be delegated to any individual or legal person.”

In the case of remote representation, the rules described in Article 27.5, 6. 7 and 8 of Bylaws apply, stating:

Articles 27.5, 6, 7 and 8 of the Bylaws
“5. When a proxy is granted or notified to the Company by remote means of communication, it shall only be deemed valid if the grant is made:

a) by hand-delivery or postal correspondence, sending the Company the duly signed and completed attendance and proxy card, or by other written means that, in the judgment of the board of directors recorded in a resolution adopted for such purpose, allows for due confirmation of the identity of the shareholder granting the proxy and of the representative being appointed, or

b) by electronic correspondence or communication with the Company, including an electronic copy of the attendance and proxy card; such electronic copy shall specify the representation being granted and the identity of the party represented, and shall include the electronic signature or other form of identification of the shareholder being represented, in accordance with the conditions set by the board of directors recorded in a resolution adopted for such purpose in order to ensure that this system of representation includes adequate assurances regarding authenticity and the identity of the shareholder represented.”

E.9 Indicate whether the bylaws impose any minimum requirement on the number of shares needed to attend the General Shareholders’ Meetings:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

The annual general meeting held on 19 June 2004, approved an amendment to the Bylaws to allow shareholders with any number of shares to attend the general shareholders’ meeting.

The first paragraph of Article 26.1 of the current Bylaws states: “The holders of any number of shares registered in their name in the respective book entry registry five days prior to the date on which the general shareholders’ meeting is to be held and who are current in the payment of capital calls shall be entitled to attend general shareholders’ meetings.”

E.10 Indicate and explain the policies pursued by the company with reference to proxy voting at the General Shareholders’ Meeting.

The proxy card expressly sets forth all the items on the agenda and requests the proxy-holder’s vote on each of such items. The identity of the proxy-holder shall also be requested.

In order to ensure the exercise of voting rights, the cards provide that:

- If the proxy has not been granted to a specific person, it shall be deemed to be granted to the chairman of the board.

- If no voting instructions have been provided, it shall be deemed that the vote is in favour of the proposal of the board of directors.

In addition, pursuant to Article 114 of the Securities Market Act, as amended by Law 26/2003, of 17 July on the Transparency of Listed Companies, the proxy cards used at the general meetings of June 2004 and October 2004 (the only meetings held by the Company as of this date after Law 26/2003 was enacted) provide that if the shareholder’s representative has a conflict of interest in the voting for any of the proposals —whether or not included in the agenda— submitted at the general shareholders’ meeting, the proxy would be deemed to be granted to the general secretary of the Company in his capacity as a shareholder with the right to attend.
6. In order to be valid, a proxy granted or notified by any of the foregoing means of remote communication must be received by the Company before midnight of the third day prior to the date the shareholders’ meeting is to be held on first call. In the resolution approving the call to the meeting in question, the board of directors may reduce the required notice period, disseminating this information in the same manner as it disseminates the announcement of the call to meeting. Pursuant to the provisions of Article 34.5 below, the board may further develop the foregoing provisions regarding proxies granted by remote means of communication.

7. A proxy is always revocable. Attendance at the shareholders’ meeting, whether physically or by casting a distance vote, shall entail the revocation of any proxy that may have been granted, regardless of the date thereof. A proxy shall also be rendered void by any transfer of shares of which the Company becomes aware.

8. The proxy may include items which, even if not included in the agenda, may be discussed at the shareholders’ meeting because the law so permits. If the proxy does not include such items, it shall be deemed that the shareholder granting the proxy instructs his representative to abstain when such items are put to the vote.”

E.11 Indicate whether the company is aware of the policy of institutional investors on whether or not to participate in the company’s decision-making processes.

Yes No X

Describe the policy

E.12 Indicate the address and mode of accessing corporate governance content on your company’s website.

In compliance with the resolution adopted by the Company’s board of directors at its meeting of January 23 2004, all the information required by Article 528 of the Corporate Enterprises Act and by Order ECO/3722/2003, can be easily found in the “Information for Shareholders and Investors” section of the main menu of Grupo Santander’s website (www.santander.com).

The corporate web presents its content in specific sections for institution investors and for shareholders, which is mostly available in Spanish, English and Portuguese.

The following can be found on this website:

- The Company’s Bylaws
- The Rules and Regulations for the General Shareholders’ Meeting
- The Rules and Regulations of the Board of Directors
- The professional career history and other information on the directors, as proposed under Recommendation 28 of the Unified Code.
- The Annual Report
- The Annual Corporate Governance Report
- The Code of Conduct in Securities Markets
- The General Code of Conduct
- Sustainability Report
- Reports from the audit and compliance committee and the appointments and remuneration committee
- The framework of the relationship between Santander and Banesto established under recommendation 2 of the Unified Code.

From the date of publication, the call to the general shareholders’ meeting for 2011 can be consulted on the website. This shall include information on the meeting with the proposals for agreement and the mechanisms for exercising the right to information, delegation or voting, with an explanation of how to use teleconferencing.

As a new feature, the call for the general shareholders’ meeting of 2011 will include the rules of the electronic shareholders’ forum that the Bank will provide on the corporate website (www.santander.com) in order to facilitate communication among shareholders prior to the meeting.
F. DEGREE OF COMPLIANCE WITH CORPORATE GOVERNANCE RECOMMENDATIONS

Indicate the degree of the company’s compliance with corporate governance recommendations.

Should the company not comply with any of them, explain the recommendations, standards, practices or criteria the company applies.

This section details the degree of compliance by the Entity with the recommendations contained in the Report of the Special Working Group on the Good Governance of Listed Companies, dated 22 May 2006 (the Unified Code), analysing each of the recommendations and including text from the Company Bylaws and the Rules and Regulations for the general shareholder’s meeting and the board of directors as applicable.

1. The bylaws of listed companies should not place an upper limit on the votes that can be cast by a single shareholder, or impose other obstacles to the takeover of the company by means of share purchases on the market.


In agreement with Articles 26.1 (first paragraph) and 35.2 of the Bylaws, there are no restrictions on the rights to vote, nor the acquisition or transfer of share in the capital of the Bank.

Article 26.1 (first paragraph) of the Bylaws
“The holders of any number of shares registered in their name in the respective book entry registry five days prior to the date on which the general shareholders’ meeting is to be held and who are current in the payment of capital calls shall be entitled to attend general shareholders’ meetings.”

Article 35.2 of the Bylaws
“The attendees at the general shareholders’ meeting shall have one vote for each share which they hold or represent. Non-voting shares shall have the right to vote in the specific cases laid down in the Business Corporations Law.”

2. When a dominant and a subsidiary company are stock market listed, the two should provide detailed disclosure on:

a) The type of activity they engage in, and any business dealings between them, as well as between the subsidiary and other group companies;

b) The mechanisms in place to resolve possible conflicts of interest.

See sections: C.4 and C.7

In agreement with Articles 26.1 (first paragraph) and 35.2 of the Bylaws, there are no restrictions on the rights to vote, nor the acquisition or transfer of share in the capital of the Bank.

The board of directors of the Bank has taken on this Recommendation defining, through a group of regulations, the framework for the relationship between Banco Santander, as the dominant company, and Banesto, their respective areas of activities and possible business relationships, as well as the mechanisms in place to resolve any potential conflict of interest. These regulations can be found on the Group’s website (www.santander.com).

3. Even when not expressly required under company law, any decisions involving a fundamental corporate change should be submitted to the general shareholders’ meeting for approval or ratification. In particular:

a) The transformation of listed companies into holding companies through the process of subsidiairization, i.e. reallocating core activities to subsidiaries that were previously carried out by the originating firm, even though the latter retains full control of the former;

b) Any acquisition or disposal of key operating assets that would effectively alter the company's corporate purpose;

c) Operations that effectively add up to the company's liquidation.

Recommendation defining, through a group of regulations, the framework for the relationship between Banco Santander, as the dominant company, and Banesto, their respective areas of activities and possible business relationships, as well as the mechanisms in place to resolve any potential conflict of interest. These regulations can be found on the Group’s website (www.santander.com).

In agreement with Articles 26.1 (first paragraph) and 35.2 of the Bylaws, there are no restrictions on the rights to vote, nor the acquisition or transfer of share in the capital of the Bank.

Article 26.1 (first paragraph) of the Bylaws
“The holders of any number of shares registered in their name in the respective book entry registry five days prior to the date on which the general shareholders’ meeting is to be held and who are current in the payment of capital calls shall be entitled to attend general shareholders’ meetings.”

Article 35.2 of the Bylaws
“The attendees at the general shareholders’ meeting shall have one vote for each share which they hold or represent. Non-voting shares shall have the right to vote in the specific cases laid down in the Business Corporations Law.”

2. When a dominant and a subsidiary company are stock market listed, the two should provide detailed disclosure on:

a) The type of activity they engage in, and any business dealings between them, as well as between the subsidiary and other group companies;

b) The mechanisms in place to resolve possible conflicts of interest.

See sections: C.4 and C.7

In agreement with Articles 26.1 (first paragraph) and 35.2 of the Bylaws, there are no restrictions on the rights to vote, nor the acquisition or transfer of share in the capital of the Bank.

The board of directors of the Bank has taken on this Recommendation defining, through a group of regulations, the framework for the relationship between Banco Santander, as the dominant company, and Banesto, their respective areas of activities and possible business relationships, as well as the mechanisms in place to resolve any potential conflict of interest. These regulations can be found on the Group’s website (www.santander.com).

3. Even when not expressly required under company law, any decisions involving a fundamental corporate change should be submitted to the general shareholders’ meeting for approval or ratification. In particular:

a) The transformation of listed companies into holding companies through the process of subsidiairization, i.e. reallocating core activities to subsidiaries that were previously carried out by the originating firm, even though the latter retains full control of the former;

b) Any acquisition or disposal of key operating assets that would effectively alter the company's corporate purpose;

c) Operations that effectively add up to the company's liquidation.

Recommendation defining, through a group of regulations, the framework for the relationship between Banco Santander, as the dominant company, and Banesto, their respective areas of activities and possible business relationships, as well as the mechanisms in place to resolve any potential conflict of interest. These regulations can be found on the Group’s website (www.santander.com).

In agreement with Articles 26.1 (first paragraph) and 35.2 of the Bylaws, there are no restrictions on the rights to vote, nor the acquisition or transfer of share in the capital of the Bank.

Article 26.1 (first paragraph) of the Bylaws
“The holders of any number of shares registered in their name in the respective book entry registry five days prior to the date on which the general shareholders’ meeting is to be held and who are current in the payment of capital calls shall be entitled to attend general shareholders’ meetings.”

Article 35.2 of the Bylaws
“The attendees at the general shareholders’ meeting shall have one vote for each share which they hold or represent. Non-voting shares shall have the right to vote in the specific cases laid down in the Business Corporations Law.”

2. When a dominant and a subsidiary company are stock market listed, the two should provide detailed disclosure on:

a) The type of activity they engage in, and any business dealings between them, as well as between the subsidiary and other group companies;

b) The mechanisms in place to resolve possible conflicts of interest.

See sections: C.4 and C.7

The basic rules and regulations of the Bank expressly accept the authority of the general shareholders’ meeting to take decisions regarding certain operations of special relevance. Article 20.2 of the Bylaws and Article 2.2 of the Rules and Regulations for the General Shareholders’ Meeting reserve the right to approve the subsidiairization or contribution to subsidiaries of the operating assets of the Company, thus turning the Company into a mere holding company, to approve, if applicable, the acquisition or disposition of assets whenever, because of the quality and volume because they entail an actual change of the corporate purpose or, to agree operations whose effect would be tantamount to the liquidation of the Bank.

Article 20.2 of the Bylaws
“The general shareholders’ meeting has the power to decide on all matters assigned to it by the law or the bylaws. Specifically and merely by way of example, it has the following powers:

(…) (ix) To approve the subsidiairization or contribution to subsidiaries of the operating assets of the Company, thus turning the Company into a mere holding company;

(x) To approve, if applicable, the acquisition or disposition of assets whenever, because of the quality and volume thereof, they entail an actual change of the corporate purpose; and

(xx) Resolutions approving transactions that would have an effect equivalent to the liquidation of the Company.”

Article 2.2 of the Rules and Regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting
“Pursuant to the provisions of the bylaws, the shareholders at a general shareholders’ meeting may adopt resolutions on any matter pertaining to the Company, with the following powers being specifically reserved to them:

(…)”
(x) To approve the subsidiarization or contribution to subsidiaries of the operating assets of the Company, thus turning the Company into a mere holding company;

(xi) Approval, if appropriate, of the acquisition or transfer of assets when, due to the quality or volume thereof, such acquisition or transfer entails an effective change in the corporate purpose.

(xii) To agree to carry out operations whose effect would be tantamount to the liquidation of the Company.”

4. Detailed proposals of the resolutions to be adopted at the general shareholders’ meeting, including the information stated in Recommendation 28, should be made available at the same time as the publication of the meeting notice.

Compliant X Explain

The Bank makes public the information referred to in Recommendation 4 via its corporate website (www.santander.com). This information is available from the date the Shareholders’ meeting is announced until it is held.

Article 6.1 of the Rules and Regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting

“In addition to what is required by provisions of Law or the bylaws, beginning on the date of the publication of the call to the general shareholders’ meeting, the Company shall publish on its website the text of all resolutions proposed by the board of directors with respect to the agenda items, unless the proposals are not required by Law or the bylaws to be made available to the shareholders as of the date of the call to meeting and the board of directors deems that there are justified grounds for not doing so.”

Furthermore, when there is a supplement to the call to meeting, the Company shall, starting on the date of publication thereof, also publish on its website the text of the proposals to which such supplement refers and which have been provided to the Company.”

5. Separate votes should be taken at the general shareholders’ meeting on materially separate items, so shareholders can express their preferences in each case. And that such rule should apply, in particular, to the following:

a) The appointment or ratification of directors, with separate voting on each candidate;

b) Amendments to the bylaws, with votes taken on all articles or groups of articles that are materially different.

See section E.8.

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

The Rules and Regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting (Article 21.2) regulate the practice of separate voting in the appointment of each director, and in the event of amendments to the Bylaws or Rules and Regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting, each Article or group or Articles that are substantially independent. Since 2005, the appointment, ratification and re-election of every director have been subject to a separate vote at the general shareholders’ meeting.

It is planned to allow for separate votes at the 2011 general shareholders’ meeting on the 2010 annual financial statements and management reports of the Bank and its consolidated group.

Article 21.2 of the Rules and Regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting

“‘When various proposals are included under a single item of the agenda, they shall be voted upon separately. When various proposals are included under a single item of the agenda, they shall be voted upon separately. As an exception, all those proposals made that are configured as unitary or indivisible, such as those relating to the approval of a complete text of the bylaws or the Rules and Regulations for the General Shareholders’ Meeting, shall be voted on as a whole.”

6. Companies should allow split votes, so financial intermediaries acting as nominees on behalf of different clients can issue their votes according to instructions.

See section: E.4

Compliant X Explain

Fractional voting is also possible, as has previously occurred, at the next General Shareholders’ meeting so that financial intermediaries are legitimately considered shareholders but are acting on behalf of various customers to issue their votes according to instructions.

Article 22 of the Rules and Regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting expressly discusses this practice.

Article 22 of the Rules and Regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting

“Financial intermediaries that appear to have standing as shareholders in the book entry registries may divide their vote when required to carry out the voting instructions received from the various customers. In other cases, fractional voting shall apply when, in the opinion of the chairman of the meeting, it is required for good reason.”

7. The Board of Directors should perform its duties with unity of purpose and independent judgement, according all shareholders the same treatment. It should be guided at all times by the company’s best interest and, as such, strive to maximise its value over time. It should likewise ensure that the company abides by the laws and regulations in its dealings with stakeholders; fulfils its obligations and contracts in good faith; respects the customs and good practices of the sectors and territories where it does business; and upholds any additional social responsibility principles it has subscribed to voluntarily.

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

The board of directors acknowledges the principles set out in Recommendation 7 in the performance of its duties.
Article 40 of the Bylaws and 5 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“1. The board of directors and its representative decision-making bodies shall exercise their powers and, in general, perform their duties with a view to maximising the value of the company in the interest of the shareholders.

2. Additionally, the board shall ensure that the Company faithfully complies with applicable law, respects the uses and good practices of the industries or countries where it carries out its activities and observes the additional principles of social responsibility that it has voluntarily accepted”.

8. The board should see the core components of its mission as to approve the company’s strategy and authorise the organisational resources to carry it forward, and to ensure that management meets the objectives set while pursuing the company’s interests and corporate purpose. As such, the board in full should reserve the right to approve:

a) The company’s general policies and strategies, and in particular:
   i) The strategic or business plan, management targets and annual budgets;
   ii) Investment and financing policy;
   iii) Design of the structure of the corporate group;
   iv) Corporate governance policy;
   v) Corporate social responsibility policy;
   vi) Remuneration and evaluation of senior officers;
   vii) Risk control and management, and the periodic monitoring of internal information and control systems;
   viii) Dividend policy, as well as the policies and limits applying to treasury stock.

   See sections: B.1.10, B.1.13, B.1.14 and D.3

b) The following decisions:
   i) On the proposal of the company’s chief executive, the appointment and removal of senior officers, and their compensation clauses.

   See section: B.1.14

   ii) Directors’ remuneration and, in the case of executive directors, the additional consideration for their management duties and other contract conditions.

   See section: B.1.14

   iii) The financial information that all listed companies must periodically disclose.

   iv) Investments or operations considered strategic by virtue of their amount or special characteristics, unless their approval corresponds to the general shareholders’ meeting;

   v) The creation or acquisition of shares in special purpose vehicles or entities resident in countries or territories considered tax havens, and any other transactions or operations of a comparable nature whose complexity might impair the transparency of the group.

   c) Transactions which the company conducts with directors, significant shareholders, shareholders with board representation or other persons related thereto (“related-party transactions”).

   However, board authorisation need not be required for related-party transactions that simultaneously meet the following three conditions:

   1st. They are governed by standard form agreements applied on an across-the-board basis to a large number of clients;

   2nd. They go through at market rates, generally set by the person supplying the goods or services;

   3rd. Their amount is no more than 1% of the company’s annual revenues.

   It is advisable that related-party transactions should only be approved on the basis of a favourable report from the audit committee or some other committee handling the same function; and that the directors involved should neither exercise nor delegate their votes, and should withdraw from the meeting room while the board deliberates and votes.

   Ideally the above powers should not be delegated with the exception of those mentioned in b) and c), which may be delegated to the executive committee in urgent cases and later ratified by the full board.

   See sections: C.1 and C.6

   Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

Article 3.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“Without prejudice to the foregoing, the policy adopted by the Board consists of delegating the day-to-day management of the Company to the executive bodies and the management team and focusing its activity on the general supervisory function, assuming and discharging per se, without the power of delegation, the responsibilities entailed in this function and, in particular, those set forth below:

a) Approval of the general policies and strategies of the Company, particularly:

   i) Strategic plans, management targets and annual budget;

   ii) Dividend and treasury stock policy;

   iii) General risk management policy;

   iv) Corporate governance policy;

   v) Corporate social responsibility policy.

   See sections: B.1.10, B.1.13, B.1.14 and D.3

b) The following decisions:

   i) On the proposal of the company’s chief executive, the appointment and removal of senior officers, and their compensation clauses.

   See section: B.1.14

   ii) Directors’ remuneration and, in the case of executive directors, the additional consideration for their management duties and other contract conditions.

   See section: B.1.14

   iii) The financial information that all listed companies must periodically disclose.

   iv) Investments or operations considered strategic by virtue of their amount or special characteristics, unless their approval corresponds to the general shareholders’ meeting;

   v) The creation or acquisition of shares in special purpose vehicles or entities resident in countries or territories considered tax havens, and any other transactions or operations of a comparable nature whose complexity might impair the transparency of the group.

   c) Transactions which the company conducts with directors, significant shareholders, shareholders with board representation or other persons related thereto (“related-party transactions”).

   However, board authorisation need not be required for related-party transactions that simultaneously meet the following three conditions:

   1st. They are governed by standard form agreements applied on an across-the-board basis to a large number of clients;

   2nd. They go through at market rates, generally set by the person supplying the goods or services;

   3rd. Their amount is no more than 1% of the company’s annual revenues.

   It is advisable that related-party transactions should only be approved on the basis of a favourable report from the audit committee or some other committee handling the same function; and that the directors involved should neither exercise nor delegate their votes, and should withdraw from the meeting room while the board deliberates and votes.

   Ideally the above powers should not be delegated with the exception of those mentioned in b) and c), which may be delegated to the executive committee in urgent cases and later ratified by the full board.

   See sections: C.1 and C.6

   Compliant X Partially compliant Explain
1. Approval of the policies for the provision of information to and for communication with the shareholders, the markets and the public opinion. The board assumes the duty to provide the markets with prompt, accurate and reliable information, especially in connection with the shareholding structure, any substantial amendments to the rules of governance, related party transactions of particular importance and treasury stock.

c) Approval of the financial information that the Company must make public on a periodic basis.

d) Approval of transactions entailing the acquisition and disposition of substantial assets of the Company and major corporate transactions, unless such approval must be given by the shareholders at a general shareholders’ meeting, pursuant to the provisions of Article 20 of the Bylaws.

e) Approval, within the framework of the provisions of Article 58 of the Bylaws, of the remuneration to which each director is entitled.

f) Approval of the contracts governing the performance by directors of duties other than those of a mere director and the compensation to which they are entitled for the performance of additional duties, whether they are the duties of an executive director or otherwise, other than the duties of supervision and collective decision-making that they discharge in their capacity as mere members of the board.

g) The appointment, remuneration and, if applicable, removal of the members of the senior management, as well as the definition of the basic terms of their contracts. Approval of their remuneration and that of any other members not part of senior management with significant remuneration, particularly variable remuneration, and whose activities may have a significant impact on the assumption of risks by the Group.

h) Control of management activities and evaluation of managers

i) Authorisation for the creation or acquisition of shareholdings in special purpose entities or entities domiciled in countries or territories that are considered to be tax havens.

j) And all others specifically provided for in these rules and regulations.

The powers set forth in paragraphs (c), (d), (e), (f), (g) and (i) may be exercised by the executive committee on an emergency basis, with a subsequent report thereof to the board at the first meeting thereafter held by it.”

For letter c) of this recommendation, see section C.3, of this report.

9. In the interests of maximum effectiveness and participation, the Board of Directors should ideally comprise no fewer than five and no more than fifteen members.

See section: B.1.1

The board of directors considers the current number – 20 – to be adequate in terms of the size, complexity and geographical diversity of the Group.

It considers that regulation of the duties of the board of directors, as members and within its committees, of delegation, supervision, advisory services, reporting and proposals, guarantees its efficiency and the due participation of its members.

10. External directors, proprietary and independent, should occupy an ample majority of board places, while the number of executive directors should be the minimum practical bearing in mind the complexity of the corporate group and the ownership interests they control.

See sections: A.2, A.3, B.1.3 and B.1.14

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

Articles 42.1 of the Bylaws and 6.1 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board stipulate that shareholders at the general shareholders’ meeting shall endeavour to ensure that the board of directors is made up such that external or non-executive directors represent a large majority over executive directors.

The board has a large majority of external directors. Of the twenty directors currently sitting on the board of directors, six are executive and fourteen are external. Of the fourteen external directors, ten are independent, one is proprietary and three are, in the opinion of the board, neither proprietary nor independent.

The board of directors considers the current number of executive directors to be adequate in terms of the size, complexity and geographical diversity of the Group.

Article 42.1 of the Bylaws

“The shareholders at the general shareholders’ meeting shall endeavour to ensure that the board of directors is made up such that external or non-executive directors represent a large majority over executive directors, and that a reasonable number of the former are independent directors. The shareholders at the general shareholders’ meeting shall likewise endeavour to ensure that independent directors represent at least one-third of the total number of directors.”

Article 6.1 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“In exercising its powers to make proposals at the general shareholders’ meeting and to designate directors by interim appointment to fill vacancies, the board of directors shall endeavour to ensure that the external or non-executive directors represent a wide majority over the executive directors and that the former include a reasonable number of independent directors. In addition, the board of directors shall endeavour to ensure that the number of independent directors represents at least one-third of all directors.”

11. In the event that some external director can be deemed neither proprietary nor independent, the company should disclose this circumstance and the links that person maintains with the company or its senior officers, or its shareholders.

See section: B.1.3

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

In accordance with Article 6.3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, the appointments and remuneration committee has reviewed the nature of each director at its meeting held on 16 March 2011.
Of these, for the reasons outlined in Section B.1.3, of this report, the directors Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A., Mr Antonio Basagotí García-Tufón and Lord Burns must be mentioned under Recommendation.

Article 6.3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The board shall specify the condition of each director at the general shareholders’ meeting wherein the appointment thereof is to be made or ratified. Furthermore, such condition shall be reviewed on an annual basis by the board of directors, after prior verification by the appointments and remuneration committee, and disclosed in the annual corporate governance report.”

12. That among external directors, the relation between proprietary members and independents should match the proportion between the capital represented on the board by proprietary directors and the remainder of the company’s capital.

This proportional criterion can be relaxed so the weight of proprietary directors is greater than would strictly correspond to the total percentage of capital they represent:

1st In large cap companies where few or no equity stakes attain the legal threshold for significant shareholdings, despite the considerable sums actually invested.

2nd In companies with a plurality of shareholders represented on the board but not otherwise related. See sections: B.1.3, A.2 and A.3

Compliant X Explain

Banco Santander considers that it has complied with Recommendation 12, in terms of strict proportionality, with the Bank satisfying the two conditions stated in the Code.

a) Banco Santander is a large cap company (EUR 66,033 million as listed on the Spanish Stock Exchanges at 31 December 2010) where there are no shareholder interests legally considered significant, but there is a shareholder with a share pack of a high absolute value.

b) Since 2002, the criteria that the appointments and remuneration committee and the board of directors at Banco Santander have followed is that the percentage of capital that a shareholder must hold in order to be considered an external proprietary director is 1% of the capital of the Bank.

c) In the Banco Santander, there is only one shareholder with representation on the board, Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, who represents the interests of the Fundación Marcelino Botín Foundation, Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos, Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, Mr Jaime Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos, Ms Paloma O’Shea Artiñano and his own (in total, 2.146% of the Bank’s share capital at 31 December 2010).

The report from the Special Working Group on Good Governance for Listed Companies was in favour of the presence of proprietary directors in large cap companies and where there are no links between the shareholders represented, concluding from the recommendation that: the inclusion of more small proprietary directors may favour reciprocal control and, as such, redound to the benefit of dispersed capital.

The fact that in the Company the proprietary director constitutes 7.14% of external directors, when it represents 2.15% of the Bank’s capital does not, in the opinion of the Bank imply non-compliance with Recommendation 12 for introducing an element of disproportion.

A distortion or bias on the proportional make-up of the board is inevitable if two circumstances are taken into account that are in the spirit, if not in the letter of this recommendation, as follows:

(i) that the minimum of over weighting possible is that which allows a proprietary director to be attributed to a significant shareholder; and

(ii) in the case of a shareholder with a percentage interest of less than 3% but of a high absolute value (in our case the shareholding reported by the proprietary director exceeded EUR 1,400 million at December 31, 2010) it must be possible, in agreement with the recommendation for the Company to designate this person as a proprietary director. The recommendation states just this (in large cap companies where few or no equity stakes attain the legal threshold for significant shareholdings, despite the considerable sums actually invested). Given the sums involved, it is undeniable that strict application of this recommendation will always give rise to disproportions of some scale between the different categories of director, although without going beyond the mitigation with respect to the requirements of strict proportionality provided for in the recommendation, so that it is applied in spirit.

13. The number of independent directors should represent at least one third of all board members. See section: B.1.3

Compliant X Explain

Articles 42.1 of the Bylaws and 6.1 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board stipulate that the board must seek to ensure that the number of independent directors represents at least one third of the directors.

At present, of the 20 directors, 10 are external independent directors (50% of its members).

See the transcript of Articles 42.1 of the Bylaws and 6.1 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board under Recommendation 10 above.
14. The nature of each director should be explained to the general meeting of shareholders, which will make or ratify his or her appointment. Such determination should subsequently be confirmed or reviewed in each year’s annual corporate governance report, after verification by the nomination committee. The said report should also disclose the reasons for the appointment of proprietary directors at the urging of shareholders controlling less than 5% of capital; and explain any rejection of a formal request for a board place from shareholders whose equity stake is equal to or greater than that of others applying successfully for a proprietary directorship.


Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

The board will outline, in accordance with Article 6.3 of its rules and regulations, and as it has been doing up until now, the nature of the directors whose reappointment or ratification will be subject to the approval at the 2011 annual general meeting which is due to take place on 16 and 17 June on first and second call, respectively.

As also stated in the Article, the appointments and remuneration committee proceeded to review the nature of the remaining directors at its meeting on 16 March 2011. This proposal was submitted to the board of directors and approved at its meeting on 21 March 2011.

Section B.1.3 of the report describes the nature of each director and the criteria followed by the board to appoint shareholders as external proprietary directors, with a percentage interest in the capital of the Bank higher than 1% and lower than 5%.

No formal requests to be appointed to the board of directors have been received from shareholders with an equal or larger percentage interest in the Bank than the current proprietary directors.

See the transcript of Article 6.3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board under Recommendation 11 above.

Article 17.4 c) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“The appointments and remuneration committee shall have the following duties:

(…)

c) Annually verify the classification of each director (as executive, proprietary, independent or other) for the purpose of their confirmation or review at the annual general meeting and in the annual corporate governance report.”

15. When women directors are few or nonexistent, the board should state the reasons for this situation and the measures taken to correct it; in particular, the nomination committee should take steps to ensure that:

a) The process of filling board vacancies has no implicit bias against women candidates;

b) The company makes a conscious effort to include women with the target profile among the candidates for board places.

See sections: B.1.2, B.1.27 and B.2.3

Compliant Partially compliant Explain Not applicable X

This recommendation is stated as not applicable to not be little or no female directors of the Board of Society

16. The chairman, as the person responsible for the proper operation of the board of directors, should ensure that directors are supplied with sufficient information in advance of board meetings, and work to procure a good level of debate and the active involvement of all members, safeguarding their rights to freely express and adopt positions; he or she should organise and coordinate regular evaluations of the board and, where appropriate, the company’s chief executive, along with the chairmen of the relevant board committees.

See section:B.1.42

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

It is established practice of the Bank that the chairman of the board assume responsibility for the duties contained in this recommendation. This practice is outlined in Article 43.2 of the Bylaws and the third and fourth sections of Article 8 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

Article 43.2 of the Bylaws

“The chairman of the board shall call board of directors’ meetings and direct debate thereat.”

Article 8.3 and 11.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:

8.3

“The chairman shall endeavour to ensure that the directors receive sufficient information prior to the meetings, and shall direct debate at the meetings of the board.”

8.4

“The chairman shall organise and coordinate a periodic evaluation of the board with the chairman of the audit and compliance and appointments and remuneration committees.”

The self-evaluation, carried out, as in previous years, with the support of Spencer Stuart, based on a questionnaire and personal interviews with the directors, has included an additional feature this year. In line with the proposal of the Unified Code and Article 19.7 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, there is now a special section for the evaluation of the chairman, the managing director and the other directors.
The process of self-assessment of the board focused on the organisation, operation and content of meetings of the board and committees, a comparison with other international banks and open questions on subjects related to the future, such as strategy or internal and external factors.

The directors drew attention to the following strengths of the Group’s corporate governance: knowledge of the banking business, experience, a balance between executive and external directors, the dedication of board members and involvement in risk control.

In the directors’ view, these strengths have enabled the Group to be a management benchmark during the present crisis and exploit business opportunities that have arisen.

Further, with regard to the organisation, operation and content of board meetings, they highlighted the following: high level of strategy debate; composition of the board and the knowledge of directors; director training programme; excellent functioning of the chairman-CEO tandem; fostering of debate; high degree of commitment of directors and the board’s involvement in the control of credit risk and other types of risk, including reputational, operational and technology risks.

Article 19.7 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The operation of the board and of the committees thereof, the quality of its work, and the individual performance of its members, including the chairman and the managing director or directors, shall be evaluated once a year.”

17. When a company’s chairman is also its chief executive, an independent director should be empowered to request the calling of board meetings or the inclusion of new business on the agenda; to coordinate and give voice to the concerns of external directors; and to lead the board’s evaluation of the chairman.

See sections: B.1.21

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain Not applicable

Any director has the authority to call for the convening of the board, and the chairman is obligated to accept the call if the request comes from at least three directors.

Further, any board member may propose the inclusion of any new item on the agenda to be submitted to the board by the chairman, pursuant to Article 46.1 and 2 of the Bylaws and 19.2 and 3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

Article 46.1 and 2 of the Bylaws
46.1 “The board shall meet with the frequency required for the proper performance of its duties, and shall be called to meeting by the chairman. The chairman shall call board meetings on his own initiative or at the request of at least three directors.”

46.2 “The agenda shall be approved by the board at the meeting itself. Any member of the board may propose the inclusion of any other item not included in the draft agenda proposed by the chairman to the board.”

Article 19.2 and 3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:
19.2 “The board shall approve the annual calendar for its meetings, which must be held with the frequency needed to effectively perform its duties, with a minimum of nine meetings. In addition, the board of directors will meet whenever the chairman so wishes to call a meeting, or at the request of at least three directors. (…)”

19.3 “The agenda shall be approved by the board at the meeting itself. Any member of the board may propose the inclusion of any other item not included in the draft agenda proposed by the chairman to the board.”

Pursuant to Article 9 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, the vice-chairman, or, if there is more than one, one of them, must be an independent director and act as the co-ordinator of directors in this category and the remainder of external directors.

Further, the appointments and remuneration committee, chaired by an independent director (Article 17.3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board) shall be responsible for informing the board of the process of evaluation of the board, its committees and of individual directors (Article 17.4.m) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board). The scope of the evaluation shall include the operations of the board and its committees, the quality of their work and the individual performance of the directors, including the chairman and the chief executive officer(s) (Article 19.7 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board).

Article 9 (third paragraph) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The vice-chairman, or, if there is more than one, one of them, must be an independent director and act as the co-ordinator of directors in this category and the remainder of external directors.”

Article 17.3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The appointments and remuneration committee must in all events be presided over by an independent director.”

Article 17.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The appointments and remuneration committee shall have the following duties: (…) (…) m) Report on the process of evaluation of the board and of the members thereof.
Article 19.7 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The operation of the board and of the committees thereof, the quality of its work, and the individual performance of its members, including the chairman and the managing director or directors, shall be evaluated once a year.”

The Bylaws stipulate that the chairman of the board of directors shall have the status of executive chairman of the Bank and shall be considered as the highest executive in the Company (Article 48.1).

However, there is not a concentration of power at the Bank focussed on one person. Under the terms expressed in Recommendation 17, there is a clear separation of duties between the executive chairman, the chief executive officer, the board of directors and its committees.

The chairman of the board of directors is the highest executive in the Bank (Articles 48.1 and 8.1 Bylaws and the Rules and Regulations of the Board, respectively) and as a result has been delegated all powers legally delegable, the Bylaws and the Rules and Regulations of the Board, overseeing the management team of the Bank in accordance with the decisions and criteria set by the general shareholders’ meeting and the board of directors in their respective areas of competence.

For his part, the chief executive officer, by delegation and reporting to the board of directors and the chairman, as the highest executive in the Bank, ensures the smooth-running of the business and the executive duties of the Company.

The structure and the individuals making up the board are configured in such a way so as to allow a balanced interaction between all members, included the chairman. The following aspects are of particular relevance:

– The board and its committees exercise duties of supervision and control over the actions of the chairman as well as the chief executive officer.
– The first vice chairman, who is an external independent director, presides over the appointments and remuneration committee and acts as a co-ordinator for the external directors.
– The authorisations that the chief executive officer has are equal to that of the chairman, excluding in both cases those that are exclusively reserved for the board of directors.

As a result, the board believes that it has established sufficient measures to ensure the balanced structure of the corporate governance of the Bank. The Bank has opted for an executive chairman, deemed to best suit the Bank’s particular circumstances.

Article 48.1 of the Bylaws
“The chairman of the board of directors shall have the status of executive chairman of the Bank and shall be considered as the highest executive in the Company, vested with such powers as are required to hold office in such capacity. Considering his particular status, the executive chairman shall have the following powers and duties, among others set forth in these bylaws or in the rules and regulations of the board:

a) To ensure that the bylaws are fully complied with and that the resolutions adopted at the general shareholders’ meeting and by the board of directors are duly carried out.
b) To be responsible for the overall inspection of the Bank and all services thereof.
c) To hold discussions with the managing director and the general managers in order to inform himself of the progress of the business”.

Article 8.1 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The chairman of the board of directors shall be chosen from amongst the members of this management decision-making body, shall have the status of executive chairman of the Bank and shall be deemed to hold the highest-ranking position in the Company. Accordingly, there shall be delegated to him all such powers as may be delegated pursuant to the provisions of law, the Bylaws and these rules and regulations, and he shall have the duty to lead the management team of the Company, at all times in accordance with the decisions made and the standards established by the shareholders at the general shareholders’ meeting and by the board of directors, each within their respective spheres of action.”

18. The Secretary should take care to ensure that the board’s actions:

a) Adhere to the spirit and letter of laws and their implementing regulations, including those issued by regulatory agencies;
b) Comply with the company bylaws and the regulations of the general shareholders’ meeting, the board of directors and others;
c) Are informed by those good governance recommendations of the Unified Code that the company has subscribed to.

In order to safeguard the independence, impartiality and professionalism of the Secretary, his or her appointment and removal should be proposed by the nomination committee and approved by a full board meeting; the relevant appointment and removal procedures being spelled out in the board’s regulation.

See section: B.1.34
Article 45.2 of the Bylaws
“The secretary shall ensure the formal and substantive legality of all action taken by the board, ensure observance of the good governance recommendations adopted by the company and ensure that governance procedures and rules are observed and regularly reviewed.”

Article 11.3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The secretary shall at all times ensure the formal and substantive legality of all action taken by the board, shall endeavour to ensure the good governance recommendations assumed by the Company are followed, and shall ensure observance and periodic review of the procedures and rules of governance.”

Article 17.4 d) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The appointments and remuneration committee shall have the following duties:

(...)

d) Report on proposals for appointment or withdrawal of the secretary of the board, prior to submission thereof to the board.”

19. The board should meet with the necessary frequency to properly perform its functions, in accordance with a calendar and agendas set at the beginning of the year, to which each director may propose the addition of other items.
See section: B.1.29

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

The Rules and Regulations of the Board (Article 19.2) establishes the minimum number of annual ordinary meetings at nine. In addition, as indicated previously, the board of directors will meet whenever the chairman so wishes to call a meeting, or at the request of at least three directors.

During 2010, the board met on twelve occasions.

In 2010, the board has had continual and complete knowledge of the work of the different areas of business of the Group through eight management reports and seven risk reports, presented, respectively, by the managing director and the third vice chairman heading the risk division, as part of the twelve meeting held throughout the year. In addition, the board analysed thoroughly the businesses in Latin America, particularly in Brazil, and in the United Kingdom, the Santander España network and Banesto and the Group’s Plan Asia, as well as the liquidity of European banks and of Santander and the new capital regulations – BIS III.

Other issues that fall under scope of supervision of the board of directors were also discussed, such as the Group’s compliance duties, the sustainability policy and the definition of the Group’s structure and off-shore centres.

It has also been informed of the conclusions of the Group’s internal and external audits and the Group’s internal control model.

In addition to the ordinary meetings, the board has held meetings to discuss the Group’s strategy. In 2010, the directors met on two occasions: the first on 18 May and the second on 18 and 19 December.

The following matters were addressed:

• The economic environment and the situation of the financial industry, with a particular focus on the Spanish credit system: commercial banks and savings banks.
• The management challenges and priorities of Santander’s businesses in the United Kingdom: integration of businesses acquired, the regulatory environment and strengthening the franchise and the distribution network.
• The living will of Banco Santander.
• The future regulations governing the capital and liquidity of financial institutions.

20. Director absences should be kept to the bare minimum and quantified in the annual corporate governance report. When directors have no choice but to delegate their vote, they should do so with instructions.
See sections: B.1.29 and B.1.30

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

In accordance with Articles 20.1 and 2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, the directors must seek to reduce absences to a bare minimum, and if absent they must delegate their vote with instructions.

The average level of attendance at the meetings of the board of directors in 2010 was 90.1%.

The number of meetings held in 2010 by the board of directors and its committees, and individual attendance of the directors as well as an estimate of the time dedicated to committee meetings by the directors is detailed in sections B.1.29, and B.1.30 of this report.

Article 20.1 and 2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:

“1. Meetings of the board shall be validly held when more than one-half of its members are present in person or by proxy. The directors shall endeavour to ensure that absences are reduced to cases of absolute necessity.

2. When directors cannot attend personally, they may grant a proxy to any other director, for each meeting and in writing, in order that the latter shall represent them at the meeting for all purposes. A director may hold more than one proxy. The proxy shall be granted with instructions.”
21. When directors or the secretary express concerns about some proposal or, in the case of directors, about the company’s performance, and such concerns are not resolved at the meeting, the person expressing them can request that they be recorded in the minute book.

Compliant | Partially compliant | Explain | Not applicable

The content of Recommendation 21 appears in Article 11.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

Article 11.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The secretary shall assist the chairman in his duties and see to the proper operation of the board, for which purposes he shall, most importantly, give the directors such advice and information as may be requisite, keep custody of the corporate documents, duly record the proceedings of meetings in the minute books, including those statements for which a request has been made to reflect them in the minutes, and attest to the resolutions adopted by the board.”

22. The board in full should evaluate the following points on a yearly basis:

a) The quality and efficiency of the board’s operation;
b) Starting from a report submitted by the Nomination Committee, how well the Chairman and chief executive have carried out their duties;
c) The performance of its committees on the basis of the reports furnished by the same.

See sections: B.1.19

Compliant | Partially compliant | Explain

Since the first self-evaluation was carried out in 2005, in answer to a commitment made by the chairman at the annual general meeting of 19 June 2004, its scope has been widened. This is in line with Recommendation 22, and includes in the processes carried out since 2006 a special section for an individual evaluation of the chairman, the chief executive officer and the other directors.

This trend has been reflected in the Rules and Regulations of the Board (Article 19.7) to establish that the board will evaluate it once a year, as well as its operation and that of its committees, the individual performance of its members, including the chairman and the chief executive officer.

Article 19.7 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The operation of the board and of the committees thereof, the quality of its work, and the individual performance of its members, including the chairman and the managing director or directors, shall be evaluated once a year.”

The Rules and Regulations of the Board establishes the competencies of the audit and compliance committee and the appointments and remuneration committee in this matter (Articles 16.4.o, and 17.4.l) and m).

Article 16.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The audit and compliance committee shall have the following duties:

(…)
o) Evaluate, at least one a year, its operation and the quality of its work.”

Article 17.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The appointments and remuneration committee shall have the following duties:

(…)
l) Evaluate, at least once a year, its operation and the quality of its work.
m) Report on the process of evaluation of the board and of the members thereof.

(…).”

23. All directors should be able to exercise their right to receive any additional information they require on matters within the board’s competence. Unless the bylaws or board regulations indicate otherwise, such requests should be addressed to the chairman or secretary.

See section: B.1.42

Compliant | Explain

The Rules and Regulations of the Board (Article 26) expressly confer the directors with the broadest powers to obtain information regarding any aspect of the Company, to examine the books, records, documents and other records of corporate transactions, and to inspect all of its premises and facilities. The right to receive information also applies in respect of subsidiary companies, be they domestic or foreign.

Directors have the right to request and obtain, through the secretary, such information and advice as deemed necessary for the performance of their duties.

Article 26 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“1. A director shall have the broadest powers to obtain information regarding any aspect of the Company, to examine the books, records, documents and other records of corporate transactions, and to inspect all of its premises and facilities. The right to receive information also applies in respect of subsidiary companies, be they domestic or foreign.

2. In order not to disrupt the day-to-day management of the Company, the exercise of the powers of information shall be channelled through the secretary of the board of directors, who shall respond to the requests made by the director by directly providing him with the information, offering to him the appropriate parties with whom to interact at such level of the organisation as may be fit, or taking any steps that may be appropriate so that the director may carry out an on-site examination or inspection as requested by him.

3. In addition to what it is stated in sub-section 6 of Article 14 of these rules and regulations, any director may attend and participate but not vote at the meetings of the committees of the board of directors of which he or she is not a member, by invitation of the chairman of the board of directors and of the chairman of the respective committee, after having requested such attendance of the chairman of the board.”
24. All directors should be entitled to call on the company for the advice and guidance they need to carry out their duties. The company should provide suitable channels for the exercise of this right, extending in special circumstances to external assistance at the company’s expense.

See sections: B.1.41

Compliant X  Explain

The Rules and Regulations of the Board (Article 27) expressly recognise the right of the board members and the audit and compliance and appointments and remuneration committees to employ external advisers to help in fulfilling their duties. A request to contract the services of such person must be made to the board of directors for external advisers to assist with specific problems or issues of a special nature or particular complexity. This request may only be dismissed by the board with good reason.

During 2010 the board once again employed the services of Spencer Stuart to assist in the self-evaluation process. In addition, the firm Towers Watson has collaborated with the appointments and remuneration committee in the preparation of its report on activities in 2010, which includes information on the compensation policy of the directors. Further, the appointments and remuneration committee and the board of directors have received the assistance of Towers Watson as provider of market information and consultant in designing the Group’s compensation policy and in preparing the report on compensation policy for the board of directors.

Article 27 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“1. In order to be assisted in the performance of their duties, the directors and the audit and compliance and appointments and remuneration committees may address a request to the general secretary for the hiring of legal, accounting, financial, or other expert advisers, whose services shall be paid for by the Company.

The assignment must deal with specific issues of special significance or complexity arising during the performance of their duties.

2. The hiring decision lies with the board of directors, which may dismiss the request if the board considers:

a) That the hiring is not necessary for the proper performance of the duties entrusted to the directors;

b) That the cost thereof is not reasonable in light of the significance of the issues; or

c) That the technical assistance sought may be adequately provided by the Company’s own technical experts”.

25. Companies should organise induction programmes for new directors to acquaint them rapidly with the workings of the company and its corporate governance rules. Directors should also be offered refresher programmes when circumstances so advise.

Compliant X  Partially compliant  Explain

As a result of the self-assessment process of the board carried out in 2005, a continuous training programme for directors was put in place.

In 2010, seven training sessions were provided, with an average attendance of thirteen directors, and with each session lasting on average one hour and thirty minutes. Said meetings provided an in-depth treatment of matters related to management of risks, particularly standardized ones, the Group’s businesses, capital and solvency, the causes of the downfall of some financial institutions and the technology of Santander.

The continuous training and updating programme for directors and the content of Recommendation 25 in relation to induction programmes for new directors is covered in 21.7 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

The latest additions to the board have received this induction programme.

Article 21.7 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“The board shall establish a programme of information for new directors which gives them quick and sufficient information regarding the Company and its Group, including the governance rules thereof. The board shall also maintain a programme of continuous training and updating addressed at the directors.”

26. Companies should require their directors to devote sufficient time and effort to perform their duties effectively, and, as such:

a) Directors should apprise the Nomination Committee of any other professional obligations, in case they might detract from the necessary dedication;

b) Companies should lay down rules about the number of directorships their board members can hold.

See sections: B.1.8, B.1.9 and B.1.17

Compliant X  Partially compliant  Explain

Pursuant to Article 17.4 k) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, at its meeting of 03.16.11 the appointments and remuneration committee examined the information submitted by the directors regarding other professional obligations to evaluate if these may detract from the dedication needed for the directors to carry out their duties.
The appointments and remunerations committee has concluded that the other activities of the external directors do not detract from the dedication of their time and efforts needed to fulfil their duty of diligent management, as stated in Article 30 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

Among the obligations and duties of the board (Article 30), the Rules and Regulations establish the need to provide information on other professional duties, referring, in regards to the maximum number of boards to which they may belong, to the provisions of law 31/1968, of 27 July.

**Article 17.4 k) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board**

“4. The appointments and remuneration committee shall have the following duties:

(…)

k) Examine the information sent by the directors regarding their other professional obligations and assess whether such obligations might interfere with the dedication required of directors for the effective performance of their work.

(…).”

**Article 30 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board**

“The director shall fulfil all the duties and obligations which are inherent in his position as such and which are provided for by Law, the Bylaws, the rules and regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting and the rules and regulations of the board of directors, including the following:

– Duty of diligent management. The directors shall discharge their duties with the diligence of an orderly businessman and a faithful representative. Each of the directors shall diligently inform himself of the progress of the Company and dedicate to the position the time and effort needed to effectively carry it out. The directors shall inform the appointments and remuneration committee regarding their other professional obligations, and the maximum number of boards to which they may belong shall be governed by the provisions of Law 31/1968, of 27 July.

(…).”

27. The proposal for the appointment or renewal of directors which the board submits to the general shareholders’ meeting, as well as provisional appointments by the method of co-option, should be approved by the board:

a) On the proposal of the nomination committee, in the case of independent directors.

b) Subject to a report from the nomination committee in all other cases.

See section: B.1.2

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

As established in Article 21.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, the appointments and remuneration committee is charged, irrespective of the type of director, with preparing the proposal for appointments and re-elections of directors submitted at the annual general meeting with the prior approval of the board.

Although the proposals of the committee are not binding, the Rules and Regulations of the Board stipulate that if the board disregards the proposal, it shall substantiate its decision.

At present, all the directors have been appointed or re-elected at the proposal of the appointments and remuneration committee, as is indicated in section B.1.3 of this form.

**Article 21.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board**

“The proposals for appointment, re-election and ratification of directors, regardless of the category to which they are assigned, that the board of directors submits for consideration at the general shareholders’ meeting, as well as the decisions regarding appointments that the board makes in the exercise of the powers conferred upon it by law to designate directors by interim appointment (co-option) to fill vacancies shall, in turn, be preceded by the corresponding proposal made by the appointments and remuneration committee. In the event of re-election or ratification, such proposal made by the committee shall contain an assessment of work performed and actual dedication to the position during the last period of time in which the proposed director held office. In all events, should the board disregard the proposal made by the appointments and remuneration committee, the board shall substantiate its decision and shall record the reasons thereon in the minutes.”

28. Companies should post the following director particulars on their websites, and keep them permanently updated:

a) Professional experience and background;

b) Directorships held in other companies, listed or otherwise;

c) An indication of the director’s classification as executive, proprietary or independent; in the case of proprietary directors, stating the shareholder they represent or have links with.

d) The date of their first and subsequent appointments as a company director, and;

e) Shares held in the company and any options on the same.

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

The current Bylaws (Article 61) stipulate that without prejudice to any additional documentation required by applicable regulations, the Company’s website shall include at least the information and documents set forth in the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

Included in this information is that specifically referred to in Recommendation 28, as stated in Article 34.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

**Article 61 of the Bylaws**

“1. The Company shall have a website through which it shall report to its shareholders, investors and the market at large the relevant or significant events that occur in connection with the Company.

2. Without prejudice to any additional documentation required by applicable regulations, the Company’s website shall include at least the information and documents set forth in the rules and regulations of the board.”
Article 34.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The following information regarding the directors shall be publicly disclosed and kept current on the Company’s website:

a. Professional experience and background.
b. Other boards of directors to which they belong.
c. An indication of the category of director to which they belong, and in the case of external proprietary directors, the shareholder that they represent or with which they are connected.
d. Dates of their first appointment as director and subsequent appointments.
e. Shares of the Company and options thereon that they hold.”

29. Independent directors should not stay on as such for a continuous period of more than 12 years.
See section: B.1.2

Compliant Explain

Not compliant.
The board of directors has not considered it appropriate to completely incorporate Recommendation 29, since the board would have to forego those directors whose place on the board was fully warranted by their experience, qualifications or contribution, or to deprive them of their status as independent. Their continued service, in the board’s opinion, does not affect their independence.

In any event, at 31 December 2010, the average length of service on the board of directors for external independent directors was 9.6 years.

30. Proprietary directors should resign when the shareholders they represent dispose of their ownership interest in its entirety. If such shareholders reduce their stakes, thereby losing some of their entitlement to proprietary directors, the latter’s number should be reduced accordingly.
See sections: A.2, A.3 and B.1.2

Compliant Partially compliant Explain

In addition, Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A has reduced its share in the capital in the Bank below the threshold of 1%, the minimum required under Article 6.2b) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board for directors possessing or representing this share to be considered proprietary. Hence, the board of directors, based on a report by the appointments and remuneration committee, deems that it cannot be classified as a proprietary director as it had been in previous years.

The board, taking into consideration the circumstances of the case, and the prior report from the appointments and remuneration committee, decided, in its meeting of 21 March 2011, that Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A must continue to be considered an external director, and neither proprietary nor independent.

None of the circumstances described in recommendation 30 have arisen in 2010 with regard to the sole proprietary director of the Company, Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea.

Recommendation 30 is covered in Article 23.3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

Article 23.3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“In addition, proprietary directors must submit their resignations, in the corresponding numbers, when the shareholder that they represent parts with its shareholdings or reduces them in a significant manner.”

31. The board of directors should not propose the removal of independent directors before the expiry of their tenure as mandated by the bylaws, except where just cause is found by the board, based on a proposal from the nomination committee. In particular, just cause will be presumed when a director is in breach of his or her fiduciary duties or comes under one of the disqualifying grounds enumerated in section III.5 (Definitions) of this Code.

The removal of independents may also be proposed when a takeover bid, merger or similar corporate operation produces changes in the company’s capital structure, in order to meet the proportionality criterion set out in Recommendation 12.
See sections: B.1.2, B.1.5 and B.2.6

Compliant Explain

Not compliant.
The board considers this essential when determining its composition. All board members must act in the interests of the Bank and its shareholders and have the same responsibility for the decisions of the board.

The board understands that independence must be valued, promoted by all the board members and be based in solvency, integrity, reputation and the professionalism of each member.

In the opinion of the board, it would be contrary to these principles to establish a different agreement with the independent directors than with the other directors. As such it is not considered appropriate to accept Recommendation 31 whereby the board of directors does not propose the removal of any independent director until the statutory period is completed, except when there is just cause. The board also considered the report from the appointments and remuneration committee, understanding that there is just cause when the inherent duties have not been fulfilled, or if a situation arises that prevents the director’s independence.

The decision of the board to not accept Recommendation 31 is based on the fact that there may be reasons, in the opinion of the board, that would lead to a request to tender resignation other than those mentioned in this recommendation, and the fact that the regimen followed must apply to all directors, irrespective of their status.
32. Companies should establish rules obliging directors to inform the board of any circumstance that might harm the organisation’s name or reputation, tendering their resignation as the case may be, with particular mention of any criminal charges brought against them and the progress of any subsequent trial.

The moment a director is indicted or tried for any of the crimes stated in Article 124 of the Public Limited Companies Act, the board should examine the matter and, in view of the particular circumstances and potential harm to the company’s name and reputation, decide whether or not he or she should be called on to resign. The board should also disclose all such determinations in the Annual Corporate Governance Report.

See sections: B.1.43 and B.1.44

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

The abovementioned obligations relating to the provision of information and the dismissal of the directors is stated in Article 56.2 of the Bylaws and Articles 23.2 and 30 (iv) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

Articles 56.2 of the Bylaws and 23.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“Directors must tender their resignation to the board of directors and formally resign from their position if the board of directors, following a report from the appointments and remuneration committee, deems it fit, in those cases in which they may adversely affect the operation of the board or the credit or reputation of the Company and, in particular, when they are involved in any of the circumstances of incompatibility or prohibition provided by law”.

Article 30 (iv) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“The director shall fulfil all the duties and obligations which are inherent in his position as such and which are provided for by Law, the Bylaws, the rules and regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting and the rules and regulations of the board of directors, including the following:

(…) 
– Duty of loyalty:
(…) 
(iv) The directors must notify the board, as soon as possible, of those circumstances affecting them that might prejudice the credit or reputation of the Company, and particularly the criminal cases with which they are charged.”

33. All directors should express clear opposition when they feel a proposal submitted for the board’s approval might damage the corporate interest. In particular, independents and other directors unaffected by the conflict of interest should challenge any decision that could go against the interests of shareholders lacking board representation.

When the board makes material or reiterated decisions about which a director has expressed serious reservations. Then he or she must draw the pertinent conclusions. Directors resigning for such causes should set out their reasons in the letter referred to in the next Recommendation.

The terms of this Recommendation should also apply to the Secretary of the board; director or otherwise.

Compliant Partially compliant Explain Not applicable X

According to the instructions for completing the annual corporate governance report, this recommendation must be considered as not applicable to companies in which the directors do not believe proposals damaging to the corporate interest have been made, as is the case of the Company during the year.

34. Directors who give up their place before their tenure expires, through resignation or otherwise, should state their reasons in a letter to be sent to all members of the board, irrespective of whether such resignation is filed as a significant event, the motive for the same must be explained in the annual corporate governance report.

See section: B.1.5

Compliant Partially compliant Explain Not applicable X

According to the instructions for filling out the annual corporate governance report form, this recommendation must be checked as non-applicable if no director has stepped down before the end of his or her tenure, as was the case at the Company in 2010.

35. The company’s remuneration policy, as approved by its board of directors, should specify at least the following points:

a) The amount of the fixed components, itemised where necessary, of board and board committee attendance fees, with an estimate of the fixed annual payment they give rise to;

b) Variable components, in particular:

i) The types of directors they apply to, with an explanation of the relative weight of variable to fixed remuneration items.

ii) Performance evaluation criteria used to calculate entitlement to the award of shares or share options or any performance-related remuneration;

iii) The main parameters and grounds for any system of annual bonuses or other, non cash benefits; and

iv) An estimate of the sum total of variable payments arising from the remuneration policy proposed, as a function of degree of compliance with pre-set targets or benchmarks.

Article 5 9.1 of the Bylaw s
"The board of directors shall, on an annual basis, prepare a shareholders' meeting of 2011.
standards and basis used to determine the compensation of the directors for the last current fiscal year and the current year as a separate item on the agenda and submitted to a vote by shareholders for consultation purposes at the general meeting.

The report on the director remuneration policy shall be included as a separate item on the agenda and submitted to a vote by shareholders for consultation purposes at the general shareholders’ meeting of 2011.

 Article 59.1 of the Bylaws
“Jhe board of directors shall, on an annual basis, prepare a report on the compensation policy where it shall set forth the standards and basis used to determine the compensation of the directors for the last current fiscal year and the current fiscal year, and shall make it available to the shareholders when the ordinary general shareholders’ meeting is called. The contents of the report shall be governed by the provisions of the rules and regulations of the board.”

 Article 29 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“1. Report on the compensation policy
(a) The board of directors shall, on an annual basis, prepare a report on the compensation policy where it shall set forth the standards and basis used to determine the compensation of the directors for the last current fiscal year and the current fiscal year, and shall make it available to the shareholders when the ordinary general shareholders’ meeting is called.

(b) The report shall provide an explanation of the changes in compensation to the directors for the performance of their duties of supervision and collective decision-making.

(c) The report shall also contain a separate presentation regarding the policy of compensation for executive directors for duties other than those contemplated in the preceding paragraph, which shall cover at least the following items:
(i) Changes in fixed compensation accompanied by an estimate of the approximate overall amount thereof.
(ii) Reference parameters and the basis for any short- or long-term variable compensation system (annual or multi-year bonuses or incentives).
(iii) Preliminary estimate of the absolute amount of variable compensation to which the proposed compensation plan will give rise.
(iv) Significance of variable compensation relative to fixed compensation and deferred variable compensation in relation to total variable compensation.
(v) Standards of reference for the accrual of compensation based on the delivery of shares, stock options or compensation linked to share prices.
(vi) Main features of the benefit systems (supplemental pensions, life insurance and similar items) with an estimate of the amount thereof or equivalent annual cost.
(vii) Terms of the contracts of the executive directors (duration, prior notice periods, hiring bonuses, compensation for termination of the contract and any other terms).

Information shall also be provided regarding the compensation, if any, to be paid to the external directors for duties other than the duties of a mere director.

(d) The report shall also disclose the most significant changes in the compensation policy approved for the current fiscal year as compared to the policy applied during the prior fiscal year, and shall include an overall summary of how such compensation policy was put into effect during such fiscal year.

(e) The report shall also provide information regarding the preparatory work and the decision-making process followed to establish the director compensation policy, including the duties, the composition of the appointments and remuneration committee and, if applicable, the identity of the external advisers whose services have been used to determine the compensation policy.

(f) The report on compensation policy shall be publicly disclosed through the Company’s website no later than the date of the call to the ordinary general shareholders’ meeting.

(g) In the report regarding compensation policy made public in accordance with the provisions of the preceding paragraph, the board shall exclude those items whose disclosure might be prejudicial to the Company’s interests.
2. Annual report

In the annual report, the board shall set forth, on an individual basis, the compensation received by each director, specifying the amounts corresponding to each compensation item. It shall also set forth therein, on an individual basis and for each item of compensation, the compensation payable, pursuant to Articles 49 and 58.4 of the bylaws, for the performance of executive duties entrusted to the executive directors of the Company.

Furthermore, the report shall provide, in the form of a table or diagram, a comparison between the changes in aggregate compensation for all of the executive directors during the last fiscal year, differentiating those amounts received for the performance of their duties of supervision and collective decision-making as members of the board and those corresponding to other duties that they perform as members of the board, and the changes in the Group’s consolidated results and the listing price of the Company’s shares during the same period.”

Compliant X Explain

The policy of the Group states that only the executive directors can be beneficiaries of compensation systems relating to the provision on shares or options. In such event, it would be the responsibility of the board of directors to submit the proposal to the annual general meeting.

Section A.3 of this report describes the Bank’s share-based compensation programmes in which executive directors participated at year-end 2010. Section B.1.14 provides additional information with details of the main terms and conditions of plans approved during the financial year of this report.

36. Remuneration comprising the delivery of shares in the company or other companies in the group, share options or other share-based instruments, payments linked to the company’s performance or membership of pension schemes should be confined to executive directors.

The delivery of shares is excluded from this limitation when directors are obliged to retain them until the end of their tenure.

See section: A.3 and B.1.3

Compliant X Explain

Articles 58.1 and 2 of the bylaws and 28.1 and 2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board specify the criteria that must be used to fix the compensation awarded to executive directors.

Articles 58.1 and 2 of the Bylaws

“1. The directors shall be entitled to receive compensation for performing the duties entrusted to them by reason of their appointment as mere members of the board of directors by the shareholders at a general shareholders’ meeting or by the board itself exercising its power to make interim appointments (co-option) to fill vacancies.

2. The compensation referred to in the preceding paragraph shall be paid as a share in profits and bylaw-mandated compensation; and shall have two components: (a) an annual amount and (b) attendance fees. Attendance fees shall be paid in advance on account of the profits for the fiscal year.

The specific amount payable for the above-mentioned items to each of the directors shall be determined by the board of directors. For such purpose, it shall take into consideration the positions held by each director on the board and their membership in and attendance at the meetings of the various committees.

The aggregate amount of the compensation established in this sub-section shall be equal to one percent of the profit of the Company for the fiscal year, provided, however, that the board may resolve that such percentage be reduced in those years in which it deems justified.”

Article 28.1, 2 and 6 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“1. The directors shall be entitled to receive compensation for performing the duties entrusted to them by reason of their appointment as mere members of the board of directors by the shareholders at a general shareholders’ meeting or by the board itself exercising its power to make interim appointments (co-option) to fill vacancies.

2. The compensation referred to in the preceding paragraph shall be paid as a share in profits and bylaw-mandated compensation; and shall have two components: (a) an annual amount and (b) attendance fees. Attendance fees shall be paid in advance on account of the profits for the fiscal year.

The specific amount payable for the above-mentioned items to each of the directors shall be determined by the board of directors within the limits established in sub-section 2 of Article 58 of the Bylaws and after a proposal of the appointments and remuneration committee. For such purpose, it shall take into consideration the positions held by each director on the board and their membership in and attendance at the meetings of the various committees.”

(…)

6. The board shall endeavour to ensure that director compensation meets standards of moderation and correspondence to the earnings of the Company. In particular, it shall endeavour to ensure that the compensation of external directors is sufficient to compensate them for the dedication, qualifications and responsibilities required for the performance of their duties.”

38. In the case of remuneration linked to company earnings, deductions should be computed for any qualifications stated in the external auditor’s report.

Compliant Explain Not applicable X

No reservations or qualifications have been made to the individual financial statements of the Company or to the consolidated financial statements of the Group as of December 31, 2010.

If there were any, the remuneration risk assessment committee, a body created in 2009 to evaluate the quality of earnings, risks incurred and regulatory compliance, would have taken into consideration said reservations in the process of determination compensation.
39. In the case of variable awards, remuneration policies should include technical safeguards to ensure they reflect the professional performance of the beneficiaries and not simply the general progress of the markets or the company’s sector, atypical or exceptional transactions or circumstances of this kind.

Compliant X Explain Not applicable

The basic principles of the compensation policy for Banco Santander executive directors include maintaining an important fixed component, representing a sufficiently high percentage of the compensation package compared to variable remuneration, and tying the latter in with the achievement of the BAI budgeted by the Group as adjusted by extraordinary results and provisions, while conforming to criteria of adequate risk management and efficient capital consumption and comparison of performance with comparable institutions.

The foregoing is explained in the report by the appointments and remuneration committee including the report on the director remuneration policy that was prepared once again with the assistance of the specialised company Towers Watson.

The report also describes the Group’s response to the environmental changes with regard to compensation policy, notably Directive 2010/76/EU of 24 November amending the regime of supervisory review of remuneration policies, and the guidelines on remuneration policies and practices of the Committee of European Banking Supervisors (CEBS), published on 10 December 2010, which have created a more demanding framework than that introduced by the standards in directors’ compensation issued by the Financial Stability Board at the end of 2009.

The report by the appointments and remuneration committee has been published, as indicated under recommendation 35, on the Group’s website (www.santander.com).

40. The Board should submit a report on the directors’ remuneration policy to the advisory vote of the General Shareholders’ Meeting, as a separate point on the agenda. This report can be supplied to shareholders separately or in the manner each company sees fit.

The report will focus on the remuneration policy the board has approved for the current year with reference, as the case may be, to the policy planned for future years. It will address all the points referred to in Recommendation 34, except those potentially entailing the disclosure of commercially sensitive information. It will also identify and explain the most significant changes in remuneration policy with respect to the previous year, with a global summary of how the policy was applied over the period in question.

The role of the Remuneration Committee in designing the policy should be reported to the Meeting, along with the identity of any external advisers engaged.

See section: B.1.16

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

The appointments and remuneration committee has prepared a report on the compensation policy for directors for 2010 in compliance with the Bylaws and the Rules and Regulations of the Board (Articles 59.1 and 29.1.a), respectively that expressly state that the board is obligated to approve a report on the compensation policy for directors in which it sets forth the criteria and reasoning used by the collegial body to determine the remuneration of its members in the last year and the current year and make the report available to the shareholders upon the call for the general meeting.

This report for 2009 was included in the annual general meeting of 6 November 2010 as a separate item on the agenda and submitted to a vote for consultation purposes and received 94.943% of votes in favour.

The report on the compensation policy for directors of 2010 shall be submitted as a separate item on the agenda and submitted to a vote in the annual general meeting of 2011.

The individual breakdown per compensation item for the board of directors, including executives, for 2010 is published in note 5 of the Group’s financial statements in the format established by CNMV Circular 4/2007 in sections B.1.11 of this report.

Article 59 of the Bylaws

1. The board of directors shall, on an annual basis, prepare a report on the compensation policy where it shall set forth the standards and basis used to determine the compensation of the directors for the last current fiscal year and the current fiscal year, and shall make it available to the shareholders when the ordinary general shareholders’ meeting is called. The contents of the report shall be governed by the provisions of the rules and regulations of the board.

2. In the annual report, the board shall set forth, on an individual basis, the compensation received by each director, specifying the amounts corresponding to each compensation item. It shall also set forth therein, on an individual basis and for each item of compensation, the compensation payable, pursuant to Articles 49 and 58.4, for the performance of executive duties entrusted to the executive directors of the Company.”

Article 29.1. a) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“The board of directors shall annually approve a report on the compensation policy which shall set forth the standards and grounds to determine the compensation of the directors for the last fiscal year and for the current fiscal year, and shall make it available to the shareholders on occasion of the call to the ordinary general shareholders’ meeting.”
41. The notes to the annual accounts should list individual directors’ remuneration in the year, including:

a) A breakdown of the compensation obtained by each company director, to include where appropriate:
   i) Participation and attendance fees and other fixed director payments;
   ii) Additional compensation for acting as chairman or member of a board committee;
   iii) Any payments made under profit-sharing or bonus schemes, and the reason for their accrual;
   iv) Contributions on the director’s behalf to defined-contribution pension plans, or any increase in the director’s vested rights in the case of contributions to defined-benefit schemes;
   v) Any severance packages agreed or paid;
   vi) Any compensation they receive as directors of other companies in the group;
   vii) The remuneration executive directors receive in respect of their senior management posts;
   viii) Any kind of compensation other than those listed above, of whatever nature and provenance within the group, especially when it may be accounted a related-party transaction or when its omission would detract from a true and fair view of the total remuneration received by the director.

b) An individual breakdown of deliveries to directors of shares, share options or other share-based instruments, itemised by:
   i) Number of shares or options awarded in the year, and the terms set for their execution;
   ii) Number of options exercised in the year, specifying the number of shares involved and the exercise price;
   iii) Number of options outstanding at the annual close, specifying their price, date and other exercise conditions;
   iv) Any change in the year in the exercise terms of previously awarded options.

c) Information on the relation in the year between the remuneration obtained by executive directors and the company’s profits, or some other measure of enterprise results.

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain Not applicable

The Bylaws (Article 59.2) and the Rules and Regulations of the Board (Article 29.2) stipulate that the annual report must contain up-to-date information on the compensation awarded to each director, with a detailed breakdown of each item. The report will also contain detailed information regarding the compensation received by the Bank’s Executive directors.

As such, a detailed breakdown of the compensation received by the directors is published in the annual report as well as the appointments and remuneration committee report, forming part of the corporate governance practices adopted by the Bank since 2002.

42. When the company has an executive committee, the breakdown of its members by director category should be similar to that of the board itself. The secretary of the board should also act as secretary to the executive committee.

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain Not applicable

The executive committee is a core mechanism of corporate governance for both the Bank and its Group.

Given the nature of the executive committee, the board considers it sufficient to use the efficiency criteria set out in Article 14.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board. This committee has five executive directors, without discounting the participation of external directors, and particularly, independents, and seeking to ensure that its composition reflects, as much as possible, the composition of the board.

Considered balanced by the board of directors, the executive committee is made up of 10 directors, five of whom are executive and five external. Of the five external directors, four are independent and one is neither proprietary nor independent. Accordingly, the percentage of independent directors on such committee is 40%, while on the board of directors this climbs to 50%.

In addition, according to the Bylaws (Articles 45.1 and 45.5) and the Rules and Regulations of the Board (Articles 11.1 and 11.4), the secretary of the board will be the general secretary and the secretary of all the board committees.

Consequently, the board believes that it is compliant with recommendation 42.
Article 14.2 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The board of directors shall endeavour to ensure that the size and qualitative composition of the executive committee shall conform to standards of efficiency and reflect the guidelines followed in determining the composition of the board.”

Article 45.1 and 45.5 of the Bylaws
45.1 “The secretary of the board shall always be the general secretary of the Company.”

45.5 “The general secretary shall also be the secretary of all the committees of the board.”

Article 11.1 and 11.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:
11.1 “The secretary of the board shall always be the general secretary of the Company, without needing to be a director in order to hold such position.”

11.4 “The general secretary shall also serve as the secretary of all the committees of the board.”

43. The board should be kept fully informed of the business transacted and decisions made by the executive committee. To this end, all board members should receive a copy of the committee’s minutes.

The content of Recommendations 43 is expressly covered under Articles 51.5 of the Bylaws and 14.7 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

Articles 51.5 of the Bylaws and 14.7 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The executive committee shall report to the board of directors on the affairs discussed and the decisions made in the course of its meetings and shall make available to the members of the board a copy of the minutes of such meetings.”

44. In addition to the audit committee mandatory under the Securities Market Law, the Board of Directors should form a committee, or two separate committees, of Nomination and Remuneration.

The rules governing the make-up and operation of the Audit Committee and the committee or committees of Nomination and Remuneration should be set forth in the board regulations, and include the following:

a) The board of directors should appoint the members of such committees with regard to the knowledge, aptitudes and experience of its directors and the terms of reference of each committee; discuss their proposals and reports; and be responsible for overseeing and evaluating their work, which should be reported to the first board plenary following each meeting;

b) These committees should be formed exclusively of external directors and have a minimum of three members. Executive directors or senior officers may also attend meetings, for information purposes, at the Committees’ invitation.

c) Committees should be chaired by an independent director.

d) They may engage external advisors, when they feel this is necessary for the discharge of their duties.

e) Meeting proceedings should be minuted and a copy sent to all board members.

See sections: B.2.1 and B.2.3

The regulations of the audit and compliance committee are contained in the 18th additional provision of the Securities Market Act and Articles 53 of the Bylaws and 16 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board. In addition, Articles 27 and 35 of the regulations contain a specific ruling on an aspect of their activities.

Those aspects relating to Recommendation 44 are found in Articles 53.1, 2 and 3 of the Bylaws and 16.1, 2, 3 and 8 and 27.1 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

Articles 53.1, 2 and 3 of the Bylaws
53.1 “The audit and compliance committee shall consist of a minimum of three directors and a maximum of seven, all of whom shall be external or non-executive, with independent directors having majority representation.”

53.2 “The members of the audit and compliance committee shall be appointed by the board of directors, taking into account the directors’ knowledge, aptitude and experience in the areas of accounting, auditing or risk management.”

53.3 “The audit and compliance committee must in all events be presided over by an independent director, who shall also be knowledgeable about and experienced in matters of accounting, auditing or risk management. The chairman of the audit and compliance committee shall be replaced every four years, and may be re-elected after the passage of one year from the end of his preceding term.”

Article 16.1, 2, 3 and 8 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
16.1 “The audit and compliance committee shall consist of a minimum of three directors and a maximum of seven, all of whom shall be external or non-executive, with independent directors having majority representation.”

16.2 “The members of the audit and compliance committee shall be appointed by the board of directors, taking into account the directors’ knowledge, aptitude and experience in the areas of accounting, auditing or risk management.”
The audit and compliance committee must in all events be presided over by an independent director, who shall also be knowledgeable about and experienced in matters of accounting, auditing or risk management. The chairman of the audit and compliance committee shall be replaced every four years, and may be re-elected after the passage of one year from the end of his preceding term.

The audit and compliance committee, through its chairman, shall report on its activities to the board of directors. This reporting process shall be carried out at meetings of the board planned for this purpose. However, if the chairman of the committee deems it necessary based on the urgency and significance of the matters in question, the information shall be given to the board at the first meeting thereof to be held after the meeting of the committee.

Furthermore, a copy of the minutes of the meetings of the committee shall be made available to all directors.

The audit and compliance committee report contains more information on this subject.

In reference to the audit and compliance committee, all its members have the necessary knowledge to effectively perform their duties.

The Group’s website (www.santander.com) includes a summary of the professional career history and academic qualifications of the members of the audit and compliance committee.

In relation to the appointments and remuneration committee, Article 54 of the Bylaws includes a basic regulation, which is complemented and implemented by Article 17 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board. In addition, Articles 21, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, 30 and 33 of the regulations contain a specific ruling on certain aspects of their activities.

Finally, those aspects regarding Recommendation 44 are covered under Articles 54.1, 2, 3 and 4 of the Bylaws and 17.1, 2, 3 and 7 and 27.1 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

**Articles 54.1, 2, 3 and 4 of the Bylaws**

54.1

“An appointments and remuneration committee shall be established and entrusted with general proposal-making and reporting powers on matters relating to compensation, appointment and withdrawal of directors.”

54.2

“The appointments and remuneration committee shall be composed of a minimum of three and a maximum of seven directors, all of whom shall be external or non-executive, with independent directors having majority representation.”

54.3

“The members of the appointments and remuneration committee shall be appointed by the board of directors, taking into account the directors’ knowledge, aptitudes and experience and the goals of the committee.”

54.4

“The appointments and remuneration committee must in all events be presided over by an independent director.”

**Article 17.1, 2, 3 and 7 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board**

17.1

“The appointments and remuneration committee shall be composed of a minimum of three and a maximum of seven directors, all of whom shall be external or non-executive, with independent directors having majority representation.”

17.2

“The members of the appointments and remuneration committee shall be appointed by the board of directors, taking into account the directors’ knowledge, aptitudes and experience and the goals of the committee.”

17.3

“The appointments and remuneration committee must in all events be presided over by an independent director.”

17.7

“The appointments and remuneration committee, through its chairman, shall report to the board of directors on its activities and work at the first meeting thereof to be held after the committee’s meeting. The resolutions of the appointments and remuneration committee shall be recorded in a minute book, and every one of such minutes shall be signed by the chairman and the secretary. Furthermore, a copy of the minutes of the meetings of the committee shall be made available to all directors.”

The appointments and remuneration committee contains more information on this matter.

At present, all members of the appointments and remuneration committee are external independent directors.

In addition, directors on the appointments and remuneration committee have a proven capacity to perform their duties owing to their long experience in banking and their knowledge of the subject of remuneration.

The Group’s website (www.santander.com) contains a summary of the professional career history and academic qualifications of the members of the appointments and remuneration committee.
45. The job of supervising compliance with internal codes of conduct and corporate governance rules should be entrusted to the audit committee, the nomination committee or, as the case may be, separate compliance or corporate governance committees.

Pursuant to Article 16.4 k) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, overseeing compliance with the internal codes of conduct and corporate governance regulations is the responsibility of the audit and compliance committee.

Both Articles 53.5 of the Bylaws and 16.6 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board stipulate that one of the audit and compliance committee meetings each year should specifically be used to evaluate the efficiency and compliance of the Bank’s regulations and governance procedures.

During the meeting held on 15 March 2011, and in accordance with Article 16.6 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, the audit and compliance committee produced a report evaluating the efficiency and compliance of the Bank’s regulations and procedures and has revised the information that the board must approve and include in the annual documentation that is published. The conclusion of this report was positive.

Article 16.4.k) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“The audit and compliance committee shall have the following duties:

(…)

k) Supervise the observance of the code of conduct of the Group in the securities markets, the manuals and procedures for the prevention of money laundering and, in general, the rules of governance and compliance in effect in the Company, and make such proposals as are deemed necessary for the improvement thereof. In particular, the committee shall have the duty to receive information and, if applicable, issue a report on disciplinary penalties to be imposed upon members of senior management.”

Article 53.5 of the Bylaws

“The audit and compliance committee shall meet as many times as it is called to meeting upon resolution made by the committee itself or by the chairman thereof, and at least four times per year. Any member of the management team or of the Company’s personnel shall, when so required, attend the meetings of the audit and compliance committee, provide it with his cooperation and make available to it such information as he may have in his possession. The audit and compliance committee may also require that the auditor attend such meetings. One of its meetings shall be devoted to evaluating the efficiency of and compliance with the rules and procedures for governance of the Company and preparing the information that the board is required to approve and include in the annual public documents.

Article 16.6 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“The audit and compliance committee shall meet as many times as it is called to meeting upon resolution made by the committee itself or by the chairman thereof (…). One of its meetings shall be devoted to evaluating the efficiency of and compliance with the rules and procedures for governance of the Company and preparing the information that the board is to approve and include in the annual public documents.”

Section D.4 of this report includes a description of the activities carried out in 2010 in relation to compliance and the prevention of money laundering.

See also the audit and compliance committee report.

46. All members of the audit committee, particularly its chairman, should be appointed with regard to their knowledge and background in accounting, auditing and risk management matters.

At present, the external independent director Mr Manual Soto Serrano is the chairman of the audit and compliance committee pursuant to the resolution of the board of 11 June 2010, at the proposal of the appointments and remuneration committee, taking the place of Mr Luis Ángel Rojo Duque, who had occupied the post for the maximum term of four years allowed under the law and the Bylaws of the Bank. Mr Luis Ángel Rojo Duque continues to be a member of the audit and compliance committee.

Section B.1.3 of this report contains a brief professional profile of the chairman of the audit and compliance committee, as well as the other members of the committee, showing that they possess the necessary knowledge and experience referred to in this recommendation.

Article 53.3 of the Bylaws

“The audit and compliance committee must in all events be presided over by an independent director, who shall also be knowledgeable about and experienced in matters of accounting, auditing or risk management (…)“

Article 16.3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“The audit and compliance committee must in all events be presided over by an independent director, who shall also be knowledgeable about and experienced in matters of accounting, auditing or risk management. The chairman of the audit and compliance committee shall be replaced every four years, and may be re-elected after the passage of one year from the end of his preceding term.”

47. Listed companies should have an internal audit function, under the supervision of the audit committee, to ensure the proper operation of internal reporting and control systems.

Supervision by the audit and compliance committee of internal audit duties is mentioned in Article 53.4 (iii) of the Bylaws and is implemented by Article 16.4 d) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board as follows:
**Article 5.4 (iii) of the Bylaws**

“The audit and compliance committee shall have at least the following powers and duties:

(...) 

(iii) Supervise the internal audit services.”

**Article 16.4 d) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board**

“The audit and compliance committee shall have the following duties:

(...) 

d) Supervise the internal audit services, and particularly:

(i) Proposing the selection, appointment and withdrawal of the party responsible for internal audit;

(ii) Reviewing the annual working plan for internal audit and the annual activities report;

(iii) Ensuring the independence and effectiveness of the internal audit function;

(iv) Proposing the budget for this service;

(v) Receiving periodic information regarding the activities thereof; and

(vi) Verifying that senior management takes into account the conclusions and recommendations of its reports.”

The audit and compliance committee report includes, as part of the description of its activities in 2010, those related to the internal audit.

**48. The head of internal audit should present an annual work programme to the Audit Committee; report to it directly on any incidents arising during its implementation; and submit an activity report at the end of each year.**

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

The internal audit division prepares an annual plan every year regarding risks, establishing the work to be carried out that year. Throughout 2010, the audit and compliance committee and the board of directors in full, respectively, have been informed of the internal audit work carried out in accordance with its annual plan at nine of the eleven audit and compliance committee meetings and three of the twelve board meetings held.

In the meeting of the audit and compliance committee of 20 October, the director heading the internal audit division reported on the implementation and follow-up of the recommendations, which are actions identified to correct significant deficiencies or weaknesses found in his inspections.

In 2011, the audit and compliance committee, at its 16 February meeting, reviewed favourably the annual internal audit work plan for 2011 and the activity report for 2010.

**49. Control and risk management policy should specify at least:**

a) The different types of risk (operational, technological, financial, legal, reputational...) the company is exposed to, with the inclusion under financial or economic risks of contingent liabilities and other off-balance-sheet risks;

b) The determination of the risk level the company sees as acceptable;

c) Measures in place to mitigate the impact of risk events should they occur;

d) The internal reporting and control systems to be used to control and manage the above risks, including contingent liabilities and off-balance-sheet risks.

See section: D

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

The current Bylaws (Article 52) detail the basic regulations of the risks committee, as follows:

**Article 52 of the Bylaws**

“1. The board of directors shall establish a risk committee, which shall be executive in nature, to which risk management powers shall be entrusted.

2. The risk committee shall be composed of a minimum of four and a maximum of six directors.

3. The rules and regulations of the board shall govern the composition, operation and powers of the risk committee.

4. The delegation of powers to the risk committee and the resolutions appointing the members thereof shall require the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the members of the board.”

The Rules and Regulations of the Board (Article 15) expressly include the functions set out under Recommendation 49 as part of the duties of the delegated risks committee.

**Article 15 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board**

“1. The risk committee shall be composed of a minimum of four and a maximum of six directors. The chairmanship of the committee shall be held by a vice chairman with executive duties.

The risk committee shall be an executive committee, and therefore may adopt the corresponding decisions within the scope of the powers delegated by the board.

2. A delegation of powers to the risk committee and resolutions appointing the members thereof shall require the favourable vote of at least two-thirds of the members of the board.

3. The risk committee shall have the delegated powers specifically set forth in the resolution on delegation, and shall generally assume the following responsibilities:

a) To propose to the board the Group’s risk policy, which must particularly identify:
i) The various types of risk (operational, technological, financial, legal and reputational, among others) that the Company faces, including, among financial and economic risks, contingent liabilities and others which are off-balance sheet;

(ii) The information and internal monitoring systems that will be used to monitor and manage such risks;

(iii) The setting of the risk level that the Company deems acceptable;

(iv) The planned measures to mitigate the impact of identified risks, in the event that they materialise.

b) To systematically review risk exposure among principal customers, economic sectors, geographic areas and risk types.

c) To be aware of and to authorise, if appropriate, management tools, improvement initiatives, advancement of projects and any other relevant activity relating to the control of risks, specifically including the nature and behaviour of internal risk models as well as the results of internal validation thereof.

d) To assess and monitor any observations made by supervisory authorities in furtherance of their duties.

e) To ensure that the activities of the Group are consistent with the previously decided risk tolerance level and to delegate to lower-level committees or managers the powers to assume risks.

f) To make resolutions on transactions that go beyond the powers delegated to lower decision-making bodies, as well as on the global limits of pre-classification in favour of economic groups or with respect to exposures by classes of risks.

4. The risk committee, as the body responsible for global risk management, shall assess reputational risk within the scope of its activities and decisions.

5. In addition, a copy of the minutes of meetings of this committee shall be made available to all directors.”

The Risk Management report in the Group’s 2010 annual report (pages 150 to 207) includes detailed information on this subject.

50. The Audit Committee’s role should be:
1. With respect to internal control and reporting systems:

a) To supervise the preparation process and monitor the integrity of the financial information on the company and, if applicable, the group, and to verify compliance with regulatory requirements, the appropriate boundaries of the scope of consolidation and the correct application of accounting principles.

b) Periodically review the systems for the internal monitoring and management of risks, so that the principal risks are identified, managed and properly disclosed.

c) Monitor the independence and efficacy of the internal audit function; propose the selection, appointment, reappointment and removal of the head of internal audit; propose the department’s budget; receive regular report-backs on its activities; and verify that senior management are acting on the findings and recommendations of its reports.

d) Establish and supervise a mechanism whereby staff can report, confidentially and, if necessary, anonymously, any irregularities they detect in the course of their duties, in particular financial or accounting irregularities, with potentially serious implications for the firm.

2. With respect to the external auditor:

a) To submit to the Board proposals for the selection, appointment, reappointment and removal of the external auditor, and the terms and conditions of its engagement.

b) To receive regular information from the external auditor on the progress and findings of the audit plan and to check that senior management are acting on its recommendations.

c) Monitor the independence of the external auditor, to which end:

i) The company should notify any change of auditor to the CNMV as a significant event, accompanied by a statement of any disagreements arising with the outgoing auditor and the reasons for the same.

ii) The committee should ensure that the company and the auditor adhere to current regulations on the provision of non-audit services, the limits on the concentration of the auditor’s business and, in general, other requirements designed to safeguard auditors’ independence;

iii) The committee should investigate the issues giving rise to the resignation of any external auditor.

d) In the case of groups, the committee urges the group auditor to take on the auditing of all component companies.

See sections: B.1.35, B.2.2, B.2.3 and D.3

Compliant X  Partially compliant  Explain

Article 16.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board includes all these competencies.

In accordance with the Rules and Regulations of the Board, the audit and compliance committee must ensure that the Bank publicly communicates the appointment of a new auditor, and issues a declaration on any possible disagreements with the outgoing auditor.

In the event of the resignation of the auditor, the Rules and Regulations of the Board stipulate that the audit and compliance committee must examine the circumstances that may have motivated the resignation (Article 16.4.1).

During this fiscal year no auditor has tendered his resignation.

Article 16.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The audit and compliance committee shall have the following duties:

a) Have its chairman and/or secretary report to the shareholders at the general shareholders’ meeting with respect to matters raised therein by shareholders regarding its powers.

b) Propose the appointment of the auditor, as well as the conditions under which such auditor will be hired, the scope of its professional duties and, if applicable, the revocation or non-renewal of its appointment. The committee shall favour
the Group’s auditor also assuming responsibility for auditing the companies making up the Group.

c) Review the accounts of the Company and the Group, monitor compliance with legal requirements and the proper application of generally accepted accounting principles and report on the proposals for alterations to the accounting principles and standards suggested by management.

d) Supervise the internal audit services, and particularly:

i) Proposing the selection, appointment and withdrawal of the party responsible for internal audit;

ii) Reviewing the annual working plan for internal audit and the annual activities report;

iii) Ensuring the independence and effectiveness of the internal audit function;

iv) Proposing the budget for this service;

v) Receiving periodic information regarding the activities thereof; and

vi) Verifying that senior management takes into account the conclusions and recommendations of its reports.

e) Know the process for gathering financial information and for the internal control systems. In particular, the audit and compliance committee shall:

i) Supervise the process of preparing and the integrity of the financial information relative to the Company and the Group, reviewing compliance with regulatory requirements, the proper demarcation of the scope of consolidation and the correct application of accounting standards; and

ii) Periodically review the systems for the internal monitoring and management of risks, so that the principal risks are identified, managed and properly disclosed.

f) Report on, review and supervise the risk control policy established in accordance with the provisions of these rules and regulations.

g) Serve as a channel of communication between the board and the auditor, assess the results of each audit and the response of the management team to its recommendations, and act as a mediator in the event of disagreement between the board and the auditor regarding the principles and standards to be applied in the preparation of the financial statements. Specifically, it shall endeavour to ensure that the statements ultimately drawn up by the board are submitted to the shareholders at the general shareholders’ meeting without any qualifications or reservations in the auditor’s report.

h) Supervise the fulfilment of the audit contract, endeavouring to ensure that the opinion on the annual financial statements and the main contents of the auditor’s report are set forth in a clear and accurate fashion.

i) Ensure the independence of the auditor, by taking notice of those circumstances or issues that might risk such independence and any others related to the development of the auditing procedure, as well as receive information and maintain such communication with the auditor as is provided for in legislation regarding the auditing of financial statements and in technical auditing regulations. And, specifically, verify the percentage represented by the fees paid for any and all reasons of the total income of the audit firm, and the length of service of the partner who leads the audit team in the provision of such services to the Company. The annual report shall set forth the fees paid to the audit firm, including information relating to fees paid for professional services other than audit work. Furthermore, the committee shall ensure that the Company publicly communicates a change of auditor and accompanies such communication with a declaration regarding the possible existence of disagreements, if any, with the outgoing auditor, regarding the content thereof and, in the event of the resignation of the auditor, the committee shall examine the circumstances causing it.

j) Report to the board, in advance of the adoption by it of the corresponding decisions, regarding:

i) The financial information that the Company must periodically make public, ensuring that such information is prepared in accordance with the same principles and practices applicable to the annual financial statements.

ii) The creation or acquisition of equity interests in special purpose entities or entities domiciled in countries or territories that are considered to be tax havens.

k) Supervise the observance of the code of conduct of the Group in the securities markets, the manuals and procedures for the prevention of money laundering and, in general, the rules of governance and compliance in effect in the Company, and make such proposals as are deemed necessary for the improvement thereof. In particular, the committee shall have the duty to receive information and, if applicable, issue a report on disciplinary penalties to be imposed upon members of senior management.

l) Review compliance with such courses of action and measures as result from the reports issued or the inspection proceedings carried out by the administrative authorities having functions of supervision and control.

m) Know and, if applicable, respond to the initiatives, suggestions or complaints put forward or raised by the shareholders regarding the area of authority of this committee and which are submitted to the general secretary of the Company. The committee shall also:

i) Receive, deal with and keep a record of the claims received by the Bank on matters related to the process for gathering financial information, auditing and internal controls.

ii) Receive on a confidential and anonymous basis possible communications from Group employees who express their concern on possible questionable practices in the areas of accounting or auditing.

n) Report on any proposed amendments to these rules and regulations prior to the approval thereof by the board of directors.

o) Evaluate, at least once a year, its operation and the quality of its work.

p) And others specifically provided for in these rules and regulations.”

The audit and compliance committee report contains more information on this subject.
51. The audit committee should be empowered to meet with any company employee or manager, even ordering their appearance without the presence of another senior officer.

Compliant × Explain

This is contemplated in Articles 53.5 of the Bylaws and 16.6 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board. This practice is seen in the audit and compliance committee report, which illustrates, among other aspects, that this committee has a constant and open dialogue with the auditor and the Group’s management team, with the auditor, the compliance director and the head of the internal audit division regularly attending meetings.

Article 53.5 of the Bylaws
The audit and compliance committee shall meet as many times as it is called to meeting upon resolution made by the committee itself or by the chairman thereof, and at least four times per year. Any member of the management team or of the Company’s personnel shall, when so required, attend the meetings of the audit and compliance committee, provide it with his cooperation and make available to it such information as he may have in his possession. The audit and compliance committee may also require that the auditor attend such meetings (…)".

Article 16.6 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
The audit and compliance committee shall meet as many times as it is called to meeting upon resolution made by the committee itself or by the chairman thereof, and at least four times per year. Any member of the management team or of the Company’s personnel shall, when so required, attend the meetings of the audit and compliance committee, provide it with his cooperation and make available to it such information as he may have in his possession. The audit and compliance committee may also require that the auditor attend such meetings (…)".

52. The audit committee should prepare information on the following points from Recommendation 8 for input to board decision-making:

a) The financial information that all listed companies must periodically disclose. The Committee should ensure that interim statements are drawn up under the same accounting principles as the annual statements and, to this end, may ask the external auditor to conduct a limited review.

b) The creation or acquisition of shares in special purpose vehicles or entities resident in countries or territories considered tax havens, and any other transactions or operations of a comparable nature whose complexity might impair the transparency of the group.

c) Related-party transactions, except where their scrutiny has been entrusted to some other supervision and control committee.

See sections: B.2.2 and B.2.3

Compliant × Partially compliant Explain

The Rules and Regulations of the Board – in Article 16.4.j) as transcribed under Recommendation 50 above – stipulate that the audit and compliance committee is responsible for informing the board, prior to any decision making, of financial information that must be made public, formally incorporating into the regulations the practice the Bank had followed up until now.

The audit and compliance committee reviews the accounts prepared for the services of the Bank and its Group.

In reference to the annual financial statements and management report for 2010, which will be submitted at the annual general meeting in 2011, the audit and compliance committee, at its meeting held on 15 March 2010, following its review, issued a favourable report on their content prior to its preparation by the board, which occurred – following certification by the general auditor of the Group - at the meeting held on 21 March 2011.

In the meetings held on 21 April, 21 July and 20 October 2010, and 19 January 2011, the audit and compliance committee reported favourably on the quarterly financial statements for the periods ended 31 March, 30 June, 30 September and 31 December 2010 respectively. This was prior to their approval by the board and disclosure to the relevant markets and supervisory bodies. In the Group’s unaudited financial reports for the first and third quarters of the year, it is expressly noted that the committee has ensured that the financial information is prepared in accordance with the same principles and practices applied to the annual consolidated financial statements.

The audit and compliance committee has reported favourably on the interim consolidated and interim condensed financial statements for the first and second halves of 2010. These have been prepared and audited in accordance with the accounting principles and policies established under International Accounting Standard (IAS) 34 Interim Financial Reporting, as adopted by the European Union, for the preparation of interim condensed financial statements, and in accordance with Article 12 of Royal Decree 1362/2007.

Article 16.4.j (ii) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board attributes the audit and compliance committee with the duty of reporting to the board in advance of any decision-making relating to the creation or acquisition of percentage interest in companies of a special nature or residing in countries or territories considered as tax havens.

Finally, in accordance with Article 30 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board, it is the responsibility of the appointments and remuneration committee to prepare reports in reference to letter c) of Recommendation 52.

Article 30 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“"The director shall fulfil all the duties and obligations which are inherent in his position as such and which are provided for by Law, the Bylaws, the rules and regulations for the general shareholders’ meeting and the rules and regulations of the board of directors, including the following:

(…)”

– Duty of loyalty:

(…)
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(iii) “The directors must notify the board of any direct or indirect conflict with the interests of the Company in which they may be involved. If the conflict arises from a transaction with the Company, the director shall not be allowed to conduct it unless the board, following a report from the appointments and remuneration committee, approves such transaction. In the event of conflict, the director involved shall not participate in the deliberations and decisions on the transaction to which the conflict refers. In all events, the situations of conflict in which the Company directors are involved shall be reported in the annual corporate governance report.”

53. The board of directors should seek to present the annual accounts to the general shareholders’ meeting without reservations or qualifications in the audit report. Should such reservations or qualifications exist, both the chairman of the audit committee and the auditors should give a clear account to shareholders of their scope and content.

See section: B.1.38

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

There have been no reservations or qualifications in the individual accounts of the Bank or in the consolidated Group accounts in the past three financial years.

In reference to the regulation of this matter, Article 62.3 of the Bylaws and Article 35.5 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board apply.

Articles 62.3 of the Bylaws and 35.5 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“The board of directors shall use its best efforts to prepare the accounts such that there is no room for qualifications by the auditor. However, when the board believes that its opinion must prevail, it shall provide a public explanation, through the chairman of the audit and compliance committee, of the content and scope of the discrepancy, and shall also endeavour to ensure that the auditor likewise discloses its considerations in this regard.”

54. The majority of nomination committee members – or nomination and remuneration committee members as the case may be – should be independent directors.

See section: B.2.1

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

Articles 54.2 of the Bylaws and 17.1 and 3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board stipulate that the appointments and remuneration committee is made up exclusively of external directors, with its chairman being an independent director, as is currently the case. At present, all members of this committee are external independent directors.

In addition, in 2010, no member of the appointments and remuneration committee has been an executive director, no executive director or member of senior management belonged to the board (nor to the remuneration committee) of companies that employed members of the appointments and remuneration committee.

Article 54.2 and 4 of the Bylaws

54.2

“The appointments and remuneration committee shall be composed of a minimum of three and a maximum of seven directors, all of whom shall be external or non-executive, with independent directors having majority representation.”

54.4

“The appointments and remuneration committee must in all events be presided over by an independent director.”

Article 17.1 and 3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

17.1

“The appointments and remuneration committee shall be composed of a minimum of three and a maximum of seven directors, all of whom shall be external or non-executive, with independent directors having majority representation.”

17.3

“The appointments and remuneration committee must in all events be presided over by an independent director.”

55. The nomination committee should have the following functions in addition to those stated in earlier recommendations:

a) Evaluate the balance of skills, knowledge and experience on the board, define the roles and capabilities required of the candidates to fill each vacancy, and decide the time and dedication necessary for them to perform their duties properly.

b) Examine or organise, in appropriate form, the succession of the chairman and chief executive, making recommendations to the board so the handover proceeds in a planned and orderly manner.

c) Report on the senior officer appointments and removals which the chief executive proposes to the board.

d) Report to the board on the gender diversity issues discussed in Recommendation 14 of this code.

See section: B.2.3

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain Not applicable

The Rules and Regulations of the Board expressly mention functions a) and c) of Recommendation 55 in Articles 17.4. a) and e).

Article 17.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“The appointments and remuneration committee shall have the following duties:

a) Establish and review the standards to be followed in order to determine the composition of the board and select those persons who will be proposed to serve as directors. In particular, the appointments and remuneration committee:
   (i) Shall evaluate the competencies, knowledge and experience required of directors.
   (ii) Shall specify the duties and the aptitudes needed of the candidates to fill each vacancy, evaluating the time and dedication needed for them to carry out their commitments properly.
Shall receive for consideration the proposals of potential candidates to fill vacancies that might be made by the directors.

(...)

e) Report on appointments and withdrawals of the members of senior management.

(...)

The regulation of the succession plans for the chairman and the managing director referred to in letter b) of Recommendation 55 is stipulated in Article 24 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

Article 24 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“In the cases of withdrawal, announcement of renunciation or resignation, disability or death of the members of the board of directors or its committees or withdrawal, announcement of renunciation or resignation of the chairman of the board of directors or of the managing director or directors, as well as from other positions on such bodies, at the request of the chairman of the board of directors or in his absence at the request of the highest-ranking vice chairman, the appointments and remuneration committee will be convened in order for such committee to examine and organise the process of succession or replacement in an orderly manner and to present the corresponding proposal to the board of directors. Such proposal shall be communicated to the executive committee and subsequently submitted to the board of directors at the following meeting scheduled to be held by the board’s annual calendar of meetings or at such extraordinary meeting as may be called if deemed necessary.”

Article 44.2 of the Bylaws provides rules for interim replacements, (applicable in the event of absence or impossibility to act or illness), to carry out the duties of the chairman of the board in the absence of vice chairmen.

On a yearly basis the board determines the numbering order in relation to the length of service of the directors. As such, at its meeting on 11 June 2010 the board unanimously agreed, for the interim exercising of the duties of chairman in the absence of vice chairmen, to assign the following order of precedence to the current directors:

1) Mr Rodrigo Echenique Gordillo
2) Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea
3) Mr Francisco Luzón López
4) Assicurazioni Generali S.p.A.
5) Mr Antonio Escámez Torres
6) Mr Luis Alberto Salazar-Simpson Bos
7) Mr Antonio Basagoiti García-Tuñón
8) Mr Guillermo de la Dehesa Romero
9) Mr Abel Matutes Juan
10) Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea
11) Lord Burns
12) Mr Luis Ángel Rojo Duque
13) Ms Isabel Tocino Biscarolasaaga
14) Mr Juan Rodríguez Inciarte
15) Mr Ángel Jado Becerro de Bengoa

Article 44.2 of the Bylaws

“The vice chairman or vice chairmen, in the established numerical sequence, and in the their absence, the appropriate director according to a numerical sequence established by the board of directors, shall replace the chairman in the event of absence or impossibility to act or illness.”

56. The nomination committee should consult with the company’s chairman and chief executive, especially on matters relating to executive directors.

Any board member may suggest directorship candidates to the Nomination Committee for its consideration.

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain Not applicable

The content of Recommendation 56, which forms part of the practices of the appointments and remuneration committee, is stated in Articles 17.4.a.(iii) and 17.5 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board.

Article 17.4.a.(iii) of the Rules and Regulations of the Board

“4. The appointments and remuneration committee shall have the following duties

a. Establish and review the standards to be followed in order to determine the composition of the board and select those persons who will be proposed to serve as directors. In particular, the appointments and remuneration committee:

(...)"
Article 17.4 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board
“The appointments and remuneration committee shall have the following duties:

(…)

f) Propose to the board:

(i) The policy for compensation of directors and the corresponding report, upon the terms of Article 29 of these rules and regulations.

(ii) The policy for compensation of the members of senior management.

(iii) The individual compensation of the directors.

(iv) The individual compensation of the executive directors and, if applicable, external directors, for the performance of duties other than those of a mere director, and other terms of their contracts.

(v) The basic terms of the contracts and compensation of the members of senior management.

g) Ensure compliance with the policy established by the Company for compensation of the directors and the members of senior management.

(…).”

58. The remuneration committee should consult with the chairman and chief executive, especially on matters relating to executive directors and senior officers.

Compliant X Partially compliant Explain

Article 17.5 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board reflects the content of Recommendation 58.

See the transcript of Article 17.5 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board under Recommendation 56 above.

G. OTHER INFORMATION OF INTEREST

If you consider that there is any material aspect or principle relating to the corporate governance practices followed by your company that has not been addressed in this report, indicate and explain below.

You may include in this section any other information, clarification or observation related to the above sections of this report.

Specifically indicate whether the company is subject to corporate governance legislation from a country other than Spain and, if so, include the compulsory information to be provided when different to that required by this report.

The Bank does not present an annual corporate governance report other than the one regulated by Order ECO/3722/2003.

No additional information, not contained in previous sections of this Report is included.

Banco Santander, S.A. has adhered to the code of good tax practices approved in the Tax Forum of Large Companies, a body in which large Spanish companies and the Spanish tax agency participate, and complies with the content of it. The tax consultancy director informed the audit and compliance committee of the tax policies followed by the Group in Spain during the year.

In addition, Law 2/2011 of 4 March on Sustainable Economy, rescinded Article 116 bis of Law 24/1998 of 28 July on Securities Markets, which required a report whose content must now be included in the annual corporate governance report (Article 61 bis of the Sustainable Economy Act).

Proposed distribution of results

The remuneration per share for 2010 to be proposed in the general shareholders’ meeting is EUR 0.60 per share, as follows: two dividends of EUR 0.135234 and 0.228766 per share, plus remuneration of EUR 0.119000 and 0.117000 per share, which takes the place of the second and third on-account dividends, respectively in the framework of the Santander Dividendo Elección programme. The former was distributed in August 2010 and the fourth shall be paid in the month of May, while the aforesaid programme was implemented in November 2010 and February 2011. With payment of the fourth dividend, if the proposal to be submitted to the general shareholders’ meeting is approved, it will be the last charged against 2010 earnings. The Bank will have remunerated shareholders with EUR 4,998.7 million, 1.6% more than the EUR 4,918.6 million the Bank earmarked for this purpose in 2009.

On 1 August, the first dividend of EUR 1,112,817 thousand was paid on account of the 2010 results.

The general shareholders’ meeting of 11 June 2010 resolved, following the highly satisfactory reception in 2009 of the shareholder remuneration programme Santander Dividendo Elección, to again offer this option in November 2010 for collection of an amount equivalent to the second dividend on account of the year either in cash or in new shares. In addition, depending on the level of demand and on market condition, this option could also be offered on the customary date for payment of the third on-account dividend in February 2011.
To this end, the executive committee of Banco Santander, in its meeting of 13 October 2010, resolved to undertake a capital increase charged against the voluntary reserves from earnings approved by the general shareholders’ meeting of 11 June 2010, pursuant to the terms agreed by the latter (see Note 4). Hence, in November 2010, in lieu of the second on-account dividend, delivery was made of 88,713,331 new shares carrying a value of EUR 823,437 thousand to shareholders who chose to receive remuneration in the form of shares and EUR 157,171 thousand to those who chose to receive it in the form of cash.

The executive committee of the Bank, in its meeting of 13 January 2011, resolved to implement the programme Santander Dividendo Elección on the date payment is traditionally made of the third on-account dividend, offering shareholders the option of receiving an amount equal to said dividend for a value of EUR 0.117 per share either in shares or in cash. Hence, in February 2011, in lieu of the third on-account dividend, delivery was made of 111,152,906 new shares carrying a value of EUR 845,318 thousand to shareholders who chose to receive remuneration in the form of shares and EUR 129,189 thousand to those who chose to receive it in the form of cash.

On 3 February 2011, the Bank announced the payment of a fourth dividend for 2010 worth a total of EUR 0.228766 per share, which shall be paid in cash from 1 May 2011.

In addition, payment was made in 2010 against reserves of EUR 243 million (EUR 381 million in 2009) for interest on bonds with mandatory conversion into newly issued ordinary shares of the Bank (Valores Santander – see Note 34).

**Capital and treasury stock**

**Capital structure and current agreements on possible issuance of new shares or bonds convertible into shares**

At December 31, 2010, the share capital of Banco Santander, S.A. totalled EUR 4,164.6 million, represented by 8,329,122,098 shares of EUR 0.50 in par value each, all of the same class and in one series, all fully subscribed and paid up.

All shares carry the same dividend and voting rights. The shares are represented in the form of book entries.

Further, at 31 December 2010, bonds with necessary conversion into newly issued ordinary shares of the Bank were outstanding for a total par value of EUR 6,828.51. These bonds, issued in 2007, may be voluntarily exchanged for Bank shares on 4 October 2011 and mandatorily on 4 October 2012.

The reference price of Bank shares for the purposes of conversion was established at EUR 16.04 per share, where the conversion ratio of the bonds – that is, the number of Bank shares for each Valor Santander in the conversion – is 311.76 shares for each Valor Santander. Their nominal interest rate increased to 7.30% up to 4 October 2008 and to the Euribor plus 2.75% since then until their swap for shares.

Subsequent to the issue, Banco Santander has agreed on a number of occasions to modify the conversion ratio of said bonds, in accordance with the terms set forth in the issue prospectus. The latest of these revisions was effected in view of the increase in paid-up capital by Banco Santander, through which the programme Santander Dividendo Elección was instrumented in lieu of the third on-account dividend of 2010, with the new reference price for conversion purposes of Banco Santander shares set at EUR 14.13 per share. Consequently, the new conversion ratio applicable to Valores Santander is 353.86 shares of Banco Santander for each Valor Santander, which is the result of dividing the par value of each Valor Santander (EUR 5,000) by the aforesaid reference price (EUR 14.13).

The additional capital authorised totals EUR 2,038,901,430.50, in accordance with the authorisation of the general shareholders’ meeting of 19 June 2009. The period in which the directors of the Bank may execute and carry out capital increases up to said limit ends on 19 June 2012. Shareholders also authorised the Board to partially or fully exclude the preferential subscription rights, in accordance with Article 159.2 of the Spanish Companies Law (now, Article 506 of the Corporate Enterprises Act).

As of 31 December 2010, use has not been made of this limit.

In addition, the general shareholders’ meeting of June 11, 2010 approved the following resolutions that are related to the content of this section:

1. Two capital increases, each for a maximum number of shares whose market value totals EUR one thousand million within the shareholder remuneration plan (Santander Dividendo Elección) under which the Bank offers them the option of choosing an amount equal to the second and third on-account dividend of 2010, respectively, in either cash or new shares.

To this end, the executive committee of the Bank, in its meetings of 2 November 2010 and 1 February 2011, resolved to implement the aforesaid capital increases charged against the voluntary reserves from unappropriated earnings approved by the general shareholders’ meeting of June 11, 2010 and carried out by means of resolutions of the executive committee of 13 October 2010 and 13 January 2011, respectively.

The number of shares of EUR 0.5 in unit par value issued in each of the two capital increases was 88,713,331 and 111,152,906, corresponding to 1.05% and 1.32% of the Bank’s present share capital, respectively.

2. Delegation to the board of directors of the power to issue fixed-income securities not convertible and/or exchangeable for a maximum issue(s) amount of EUR 7,000 million or its equivalent in another currency. The period in which the Bank directors may execute this agreement ends on 11 June 2015.

3. Capital increase for a nominal amount of EUR 500 million, with delegation to the board of directors of the broadest powers to specify the date and set the conditions for increase in all aspects not decided by the shareholders, within one year following the date of the shareholders’ meeting. In the event the board should fail to exercise the powers delegated to it by the shareholders for execution of this resolution within the period specified, said powers shall be rendered null and void.
Treasury shares portfolio, policy and operations with treasury stock
The general shareholders’ meeting of 11 June 2010 granted express authorization for the Bank and the subsidiaries comprising the Group to acquire shares representing the capital stock of the Bank for any valuable consideration permitted by Law, within the limits of and subject to any legal requirements, up to a maximum limit – including the shares they already hold – of a number of shares equivalent to 10 percent of the capital stock existing at any given time, or to such greater percentage as may be established by Law during the effectiveness of this authorization, which shares shall be fully paid-in, at a minimum price per share equal to the par value and a maximum price of up to 3 percent over the last listing price for transactions in which the Bank does not act for its own account on the Electronic Market of the Spanish Stock Exchanges (including the block market) prior to the acquisition in question. This authorization can only be exercised within five years from the date on which the general shareholders’ meeting is held. The authorization includes the acquisition of shares, if any, that must be conveyed directly to the employees and directors of the Company, or that must be conveyed as a result of the exercise of the options they hold.

The board of directors, in its meeting of June 11, 2010, adopted the present policy on treasury stock as published on the Group’s website (www.santander.com) which also regulates matters such as its purposes, persons authorised to implement it, general guidelines, prices, time limits and reporting obligations. In any event, the aforesaid policy excludes their use as a form of safeguarding.

At 31 December 2010, the total Bank shares held by the consolidated companies represented 0.27% of the Bank’s share capital on said date. At 31 December 2009, it was 0.03%, and at 31 December 2008 it was 0.81% of the Bank’s share capital on said date.

Transactions with treasury stock by consolidated companies in the interest of the Group in 2010 represented acquisitions of a total of 794,723,640 shares, equivalent to a nominal amount of EUR 397.4 million (EUR 7,320 million in cash) and sales of 774,974,286 shares for a nominal amount of EUR 387.5 million (EUR 7,169 million).

The mean purchase price of Bank shares in 2010 was EUR 9.28 per share and the mean sale price of Bank shares in the same year was EUR 9.25 per share. The after tax net impact generated by transactions in shares issued by the Bank in 2010 totalled EUR 18 million in losses, which were recognised by the Group in Shareholders’ Equity – Reserves.

Restrictions on the free transfer of shares
There are no restrictions in the bylaws on the transfer of shares other than those indicated below.

Articles 57 and 58 of Law 26/1988, on Discipline and Intervention of Credit Institutions provide that any acquisition of a significant ownership interest in a credit institution must be previously notified to the Bank of Spain, which reserves the right to oppose the acquisition if the acquirer fulfils certain conditions envisaged in the Law.

Independently of the foregoing, a shareholders’ agreement notified to the Bank affecting the free transfer of certain shares is described below.

Restrictions on voting rights
There are no legal or bylaw restrictions on the exercise of voting rights as such.

Hence, the first paragraph of Article 26.1 of the Bylaws states: The holders of any number of shares registered in their name in the respective book entry registry five days prior to the date on which the general shareholders’ meeting is to be held and who are current in the payment of capital calls shall be entitled to attend general shareholders’ meetings.

Shareholders’ agreements
In February 2006, three directors, together with other shareholders of the Bank, entered into a shareholder agreement that was notified to the Bank and to the Spanish Securities Markets Commission (CNMV). The document witnessing the aforementioned agreement was filed at both the CNMV Registry and the Cantabria Mercantile Registry.

The agreement, which was signed by Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos, Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, Mr Francisco Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, Simancas, S.A., Puente San Miguel, S.A., Puentepumar, S.L., Latimer Inversiones, S.L. and Cronje, S.L. Unipersonal, provides for the syndication of the Bank shares held by the signatories to the agreement or whose voting rights have been granted to them.

The aim pursued by virtue of the syndication agreement, the restrictions established on the free transferability of the shares and the regulated exercise of the voting rights inherent thereto is to ensure, at all times, the concerted representation and actions of the syndicate members as shareholders of the Bank, for the purpose of developing a lasting, stable common policy and an effective, unitary presence and representation in the Bank’s corporate bodies.

At the date of execution of the agreement, the syndicate comprised a total of 44,396,513 shares of the Bank (0.533% of its share capital at 2010 year-end). In addition, as established in clause one of the shareholders’ agreement, the syndication extends, solely with respect to the exercise of the voting rights, to other Bank shares held either directly or indirectly by the signatories, or whose voting rights are assigned to them, in the future. Accordingly, at 31 December 2010, a further 34,342,041 shares (0.412% of share capital) were included in the syndicate.

At any given time, the chairman of the syndicate is the person then presiding over the Marcelino Botín Foundation, currently Mr Emilio Botín Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos.

The members of the syndicate undertake to syndicate and pool the voting and other political rights inherent to the syndicated shares, so that these rights may be exercised and, in general, the syndicate members heading the Bank may act in a concerted manner, in accordance with the instructions and indications and with the voting criteria and orientation, necessarily unitary, issued by the syndicate. For this purpose, the representation of these shares is attributed to the chairman of the syndicate as the common representative of its members.

Except for transactions carried out in favour of other members of the syndicate or in favour of the Marcelino Botín Foundation, prior authorisation must be granted from the syndicate assembly, which may freely approve or refuse permission for the planned transfer.
**Significant direct and indirect shareholdings**

At 31 December 2010, the Bank’s share capital was held by a total of 3,202,324 shareholders.

At 31 December 2010, the only shareholders with an interest greater than 3% appearing in the Company’s shareholder Register were: Chase Nominees Limited, State Street Bank & Trust, EC Nominees Ltd., The Bank of New York Mellon, Guaranty Nominees Limited and Société Générale, with 10.24%, 9.52%, 6.45%, 5.05%, 3.73% and 3.28%, respectively. The Bank believes that said institutions hold the shares on behalf of third parties as custodians or international deposit institutions, and to the Bank’s knowledge, none of the shareholders hold an interest of over 3% of the Bank’s share capital or voting rights.

The table below shows the direct, indirect and represented shareholders, at 31 December 2010, of members of the Bank’s board of directors, according to the Bank’s official shareholder Register. The shareholding percentages are shown over the amount of the Bank’s share capital on said date:


---

### Directors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Directors</th>
<th>Direct</th>
<th>Indirect</th>
<th>Represented</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>% s/s.c.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos</td>
<td>8,191,268</td>
<td>42,916,473</td>
<td>109,005,554</td>
<td>160,113,295</td>
<td>2.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Fernando de Asua Álvarez</td>
<td>61,135</td>
<td>50,641</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>111,776</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Alfredo Sáenz Abad</td>
<td>952,729</td>
<td>1,259,475</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,212,204</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Matías Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>967,600</td>
<td>83,578</td>
<td>78,644</td>
<td>1,129,822</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Manuel Soto Serrano</td>
<td>95,000</td>
<td>364,752</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>426,252</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asurancezine Generali S.p.A.</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>56,089,940</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56,164,940</td>
<td>0.674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Basagoiti García-Tuñón</td>
<td>708,246</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>708,246</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea</td>
<td>5,094,687</td>
<td>4,024,136</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,118,823</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea (1) (2)</td>
<td>4,793,481</td>
<td>4,675,732</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9,469,213</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lord Burns (Terence)</td>
<td>30,102</td>
<td>27,001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>57,103</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Guillermo de la Dehesa Romero</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Rodrigo Echenique Gordillo</td>
<td>658,758</td>
<td>9,398</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>668,156</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Antonio Esclámez Torres</td>
<td>757,594</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>757,594</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Angel Jado Becerro de Bengoa</td>
<td>1,877,500</td>
<td>4,950,000</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,827,500</td>
<td>0.082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Francisco Luzón López</td>
<td>1,226,852</td>
<td>53,339</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,280,191</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Abel Mátutes Juan</td>
<td>124,996</td>
<td>2,319,463</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,444,459</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Juan Rodríguez Inciarte</td>
<td>1,367,626</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,367,626</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Luis Angel Rojo Duque</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Luis Alberto Salazar-Simpson Bos</td>
<td>253,205</td>
<td>5,713</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>258,918</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Isabel Tocino Biscarolasaga</td>
<td>39,860</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39,860</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** | 27,242,215 | 116,829,641 | 109,084,198 | 253,156,054 | 3.039 |

(1) Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos is attributed the voting rights of 91,866,035 shares owned by the Marcelino Botín Foundation (1.10% of the share capital), 8,096,742 shares held by Mr Jaime Botín-Sanz de Sautuola and García de los Ríos, 9,042,777 shares held by Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, 9,118,823 shares held by Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea and 9,469,213 shares held by Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea. Therefore, although the table above shows the direct and indirect ownership interests of each of the two last-mentioned directors of the Bank, these holdings, in the column relating to the percentage of total voting rights are calculated together with those belonging to or also represented by Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos.

(2) Mr Javier Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea has the status of an external proprietary director since he represents on the board 2.146% of the share capital relating to the holdings of the Marcelino Botín Foundation, Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos, Ms Ana Patricia Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, Mr Emilio Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y O’Shea, Mr Jaime Botín-Sanz de Sautuola y García de los Ríos, Ms Paloma O’Shea Artiñano and his own holding.

(3) Mr Matías Rodríguez Inciarte holds the voting rights for 78,644 shares owned by his two sons.
Board of directors

Rules for the appointment and replacement of members of the board of directors and for the modification of the Bylaws

The most relevant rules governing the procedures, criteria and bodies competent for the appointment, re-election and renewal of directors is contained in a number of provisions of the Corporate Enterprises Act, the Regulations of the Mercantile Registry and the Bylaws (Articles 20.2. (i), 41, 42, 55 and 56) and the Rules and Regulations of the Board of Directors (Articles 6, 7, 17 and from 21 to 25). The legislation governing credit institutions is also applicable.

The most significant features of the framework resulting from all the aforementioned provisions are as follows:

- Number of directors and term of office:
The Bylaws (Article 41.1) state that the maximum number of directors shall be 22 and the minimum shall be 14. The Bank board is presently comprised of 20 directors.

The term of office of a director is five years, although directors can be re-elected. The directors who have been designated by interim appointment (co-option) to fill vacancies may be ratified in their position at the first general meeting that is held following such designation, in which case they shall vacate office on the date on which their predecessor would have vacated office.

A reform of the Bylaws and the Rules and Regulations of the Board is planned to establish the term of office of directors at three years.

- Appointment powers and procedure:
Responsibility for the nomination and re-election of directors lies with the general meeting. Nevertheless, in the event that directors vacate their office during the term for which they were appointed, the board of directors may provisionally designate another director until the shareholders, at the earliest subsequent general meeting, either confirm or revoke this appointment.

The proposals for appointment, re-election and ratification of directors that the board of directors submits for shareholder consideration at the annual general meeting, as well as the decisions adopted by the board of directors regarding appointments by co-option shall be preceded by the corresponding proposal made by the appointments and remuneration committee. Should the board disregard the proposal made by the appointments and remuneration committee, the board shall substantiate such decision and shall record the reasons therefor in the minutes.

Directors affected by proposals for appointment, re-election, ratification, removal, separation or withdrawal from office shall abstain from attending at and participating in the debate and voting of the board of directors and of the appointments and remuneration committee. In such cases, and if the provisions of Article 514.1 of the Corporate Enterprises Act (Ley de Sociedades de Capital) are met, directors may not exercise voting rights in the general shareholders’ meeting for the shares they represent.

Rules governing the modification of the Bylaws do not establish conditions other than those prescribed by law for such modification, which shall be governed by the requirements established in the Corporate Enterprises Act.

- Appointment requisites and restrictions:
It is not necessary to be a shareholder in order to be appointed a director, except when this is legally required in the event of provisional appointment by the board (co-option), as mentioned above.

The following persons may not hold directorships: minors who are not emancipated, legally disabled persons, persons considered incapacitated in accordance with the Bankruptcy Law (Ley Concursal) during the period of incapacity by firm ruling for crimes against liberty, property the social and economic order, collective security or the administration of justice, and crimes of deception, as well as those which based on their position would entail a bar on holding the directorship. Other persons ineligible for directorships are government employees who discharge functions related to the companies’ specific activities, judges or magistrates, or others affected by a legal incompatibility.

Directors must be persons of renowned commercial and professional integrity, competence and solvency under the terms established in regulations governing credit institutions. There is no age limit for directors.

In the selection of who should be appointed to the position of director, it will be expected that these persons will be recognised for their business and professional honourability, competence and solvency, paying special attention, if applicable, to the relevance of their percentage share ownership in the equity of the Bank.

If a director is a body corporate, the natural person representative thereof is subject to compliance with the same requirements as established for directors who are natural persons.

All persons designated as directors must formally agree to fulfil the obligations and duties of their position upon taking office.

- Proportional system:
Shares pooled to form an amount of share capital equal to or greater than that which results from dividing the total share capital by the number of board members will carry entitlement to designate, on the legally-stipulated terms, a proportionate number of directors, disregarding fractions.

- Vacation of office or removal:
Directors shall cease to hold office when the term for which they were appointed elapses, unless they are re-elected, when the general meeting so resolves, or when they resign or place their office at the disposal of the board.

Directors must place their office at the disposal of the board of directors and tender the related notice of resignation if the board, after receiving the report of the appointments and remuneration committee, should deem this appropriate, in those cases in which the directors might have an adverse effect on the functioning of the board or on the Bank’s credibility and reputation and, in particular, when they are subject to any incompatibility or prohibition provided for by law that would bar them from holding office.

Furthermore, the directors must, at their earliest convenience, notify the board of any circumstances which might jeopardise the Bank’s credibility and reputation and, in particular, of any lawsuits in which they are involved as accused parties.
Finally, the Rules and Regulations of the Board (Article 23.3) stipulates that proprietary directors must submit their resignations, in the corresponding numbers, when the shareholder that they represent parts with its shareholdings or reduces them in a significant manner.

**Powers of the general shareholders’ meeting and the board of directors**

The powers of the general shareholders’ meeting and the board of directors are determined by law and by the Bylaws, the Rules and Regulations of the Board of Directors, which are available on the Group website www.santander.com.

Below is a description of the most significant features:

**Powers of the general shareholders’ meeting**

Pursuant to Article 20 of the Bylaws, the general shareholders’ meeting has the power to decide on all matters assigned to it by the law or by the bylaws. Specifically and merely by way of example, it has the following powers:

(i) Appointing and dismissing directors, or confirming or revoking provisional appointments of directors by the board, and examining and approving their performance;

(ii) Appointing and dismissing auditors;

(iii) Approving the financial statements, determining the application of results and approve, also as applicable, the consolidated financial statements;

(iv) Approving the issue of bonds, a capital increase or reduction, the transformation, merger, spin-off or winding up of the Company, and in general, any modification of the Company’s bylaws.

(v) Authorising the board of directors to increase the share capital, pursuant to the provisions of the Companies Act and the Bylaws of the Bank;

(vi) Authorising the acquisition of treasury stock;

(vii) Deciding on matters submitted to it by the board of directors;

(viii) Deciding on the application of remuneration systems consisting of the distribution of shares or rights to them, or any other system of remuneration linked to the share price, independently of who the beneficiary may be of such remuneration systems;

(ix) To approve the subsidiarization or contribution to subsidiaries of the operating assets of the Company, thus turning the Company into a mere holding company;

(x) Approving, if applicable, the acquisition or disposition of assets whenever, because of the quality and volume thereof, they entail an actual change of the corporate purpose; and

(xi) Approving transactions that would have an effect equivalent to the liquidation of the Company.

Powers not assigned by law or the bylaws to the general shareholders’ meeting are held by the board of directors.

**Powers of the board of directors:**

Pursuant to Article 38.1 of the Bylaws, the board of directors is empowered with the highest authority for the administration of the Bank and, with the exception of matters reserved to the general shareholders’ meeting, it is the highest decision-making body of the Bank. The board shall assume powers legally reserved to it directly that cannot be delegated, and any others which are necessary for responsible exercise of its general duties of supervision.

Without prejudice to any other powers that may be vested, either of a general or specific nature, the powers of representation of the Bank, either in court or outside it, shall correspond to the board of directors, which shall act in as a single body. In addition, the power to represent the Company shall be held by the chairman of the board.

The secretary or deputy secretary of the board have sufficient representative powers to formalize in a public deed and apply for registration of resolutions of the general shareholders’ meeting and the board of directors.

The Rules and Regulations of the Board of Directors (Article 3) further provide that, except in matters reserved to the general shareholders’ meeting, the board of directors is the highest decision-making body of the Bank.

Without prejudice to the foregoing, Article 3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board establishes that the policy adopted by the Board consists of delegating the day-to-day management of the Company to the executive bodies (mainly the executive committee) and the management team and focusing its activity on the general supervisory function, assuming and discharging per se, without the power of delegation, the responsibilities entailed in this function and, in particular, those set forth below:

a) Approval of the general policies and strategies of the Bank, particularly:

   (i) Strategic plans, management targets and annual budget;

   (ii) Dividend and treasury stock policy;

   (iii) General risk management policy;

   (iv) Corporate governance policy;

   (v) Corporate social responsibility policy;

b) Approval of the policies for the provision of information to and for communication with the shareholders, the markets and the public opinion. The board assumes the duty to provide the markets with prompt, accurate and reliable information, especially in connection with the shareholding structure, any substantial amendments to the rules of governance, related party transactions of particular importance and treasury stock.

c) Approval of the financial information that the Company must make public on a periodic basis.

d) Approval of transactions entailing the acquisition and disposal of substantial assets of the Company and major corporate transactions, unless such approval must be given by the shareholders at a general shareholders’ meeting, pursuant to the provisions of Article 20 of the Bylaws.

---

17 A proposal is to be submitted to the 2011 shareholders’ meeting for reform of the bylaws and the Rules and Regulations of the general shareholders’ meeting to adapt them to the present Corporate Enterprises Act and other regulations. In like manner, and for the same purpose, the Rules and Regulations of the Board of Directors are to be modified.
e) Approval, within the framework of the provisions of Article 58 of the Bylaws, of the remuneration to which each director is entitled.

f) Approval of the contracts governing the performance by directors of duties other than those of a mere director and the compensation to which they are entitled for the performance of additional duties, whether they are the duties of an executive director or otherwise, other than the duties of supervision and collective decision-making that they discharge in their capacity as mere members of the board.

g) The appointment, compensation and, if applicable, removal of the members of the senior management, as well as the definition of the basic terms of their contracts. Approval of their remuneration and that of any other members not part of senior management with significant remuneration, particular variable remuneration, and whose activities may have a significant impact on the assumption of risks by the Group.

h) Control of management activities and evaluation of managers

i) Authorisation for the creation or acquisition of shareholdings in special purpose entities or entities domiciled in countries or territories that are considered to be tax havens.

j) And all others specifically provided for in these rules and regulations.

The powers set forth in paragraphs (c), (d), (e), (f), (g) and (i) may be exercised by the executive committee on an emergency basis, with a subsequent report thereof to the board at the immediately following board meeting.

Committees of the board of directors
The board has constituted as decision-making bodies an executive committee, to which general decision-making powers have been delegated, and a risk committee, with powers relating specifically to risk.

In addition, the board has other committees with powers of supervision, reporting, advisory and proposal. These are the committees of audit and compliance, appointments and remuneration, international and technology, productivity and quality.

Below is a description of the rules of organisation and operation of the executive committee and the risk committee.

Executive committee
The executive committee is governed by Article 51 of the Company’s Bylaws and Article 14 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board. All the powers of the board of directors have been delegated to the executive committee, except those which may not be legally delegated and those which are reserved to the board under the latter’s Rules and Regulations, as mentioned above. In addition, as indicated, and pursuant to the section Powers of the board of directors herein, some of the powers of the board may be exercised by the executive committee when required on the grounds of urgency, with a subsequent report thereof to the board at the immediately following board meeting.

The executive committee shall be comprised of a maximum twelve directors. The chairman of the board of directors must be a member and chair of the executive committee. The committee submits to the board any matters that fall within the exclusive jurisdiction of the latter. The executive committee reports to the board on any matters or decisions it has adopted at its meetings and furnishes board members with copies of the minutes for such meetings.

Risk committee
The risk committee is governed by Article 52 of the Bylaws and Article 15 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board. It shall be comprised of a minimum of four and a maximum of six directors. The chairmanship of the committee shall be held by a vice chairman with executive duties.

It has the following duties pursuant to Article 15.3 of the Rules and Regulations of the Board:

a) To propose to the board the Group’s risk policy, which must particularly identify:

   (i) The various types of risk (operational, technological, financial, legal and reputational, among others) that the Company faces, including, among financial and economic risks, contingent liabilities and others which are off-balance sheet;

   (ii) The information and internal monitoring systems that will be used to monitor and manage such risks;

   (iii) The setting of the risk level that the Company deems acceptable;

   (iv) The planned measures to mitigate the impact of identified risks, in the event that they materialise.

b) To systematically review risk exposure among principal customers, economic sectors, geographic areas and risk types.

c) To be aware of and to update, if appropriate, management tools, improvement initiatives, advancement of projects and any other relevant activity relating to risk control, specifically including the nature and performance of internal risk models as well as the results of internal validations thereof.

d) To assess and monitor any observations made by supervisory authorities in furtherance of their duties.
e) To ensure that the activities of the Group are consistent with the previously decided risk tolerance level and to delegate to lower-level committees or managers the powers to assume risks.

f) To make resolutions on transactions that go beyond the powers delegated to lower decision-making bodies, as well as on the global limits of pre-classification in favour of economic groups or with respect to exposures by classes of risk.

In addition to the foregoing, the committee has been permanently delegated the following powers of the board of directors:

a) Decide on the granting of loans, the opening of credit accounts and risk operations in general, as well as the modification, transfer and cancellation thereof, and on global risk management (country, interest rate, credit, market, operational, treasury and derivatives risk), as well as determining and approving the general and specific conditions applicable to discounts, loans, deposits, guarantees and all types of banking operations.

b) Establish, change, subrogate and terminate lease agreements for all kinds of personal and real assets, upon the terms and conditions that it may freely determine, as well as acquire the underlying assets of such financial leasing, with no limit on amount or volume.

c) Create, modify and cancel all manner of sureties, bonds and any other guarantees before all kinds of natural persons, bodies corporate and public or private entities and bodies, in particular for the purposes of the Public Administration Contracts Act (Ley de Contratos de las Administraciones Públicas) and consolidating legislation, subject to the terms and conditions it deems appropriate, thereby establishing the necessary deposits in cash or securities, with entitlement to bind the Company, even jointly and severally with the main debtor, thereby waiving the benefits of discussion and division, all the foregoing on its own behalf in order to secure the obligations of third parties, whether natural persons or legal entities, with no limitation whatsoever on the amount thereof.

Significant agreements entered into by the company that enter into force, are modified or which terminate in the event of a change of control of the company resulting from a takeover bid.

None.

Agreements between the Company and its Board members or employees providing for compensation upon resignation or unfair dismissal or if their employment relation ends due to a takeover bid.

In addition to the agreements described in Note 5 of the annual report of 2011 for executive directors, and without prejudice to the provisions of Article 10.3 of Royal Decree 1382/1985 of 1 August regulating the Special Employment Relationship of Senior Management, the Bank has compensation clauses in favour of members of senior management who are not directors. In the event such circumstance had arisen at 31 December 2010, it would have given rise to compensation in favour of said members of senior management totalling EUR 87.4 million.

Definition of Independent Director:

List any Independent Directors who maintain, or have maintained in the past, a relationship with the company, its significant shareholders or managers, when the significance or importance thereof would dictate that the directors in question may not be considered independent pursuant to the definition set forth in section 5 of the Unified Good Governance Code:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

This annual corporate governance report was approved by the company’s board of directors at its meeting held on: 21 March 2011.

List any directors who voted against or abstained from voting on the approval of this report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>X</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Explain the reasons for the votes against or abstention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name or corporate name of directors voting against the approval of this report</th>
<th>Reasons (against, abstention, non-attendance)</th>
<th>Explain the reasons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Banco Santander, S.A.
The parent bank of Grupo Santander was established on March 21, 1857 and incorporated in its present form by a public deed executed in Santander, Spain, on January 14, 1875, recorded in the Mercantile Registry (Finance Section) of the Government of the Province of Santander, on folio 157 and following, entry number 859. The Bank’s by-laws were amended to conform with current legislation regarding limited liability companies. The amendment was registered on June 8, 1992, and entered in the Mercantile Registry of Santander (volume 448, general section, folio 1, page 1,960, first inscription of adaptation).

The Bank is also recorded in the Special registry of Banks and Bankers 0049, and its fiscal identification number is A- 390000013. It is a member of the Bank Deposit Guarantee Fund.

Registered Office
The corporate by-laws and additional public information regarding the company may be inspected at its registered office at Paseo de la Pereda, numbers 9 to 12, Santander.

Operational Headquarters
Santander Group City
Avda. De Cantabria s/n
28660 Boadilla del Monte
Madrid (Spain)

General Information
Telephone: 902 11 22 11
Telephone: +34 91 289 00 00

www.santander.com

Shareholders’ Office
Santander Group City
Edificio Pampa, Planta Primera
Avenida de Cantabria, s/n.
28660 Boadilla del Monte
Madrid (Spain)
Teléfonos: 902 11 17 11 / +34 91 276 92 90

Investor Relations
Santander Group City
Edificio Pereda, 1ª planta
Avda. de Cantabria s/n
28660 Boadilla del Monte
Madrid (Spain)
Telefonos: +34 91 259 65 14

Customer Attention Department
Santander Group City
Edificio Pereda, Planta Primera,
Avda. de Cantabria s/n
28660 Boadilla del Monte
Madrid (Spain)
Telephone +34 91 257 30 80
Fax: +34 91 254 10 38
atencie@gruposantander.com

Ombudsman
Don José Luis Gómez-Dégano.
Apartado de Correos 14019
28080 Madrid (España).
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