Vigeo Eiris was commissioned to provide an independent opinion (hereafter the “Second Party Opinion” or “SPO”) on the sustainability credentials and management of the Global Sustainable Bonds Framework (hereafter the “Programme” or “Framework”), created by Banco Santander S.A (the “Bank” or “Santander”) and including its Special Purpose Vehicle (SPV) Santander International Products PLC2 (Santander and Santander International Products PLC together referred as “the Issuers”), as a global framework to govern all the potential Green, Social and Sustainable Bonds to be issued under the Framework (the “Bonds”).

Our opinion is established according to our Environmental, Social and Governance (“ESG”) exclusive assessment methodology, and to the International Capital Market Association’s Green Bond Principles and Social Bond Principles voluntary guidelines, edited in June 2018 (the “GBP 2018 ” and the “SBP 2018”, or the “Principles”).

Our opinion is based on the review of the following components:

1) **Issuers**: we assessed Santander’s ESG performance4, its management of potential stakeholders-related ESG controversies and its involvement in controversial activities5.

2) **Programme**: we assessed the coherence between the Programme and Santander’s environmental and social commitments, and its alignment with the assessed elements of the four core components of the Principles (i.e. elements corresponding to the Process for Evaluation and Selection and Management of Proceeds, and some aspects of the Use of Proceeds and Reporting).

Our sources of information are multichannel, combining data from (i) public information, gathered from public sources, press content providers and stakeholders; (ii) information from Vigeo Eiris’ exclusive ESG rating database, and (iii) information provided by the Issuers through documents and from interviews with Issuers’ managers and stakeholders involved in the Bonds issuance, held via a telecommunication system.

We carried out due diligence assessment from March 10th to May 5th, 2020. We consider that we were provided with access to all the appropriate documents and people we solicited. To this purpose we used our reasonable efforts to verify such data accuracy.

**Vigeo Eiris’ Opinion**

Vigeo Eiris is of the opinion that Santander’s Global Sustainable Bonds Framework is aligned with the assessed elements of the four components of the Green Bond Principles (GBP) 2018 and the Social Bond Principles (GBP) 2018 (i.e. elements corresponding to the Process for Evaluation and Selection and Management of Proceeds, and some aspects of the Use of Proceeds and Reporting). This opinion will be complemented with a Second Party Opinion on the alignment of Santander’s specific Bonds Frameworks with this Programme and with the GBP and/or SBP.

We express a reasonable6 level of assurance (our highest level of assurance) on the Issuers’ commitments and on the contribution of the contemplated Bonds to sustainable development. This opinion is conditioned to the review of Santander’s specific Bonds Frameworks.

1) **Issuers** (see Part I)


- As of today, as commonly observed in the banking sector, Santander faces frequent allegations, regarding the Environment, Community Involvement, Business Behaviour and Corporate Governance

---

1 This opinion is to be considered as the “Second Party Opinion” described in the GBP voluntary guidelines (June 2018 Edition) edited by the International Capital Market Association (www.icmgroup.org).
2 The “Green Bond” is to be considered as the bond to be potentially issued, subject to the discretion of the Issuer. The name “Green Bond” has been decided by the Issuers: it does not imply any opinion from Vigeo Eiris.
3 The Bonds issued by this SPV will be 100% guaranteed by Santander SA.
4 The Santander SA ESG performance was assessed in February 2020 by a complete process of rating and benchmark developed by Vigeo Eiris. All potential evolutions and data published after this date are not included in the rating.
5 Definition of “Vigeo Eiris’ scales of assessment (as detailed in the Methodology section)
6 Level of Assurance: Reasonable, Moderate, Weak.
domains. The severity of these controversies is overall high, based on the analysis of their impact on the company and its stakeholders. The company is overall reactive: it reports in a detailed way on its position on most cases.

- Santander appears to not be involved in any of the 17 controversial activities screened under our methodology.

2) **Issuance (see part II):**

Santander has described the main characteristics of the Bonds within a formalised Global Sustainable Bonds Framework, which covers the four core components of the GSB 2018 (the last updated version was provided to Vigeo Eiris on April 27, 2020). Santander has committed to making this document publicly accessible on its Website as well as the related Second Party Opinions on the date of the issuance, in line with good market practices.

We are of the opinion that the Sustainable Bonds Framework is coherent with Santander’s main sector sustainability issues, with its publicly disclosed strategic sustainable development priorities, and that it contributes to achieve its sustainable development commitments.

**Use of proceeds**

- The net proceeds of the Bonds will exclusively finance or refinance, in full or in part, Eligible Projects and/or Assets falling under Green, Social and Sustainable categories (“Eligible Categories”). We consider that the Eligible Categories are partially defined in the Global Sustainable Bonds Framework. However, Santander has committed that its Eligible Categories will be clearly defined before each Issuance in specific Bonds Frameworks (or “Annex”). Santander commits to obtaining a dedicated Second Party Opinion for each of the new categories issued within its Global Sustainable Bonds Framework.

- The environmental and social benefits of the Eligible Categories will also be defined, assessed and quantified by Santander before each issuance in specific Bonds Frameworks, and will be subject to an external verification. In the case of Social or Sustainable Bonds, Santander commits to define the target population of social projects before each issuance.

- Santander commits that the refinancing share of the Eligible Projects/Assets will not exceed 50% of the funds during the term of the bonds that will be issued. Santander will provide further information before each issuance in the specific Bonds Frameworks. Santander commits to respect a common look-back period of maximum 3-calendar years (from the issuance) for each Bond issued within its Global Sustainable Bonds Framework, in line with market practices.

- The Eligible Categories are likely to contribute to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (“SDGs”). These contributions will be assessed by Santander for each of the dedicated Bonds Frameworks included in Santander’s Sustainable Bonds Framework.

**Process for Projects/Assets Evaluation and Selection**

- The governance and the process for the Projects/Assets’ evaluation and selection are formalised in the Global Sustainable Bonds Framework. We consider that the process is reasonably structured, transparent and relevant.

- The process is based on explicit and relevant eligibility (selection and exclusion) criteria, although the exclusion criteria are partially defined.

- The process for the identification and management of environmental and social risks associated at Framework level is considered to be good. Santander will include additional selection criteria and due diligence processes depending on the nature and typology of the new project categories and sub-categories under the Framework, and these will be assessed before each issuance.

**Management of Proceeds**

- The rules for the management of proceeds are clearly defined and will be verified. We consider that they would enable a documented and overall transparent allocation process.

**Monitoring & Reporting**

- The reporting process and commitments appear to be good regarding the funds allocation and limited regarding environmental and social benefits. However, Santander commits to define and report on dedicated indicators for each category (earmarked by each bond), as well as to obtain an external assessment.
Santander has committed that its Global Sustainable Bonds Framework will be supported by external reviews:

- **A pre-issuance consultant review**: the hereby Second Party Opinion delivered by Vigeo Eiris, covering all the features of the Bonds, based on pre-issuance assessment and commitments, to be made publicly available by the Issuer on its website⁸, at the date of issuance.

- **An annual verification** an external verification performed by a third-party auditor, covering the allocation of funds, the compliance in all material aspects, of (i) the actual allocation of proceeds to the Eligible Green Assets and their alignment with the eligibility criteria and (ii) the impact reporting, annually and until the Bonds’ maturity and in case of any material change.

- **Post-issuance consultant reviews**: ad-hoc Second Party Opinions, in the event that additional Eligible Categories are added to the existing Global Sustainable Bonds Framework.

This Second Party Opinion is based on the review of the information provided by the Issuer, according to our exclusive assessment methodology and to the GBP voluntary guidelines (June 2018). Santander acknowledges that in case of changes of such standards and market practices and expectations, VIGEO EIRIS shall exclude any liability regarding the use of the concerned Second Party Opinion and its compliance with then-current standards and market practices and expectations.

### Project team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sustainability Consultant</th>
<th>Senior Advisor</th>
<th>Supervisor</th>
<th>Sustainable Finance Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rebecca SMITH</td>
<td>Muriel CATON</td>
<td>Gonzalo MARAMBIO</td>
<td><a href="mailto:VEsustainablefinance@vigeo-eiris.com">VEsustainablefinance@vigeo-eiris.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**For more information, contact:**

**Rebecca SMITH**
Sustainability Consultant

**Muriel CATON**
Senior Advisor

**Gonzalo MARAMBIO**
Sustainability Consultant
Project Manager

---

**Disclaimer**

**Transparency on the relation between Vigeo Eiris and the Issuer**: Vigeo Eiris has executed three audit missions for Santander until now. No established relation (financial or commercial) exists between Vigeo Eiris and the Issuer. This opinion aims at providing an independent opinion on the sustainability credentials and management of the Bond, based on the information which has been made available to Vigeo Eiris. Vigeo Eiris has neither interviewed stakeholders out of the Issuer’s employees, nor performed an on-site audit nor other test to check the accuracy of the information provided by the Issuer. The accuracy, comprehensiveness and trustworthiness of the information collected are a responsibility of the Issuer. The Issuer is fully responsible for attesting the compliance with its commitments defined in its policies, for their implementation and their monitoring. The opinion delivered by Vigeo Eiris neither focuses on the financial performance of the Bond, nor on the effective allocation of its proceeds. Vigeo Eiris is not liable for the induced consequences when third parties use this opinion either to make investments decisions or to make any kind of business transaction.

**Restriction on distribution and use of this opinion**: The deliverables remain the property of Vigeo Eiris. The draft version of the Second Party Opinion by Vigeo Eiris is for information purpose only and shall not be disclosed by the client. Vigeo Eiris grants the Issuer all rights to use the final version of the Second Party Opinion delivered for external use via any media that the Issuer shall determine in a worldwide perimeter. The Issuer has the right to communicate to the outside only the Second Party Opinion complete and without any modification, that is to say without making selection, withdrawal or addition, without altering it in any way, either in substance or in the form and shall only be used in the frame of the contemplated concerned bond(s) issuance. The Issuer acknowledges and agrees that Vigeo Eiris reserves the right to publish the final version of the Second Party Opinion on Vigeo Eiris’ website and on Vigeo Eiris’ internal and external communication supporting documents.

---

⁸ https://www.santander.com
DETAILED RESULTS

Part I. ISSUERS

Banco Santander S.A., founded in 1856, together with its subsidiaries, provides various retail and commercial banking products and services for individual and corporate clients worldwide. The Bank is headquartered in Madrid, Spain.

Level of ESG performance

Santander’s ESG performance was assessed through a complete process of rating and benchmark.

As of February 2020 (date of the last rating cycle for the company), Santander’s displays an advanced ESG performance. Santander ranks 5th in our Diversified Banks sector, which covers 31 banks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Opinion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Santander’s performance in the Environment pillar is advanced.</td>
<td>Advanced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Bank has made a formalised commitment to environmental protection that addresses all its responsibilities in its “General Sustainability Policy and Change and Environmental Management Policy”. Santander has set targets for the 2018-2021 period, such as: Purchase 60% of its electricity from renewable sources in all countries where it is possible to do so, reduce total emission by 1.8%, reduce energy consumption by 3.3% taking 2018 as baseline. Also, Santander plans to raise EUR 120 billion funding by 2025 and EUR 220 billion by 2030 in green finance to help tackling climate issues. The Bank also has a formal commitment to support a low carbon economy and to integrate environmental issues in its financing activities, and discloses policies on sensitive sectors. The Bank has sectorial policies (defence, energy—including nuclear energy, soft commodities, mining and metals) that apply to all transactions concerning lending, equity and wholesale banking advisory services, insurance and asset management. The corporate risk units are responsible for reviewing and approving the environmental risks of the projects in accordance to the Group’s policies and the Equator Principles. Santander SA is a signatory of the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investment and the Equator Principles III. Santander has participated in the Paris Agreement Capital Transition Assessment (PACTA) pilot lead by the 2º Investment Initiative, along with 16 other international banks. The PACTA pilot is in line with Santander becoming signatory to the Collective Commitment on Climate Action (CCCA) in the frame of the United Nations Environmental Programme for Financial Institutions (UNEP-FI) Principles for Responsible Banking. In addition, Santander is part of the Banking Environmental Initiative (BEI) project, “Bank 2030”, that aims to uncover what opportunities there are for banks to accelerate the financing of the reduction in greenhouse gas emissions. Santander has implemented processes to systematically consider the climate risks of its financed projects. In addition, it monitors and publicly reports on the climate related risks it faces and/or on the CO₂ emissions of its portfolio. Santander developed a methodology to annually calculate the emissions avoided through its financing activity. Also, Santander participates in the UNEP-FI initiative to develop a pilot project to implement the recommendations of the TCFD (Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosure).</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>Santander’s performance in the Social pillar is advanced.</td>
<td>Advanced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Santander’s performance in the Human Rights domain is advanced, with formalized commitments to respect and promote human rights, freedom of association, the right to collective bargaining and non-discrimination included in its Human Rights Policy and in its General Code of Conduct. To prevent discrimination and promote diversity, significant measures such as affirmative action programmes, maternity/paternity pay, and flexitime initiatives are in place. With the assistance of the Responsible Banking, Sustainability and Culture (RBSCC) committee, the Board of Directors fulfils its responsibilities with respect to culture issues and inclusion. In addition, Santander has created a Global Executive Working Group and a global network of experts to manage and promote diversity &amp; inclusion and has set targets such as eliminating the gender pay gaps by 2025 and increasing the percentage of women in executive position to 30% by 2025. The Bank has also developed policies</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
determining the requirements for providing finance to sectors, activities or potential clients that pose special social or human rights risks and excluding business relations with sectors violating human rights. In its Sustainability Policy, Santander refers to the rights of Indigenous people and its mining and metals policy gives special attention to activities that involve the resettlement of indigenous people and/or other vulnerable groups. The Bank's performance in the Human Resources domain is good. Santander has established a European Works Council and signed a joint declaration aiming at ensuring responsible labour relations and sustainable sale of financial products. Regarding management of restructurings, the Bank reports having implemented significant measures such as early retirement, outplacement services, internal mobility programmes and financial compensation measures to limit the impact of reorganisations, but has not been able to avoid layoffs. In merger processes, merger protocols are negotiated with trade union representatives to ensure dialogue with workers during the different stages of a restructuring process. In terms of career management, Santander has issued a formal commitment although it is not publicly available. Individual development plans, training programs and performance assessments are available to all the company's employees. To improve the health and safety of its personnel, the Bank has established in its General Sustainability Policy and its Occupational Risk Policy, measures to prevent occupational disease and address issues such as stress at work and absenteeism, a situation that has decreased over the past year.

Santander's performance in the Community Involvement domain is good. The Bank has formalised its commitment to local social and economic development in its General Sustainability Policy, which is monitored by its Sustainability Committee and includes actions to support SMEs, micro-credits, sustainable investments and cooperation with NGOs, however, the Bank provides limited information on actions to mitigate the potential negative effects on local development from client tax advisory services. The Bank also cooperates with stakeholders to spread accessibility on financial literacy and has implemented additional efforts to increase financial inclusion such as a micro-credit programme for disadvantaged communities, preventing financial distress.

Santander discloses relevant commitments and some measures to inform and manage customers’ relations responsibly and there is a plan, budget and training to ensure cyber security and to protect key information assets. A Cyber Security Committee and a global CISO that reports directly to the CEO and to the Board has been created. As for the Banks' commitment to inform customers responsibly, the Bank address its main responsibilities in its General Code of Conduct and in its General Sustainability Policy, setting up some measures for customers to be informed properly at the moment of taking financial decisions. Also, the Products and Services Commercialisation Committee is in charge of preventing the inappropriate distribution of products and services and to ensure the protection of customers by validating products and services.

---

**Governance**

Santander’s performance in the Governance pillar is advanced. Most Board members are considered independent (66%) and the roles of Chairman and CEO are separated. Its diversity is considered advanced with 40% female directors. Furthermore, Santander SA has a Responsible Banking, Sustainability and Culture Committee chaired by the CEO that assists the Board on CSR issues. ESG issues are integrated at governance level, in terms of Board responsibilities, internal controls and remuneration policies. ESG performance objectives are considered in the determination of variable remuneration of senior executives, but information on performance targets is not disclosed.

The audit and internal control system in place cover the standard issues related to financial, operational, and legal risks. Its internal control system covers most of the CSR risks inherent to the company's business operations: Business ethics, Data security, Environmental & Climate Change risks, Social & Human Rights risks. Moreover, measures for the supervision of material risk-takers are in place and disclosed. Santander appears to have strong processes dedicated to managing ESG risks.

The Bank communicates on systems in place to prevent corruption and money laundering. It is a founding member of the Wolfsburg Group, whose purpose is to establish international standards that increase the effectiveness of programmes to combat money laundering and the financing of terrorism in the financial community. Santander's relationship with the public authorities is limited to registration on the EU Transparency Register and to information on lobbying activities and approval procedures for gifts.
Stakeholders-related ESG controversies

The banking sector is particularly subject to ESG controversies, especially regarding business ethics issues.

As of today, Santander is involved in 21 ESG controversies, related to four of the six domains analysed by Vigeo Eiris:

- Environment, namely “Green products and SRI” and “Climate change”.
- Community Involvement, namely “Social and economic development”.
- Business Behaviour, namely “Information to customers”, “Responsible Customer Relations”, “Corruption and money laundering”, and “Prevention of anti-competitive practices”.
- Corporate Governance, namely “Internal controls & risk management”.

Frequency: On average, the controversies are considered frequent, ranging from isolated to persistent, in line with the sector average.

Severity: The severity of their impact on both the company and its stakeholders is considered overall high, ranging from minor to high, in line with the sector average.

Responsiveness: Santander is overall reactive to the controversies, in line with the sector average.

Involvement in controversial activities

Santander is not involved in any of the other 17 controversial activities analysed by Vigeo Eiris namely: Alcohol, Animal welfare, Cannabis, Chemicals of concern, Civilian firearms, Fossil Fuels industry, Coal, Gambling, Genetic engineering, High interest rate lending, Human Embryonic Stem Cells, Military, Nuclear power, Pornography, Reproductive medicine, Tar sands and oil shale, and Tobacco.

The controversial activities research provides screening on companies to identify involvement in business activities that are subject to philosophical or moral beliefs. The information does not suggest any approval or disapproval on their content from Vigeo Eiris.
Part II. PROGRAMME

Coherence of the issuance

Context note: As the global economy’s largest sector by market capitalization, banks have a great potential to support society’s transition to a low carbon and sustainable economy. Their actions are key in the promotion of effective solutions for the development of sustainable finance products and services, and the minimization of the negative impact of their investments and activities on the environment, people and society. The banking sector can effectively contribute to these challenges by integrating ESG factors in their financing operations and by mobilizing the resources needed to close the financial gap, estimated around USD 1.5 trillion per year, to meet the objective of the Paris Agreement to limit global temperature increase to below 2°C.

Moreover, the banking sector can effectively contribute to financial inclusion and the reduction of inequalities by ensuring the accessibility of its products and services to a large majority of customers including the most vulnerable ones (low income population, young people etc.).

We are of the opinion that the Global Sustainable Bonds Framework is coherent with Santander’s publicly disclosed strategic sustainability priorities and with its main sector’s sustainability issues, and that it contributes to achieve its sustainability commitments.

The Issuers appear to acknowledge their key role in providing solutions to support society’s transition to a low carbon and sustainable economy. The Group Sustainability Policy is based on several international Conventions, such as the Equator Principles (signatory since 2009), the United Nations Global Compact, the Principles of Responsible Banking (from the United Nations Environmental Programme for Financial Institutions), and the Paris Climate Agreement. Santander has developed products and services to minimize the impact of its investments on climate change and help financing environmental projects, and offers accessible banking products to its customers. More specifically

- Santander has created sectorial policies formalizing its approach to social and environmental risks in the following sectors: defence, energy, mining & metals, and soft commodities (e.g. palm oil, soil and timber). Each sectorial policy describes prohibited or restricted financing activities. The Energy Sector Policy regulates energy customers and projects financing, particularly regarding oil & natural gas, coal, nuclear, hydroelectric and bioenergy. The Policy explicitly sets the list of Santander’s exclusion activities.

- Santander has formalised a Climate Change Policy, which is integrated in the Sustainability Policy. This Policy has been converted into action with the creation of two specific task forces:
  - Climate Finance Task Force, which objective is to identify the business’ risks and opportunities of climate change and low-carbon economy, and to define the Bank’s strategy and position regarding climate change.
  - Social, Environmental and Reputational Risk Task Force, which objective is to assess the reputational risks stemming from any financial transaction with a social or environmental impact and to issue non-binding recommendations to the relevant decision-making body.

- In July 2019, Santander launched ten responsible banking commitments, including commitments for green finance. The specific targets include:
  - To raise or facilitate the mobilization of 120Bn euros between 2019 and 2025, and 220Bn euros between 2019 and 2030 in green finance to help tackle climate change
  - To financially empower 10 million people between 2019 and 2025
  - Fund 200 000 scholarships, internships and entrepreneurs programmes between 2019 and 2021

By creating a Framework to issue Green, Social and Sustainable Bonds aiming to finance and refinance green, social and sustainable projects and assets, the Issuers coherently respond to their commitment to facilitate the transition to a low-carbon economy, fight against climate change and promote inclusive economy, as well as they align to the main issues of its sector in terms of environmental and social responsibility.

---

9 General Sustainability Policy and Sectorial Policies (defence, energy and soft commodities) available on Santander’s website https://www.santander.com/en/our-approach
Use of proceeds

The net proceeds of the Bonds will exclusively finance or refinance, in full or in part, Eligible Projects and/or Assets falling under Green, Social and Sustainable categories (“Eligible Categories”). We consider that the Eligible Categories are partially defined in the Global Sustainable Bonds Framework. However, Santander has committed that its Eligible Categories will be clearly defined before each issuance in specific Bonds Frameworks (or “Annex”). Santander commits to obtaining a dedicated Second Party Opinion for each of the new categories issued within its Global Sustainable Bonds Framework.

The net proceeds will finance the following types of bonds:

- **Green Bonds**: loans aimed at addressing environmental challenges, including categories such as Energy efficiency; Renewable energy; Sustainable Water Management and Sustainable Waste Management.
- **Social Bonds**: loans aimed at addressing social challenges, including categories such as Healthcare, Education, SME financing and Affordable housing.
- **Sustainable Bonds instruments**: where the proceeds will be exclusively applied to finance or re-finance new or existing Green or Social projects.

Santander’s eligible assets are or will be located in countries where the Bank operates within Europe, the United States and Latin America.

The environmental and social benefits of the Eligible Categories will also be defined, assessed and quantified by Santander before each issuance in specific Bonds Frameworks, and will be subject to an external verification. In the case of Social or Sustainable Bonds, Santander commits to define the target population of social projects before each issuance.

Santander commits that the refinancing share of the Eligible Projects/Assets will not exceed 50% of the funds during the term of the bonds that will be issued. Santander will provide further information before each issuance in the specific Bonds Frameworks. Santander commits to respect a common look-back period of maximum 3-calendar years (from the issuance)\(^9\) for each Bond issued within its Global Sustainable Bonds Framework in line with market practices.

The Eligible Categories are likely to contribute to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (“SDGs”). These contributions will be assessed by Santander for each of the dedicated Bonds Frameworks included in Santander’s Sustainable Bonds Framework.

Process for Projects/Assets evaluation and selection

The governance and the process for the Projects/Assets’ evaluation and selection are formalized in the Global Sustainable Bonds Framework. We consider that the process is reasonably structured, transparent and relevant.

The process for the evaluation and selection of Eligible Projects/Assets is clearly defined and formalized in Santander’s Global Sustainable Bonds Framework.

- The process for evaluation and selection of Eligible Projects/Assets is based on relevant internal and external expertise with well-defined roles and responsibilities:
  - Santander has established a Sustainable Bond Steering Group (“Steering Group”), integrated by senior directors and managers from the Financial Management (IR and Funding), Sustainability, Risk and Corporate and Investment Banking business units. The Steering Group is responsible for the review and validation of the Eligible Projects/Assets, for assuring their compliance with the Global Sustainable Bonds and Green Bonds Frameworks, validating the annual reporting and related documents, reviewing the appropriate external independent auditors’ report and monitoring on-going evolution of the market practices.
  - Once a project is identified, based on internal guidelines and policies, business units perform relevant due diligences reports, credit applications, financial models, information memorandum and feasibility reports. The technical, legal, insurance, model audit and tax and market due diligences are carried out by external consultants. After approval from risk departments, the Steering Group will decide the green, social or sustainable nature of the project and its compliance with the Programme and the dedicated Bonds Frameworks and the project/asset will be included in Santander’s portfolio.
  - Social, Environmental and Reputational Risk and task forces have been created in the main geographies where Santander operates. Their responsibility is to undergo environmental and social (E&S) analysis, to ensure the compliance of all Projects/Assets with the due diligence process, Equator Principles and Santander’s sustainability policies.

---

\(^9\)Santander considers for refinancing its existing loans of Eligible Assets on Santander’s balance sheet at the date of issuance (loans signed from 1\(^{st}\) January of the year “N-3” to 31\(^{st}\) December of year “N-1”, for any Green Bond issued in the year of issuance (N) )
- The traceability and verification of the selection and evaluation of Eligible Projects and/or Assets is ensured throughout the process;
- The Steering Group will meet twice per year, to verify if all Eligible Projects/Assets continue to comply with the eligibility criteria. Minutes of each meeting will be created to ensure decision traceability. In case an asset fails to comply with the specific Bonds Framework or with the Global Sustainable Bonds Framework, the Steering Group will be responsible for replacing it for an alternative Eligible Project/Asset;
- An independent third party will verify the compliance with the selection process and criteria.

The process is based on explicit and relevant eligibility (selection and exclusion) criteria, although the exclusion criteria are partially defined.

- The eligibility requirements are based on the Eligible Categories’ definitions specified in the Framework, which will be further specified in each dedicated Bonds Framework.
- Eligible Projects/Assets must comply with Santander’s General Sustainability Policies, the Equator Principles and the UN Global Compact.
- Eligible Projects/Assets are only located in countries where the Bank operates within Europe, the United States and Latin America.
- Santander’ Sustainable Bond Steering Group may exclude any Eligible Project/Asset involved in social and/or environmental controversies, which can include topics such as corruption allegations or violations of environmental and social requirements within projects. An area of improvement is to further define what would qualify as a social and environmental controversy in the Global Sustainable Bonds Framework.

The process for the identification and management of environmental and social risks associated at Framework level is considered to be good. Santander will include additional selection criteria and due diligence processes depending on the nature and typology of the new project categories and sub-categories under the Framework, and these will be assessed before each issuance.

- Santander has formalized due diligence processes, structured in a three lines of defence model, to assess and control the environmental and social (E&S) risks associated with the Eligible Assets categories:
  - Compliance with the Equator Principles (EP) is the guiding standard of the E&S analysis and embedded in Santander’s credit transaction process.
  - Santander has a multidisciplinary working group, in charge of the issues related to E&S criteria integration into credit transaction processes, monitoring of Equator Principles implementation and fostering and monitoring of the working groups and international initiatives in which the Bank is involved or adhered to.
  - A preliminary EP generic questionnaire is completed by the Project Finance business units to establish the project’s E&S risks and present it to the risk units. Assets classified as being of the greatest risk (categories A and B) are required to fill out sector-specific questionnaires, and E&S due diligence is performed by independent external advisors (except for projects in high-income OECD countries).
  - For some operations, Santander reports that action and E&S impact mitigation plans are demanded and the monitoring and compliance during the construction of the financed projects is reinforced.
- Santander will include new projects selection criteria and due diligence process depending on the nature and typology of the new project categories and sub-categories, which will be defined under specific Bonds Frameworks.

An area of improvement includes to involve external experts in the process for EP classification of all assets, including those categorized as low risk (C).

Management of proceeds

The rules for the management of proceeds are clearly defined and will be verified. We consider that they would enable a documented and overall transparent allocation process.

The allocation and tracking processes are clearly defined:

- The net proceeds of the Bonds will be credited to the Bank’s treasury account, and incorporated into its liquidity pool, in accordance with Santander’s normal liquidity management policy. Santander reports that it will ensure that an amount of funding equivalent to that raised through the Bonds will be used to fund outstanding qualifying projects.
- Santander has committed to allocate all the proceeds to Eligible Assets at settlement or, within 36 months thereof\(^1\),

- Unallocated proceeds will be kept in the Bank’s liquidity reserve, composed of cash and Spanish Government securities, securitizations and covered bonds, and will not be invested in loans to clients who participate in activities explicitly excluded from the Bank’s regular lending policies. An area of improvement is committing that the temporary placements and instruments for unallocated proceeds do not finance GHG intensive activities, controversial activities, or activities facing material ESG issues.

- In case of divestment, if an asset fails to ensure continued compliance with the eligibility criteria, or if early repayment of a loan occurs, Santander has committed to replace the Project/Asset with another one respecting the eligibility criteria within 12 months in line with good market practices. The traceability and verification are ensured throughout the process:

- The allocation of funds to Eligible Projects/Assets will be appropriately tracked by the Sustainable Bond Steering Group twice a year, using a relevant internal system. The Sustainable Bond Steering Group will ensure that a portfolio of Eligible Assets is equivalent to the Bonds’ outstanding amounts is maintained at all times.

- An ad hoc report regarding eventual changes in the Bonds will be made available to investors and there will be an external verification of compliance with this Global Sustainable Bonds Framework.

- In addition, an independent third party will verify the tracking and allocation of funds from the Bonds proceeds once a year until full allocation. Moreover, depending on the level of changes within the management of proceeds, Santander commits to conducting a yearly evaluation by an independent second party opinion provider.

**Monitoring & Reporting**

The reporting process and commitments appear to be good regarding the funds allocation and limited regarding environmental and social benefits. However, Santander commits to define and report on dedicated indicators for each Category (earmarked by each bond), as well as to obtain an external assessment.

The process for monitoring, data collection, consolidation and reporting is defined in the Framework and internal documentation. Santander is committed to provide further information on this process in specific Bonds Frameworks, when pertinent.

The process is structured and based on relevant internal expertise and involves relevant departments of Santander:

- Relevant employees across Santander’s Project Finance business units will be responsible for collecting and transmitting environmental and social information to the Sustainable Bond Steering Group, who will be responsible for monitoring the Eligible Projects/Assets.

- Santander’s Funding business unit will track and report on proceeds disbursements to the Sustainable Bond Steering Group, until all net proceeds are allocated.

- The Sustainable Bond Steering Group will consolidate all the data and transfer it to the Sustainability business unit, who will be responsible for creating the Sustainable Bonds’ impact reporting, which will be included in the annual corporate Sustainability Report.

Santander commits to annually and transparently report on the Bonds through the Sustainability Report ("Report"), until maturity date and later in the case of any material change. The reporting will be at Category Level earmarked to each Bond. The Report will be publicly available in Santander’s Website\(^2\).

- Allocation of proceeds: the selected reporting indicators are relevant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allocation indicators (common to all Bonds)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- List of assets (Green, Social, Sustainable).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Main characteristics of Eligible Projects/Assets (location, operational status, outstanding exposure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Amount of proceeds allocated to each Eligible project/Assets (earmarked to each bond).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Unallocated proceeds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Share of re-financing vs. new financing</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Santander considers for look forward period its loans of Eligible Assets portfolio signed between 1\(^{st}\) January of year ‘N’(year of issuance) until 31\(^{st}\) December of year “N+2”\(^2\) www.santander.com
Santander is committed to report information on the environmental and social benefits (outputs and impacts) of the Eligible categories and sub-categories, to be defined in the specific Bonds Frameworks, which will be subject to an external review and to separate Second Party Opinions. Santander has committed to report on the environmental and social benefits for each of the Bonds issuances.

Key methodologies and assumptions used to calculate the environmental and social benefits (outputs and impacts) will be based on international standards, and disclosed by Santander in its annual Sustainable Bonds’ reports.

The financial, environmental and social data included in the Reports will be annually verified by an external auditor.
METHODOLOGY

In Vigeo Eiris’ view, Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG) factors are intertwined and complementary. As such they cannot be separated in the assessment of ESG management in any organization, activity or transaction. In this sense, Vigeo Eiris writes an opinion on the Issuer’s Corporate Social Responsibility as an organization, and on the process and commitments applying to the intended issuance.

Vigeo Eiris’ methodology for the definition and assessment of the corporation’s ESG performance is based on criteria aligned with public international standards, in compliance with the ISO 26000 guidelines, and is organized in 6 domains: Environment, Human Resources, Human Rights, Community Involvement, Business Behaviour and Corporate Governance. Our evaluation framework of the material ESG issues have been adapted, based on the specificities of the Issuers’ business activity.

Our research and rating procedures are subject to internal quality control at three levels (analysts, heads of cluster sectors, and internal review by the audit department for second party opinions) complemented by a final review and validation by the Director of Methods. Our SPO are also subject to internal quality control at three levels (consultants in charge of the mission, Production Manager, and final review and validation by the Director of Sustainable Finance and/or the Director of Methods. A right of complaint and recourse is guaranteed to all companies under our review, following three levels: first, the team in contact with the company, then the Director of Methods, and finally Vigeo Eiris’ Scientific Council.

All employees are signatories of Vigeo Eiris’ Code of Conduct, and all consultants have also signed its add-on covering financial rules of confidentiality.

Part I. ISSUER

NB: The Issuer performance, i.e., commitments, processes, results of the Issuer, related to ESG issues have been assessed through a complete process of rating and benchmark developed by Vigeo Eiris Rating.

Level of the Issuer’s ESG performance

Santander’s ESG performance has been assessed by Vigeo Eiris on the basis of its:

- **Leadership**: relevance of the commitments (content, visibility and ownership).
- **Implementation**: coherence of the implementation (process, means, control/reporting).
- **Results**: indicators, stakeholders' feedbacks and controversies.

*Scale for assessment of ESG performance: Advanced, Good, Limited, Weak.*

Stakeholder-related ESG controversies

A controversy is an information, a flow of information, or a contradictory opinion that is public, documented and traceable, allegation against an Issuer on corporate responsibility issues. Such allegations can relate to tangible facts, be an interpretation of these facts, or constitute an allegation based on unproven facts.

Vigeo Eiris reviewed information provided by the Issuer, press content providers and stakeholders (partnership with Factiva Dow Jones: access to the content of 28,500 publications worldwide from reference financial newspapers to sector-focused magazines, local publications or Non-Government Organizations). Information gathered from these sources is considered as long as it is public, documented and traceable.

Vigeo Eiris provides an opinion on companies’ controversies risks mitigation based on the analysis of 3 factors:

- **Frequency**: reflects for each ESG challenge the number of controversies faced. At corporate level, this factor reflects on the overall number of controversies faced and scope of ESG issues impacted (scale: Isolated, Occasional, Frequent, Persistent).
- **Severity**: the more a controversy will relate to stakeholders’ fundamental interests, will prove actual corporate responsibility in its occurrence, and will have adverse impacts for stakeholders and the company, the highest its severity. Severity assigned at corporate level will reflect the highest severity of all cases faced by the company (scale: Minor, Significant, High, Critical).
- **Responsiveness**: ability demonstrated by an Issuer to dialogue with its stakeholders in a risk management perspective and based on explanatory, preventative, remediating or corrective measures. At corporate level, this factor will reflect the overall responsiveness of the company for all cases faced (scale: Proactive, Remediate, Reactive, Non-Communicative).

The impact of a controversy on a company's reputation reduces with time, depending on the severity of the event and the company's responsiveness to this event. Conventionally, Vigeo Eiris' controversy database covers any controversy with Minor or Significant severity during 24 months after the last event registered and during 48 months for High and Critical controversies.
Involvement in controversial activities

17 controversial activities have been analysed following 30 parameters to verify if the company is involved in any of them. The company’s level of involvement (Major, Minor, No) in a controversial activity is based on:
- An estimation of the revenues derived from controversial products or services.
- The specific nature of the controversial products or services provided by the company.

**Part II. PROGRAMME**

*The Framework has been evaluated by Vigeo Eiris according to the GBP & SBP 2018 and on our methodology based on international standards and sector guidelines applying in terms of ESG management and assessment.*

**Use of proceeds**

The definition of the Eligible Projects and of its sustainable objectives and benefits are a core element of Green/Social/Sustainable Bonds or Loans standards. Vigeo Eiris evaluates the definition of the Eligible Categories, as well as the definition and the relevance of the aimed sustainability objectives. We evaluate the definition of the expected benefits in terms of assessment and quantification. In addition, we evaluate the potential contribution of Eligible Projects to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals’ targets.

**Process for Projects/Assets evaluation and selection**

The evaluation and selection process has been assessed by Vigeo Eiris on its transparency, governance and relevance. The eligibility criteria have been assessed on their explicitness and relevance vs. the intended objectives of the Eligible Projects. The identification and management of the ESG risks associated with the Eligible Projects are analysed based Vigeo Eiris’ ESG assessment methodology, international standards and sector guidelines applying in terms of ESG management and assessment.

**Management of proceeds**

The rules for the management of proceeds and the allocation process have been evaluated by Vigeo Eiris regarding their transparency, coherence and efficiency.

**Reporting**

Monitoring process and commitments, reporting commitments, reporting indicators and methodologies are defined by the Issuer to enable transparent reporting on the proceeds allocation and tracking, on the sustainable benefits (output and impact indicators) and on the responsible management of the Eligible Projects financed. Vigeo Eiris has evaluated the reporting based on its transparency and relevance.

**VIGEO EIRIS’ ASSESSMENT SCALES**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Performance evaluation</th>
<th>Level of assurance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advanced</strong></td>
<td>Level of assurance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advanced commitment; strong</td>
<td>Able to convincingly conform to the prescribed principles and objectives of the evaluation framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>evidence of command over the</td>
<td>Reasonable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>issues dedicated to achieving</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the objective of social</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>responsibility. Reasonable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>level of risk management and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>using innovative methods to</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>anticipate emerging risks.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Good</strong></td>
<td>Compatibility or partial convergence with the prescribed principles and objectives of the evaluation framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convincing commitment; significant and consistent evidence of command over the issues. Reasonable level of risk management.</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Limited</strong></td>
<td>Lack or unawareness of, or incompatibility with the prescribed principles and objectives of the evaluation framework</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment to the objective of social responsibility has been initiated or partially achieved; fragmentary evidence of command over the issues. Limited to weak level of risk management.</td>
<td>Weak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weak</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment to social responsibility is non-tangible; no evidence of command over the issues. Level of insurance of risk management is weak to very weak.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Vigeo Eiris is an independent international provider of environmental, social and governance (ESG) research and services for investors and public & private organizations. We undertake risk assessments and evaluate the level of integration of sustainability factors within the strategy and operations of organizations.

Vigeo Eiris offers a wide range of services:

- **For investors:** decision making support covering all sustainable and ethical investment approaches (including ratings, databases, sector analyses, portfolio analyses, structured products, indices and more).

- **For companies & organizations:** supporting the integration of ESG criteria into business functions and strategic operations (including sustainable bonds, corporate ratings, CSR evaluations and more).

Vigeo Eiris is committed to delivering client products and services with high added value: a result of research and analysis that adheres to the strictest quality standards. Our methodology is reviewed by an independent scientific council and all our production processes, from information collection to service delivery, are documented and audited. Vigeo Eiris has chosen to certify all its processes to the latest ISO 9001 standard. Vigeo Eiris is an approved verifier for CBI (Climate Bond Initiative). Vigeo Eiris’ research is referenced in several international scientific publications.

With a team of more than 240 experts of 28 different nationalities, Vigeo Eiris is present in Paris, London, Brussels, Casablanca, Hong Kong, Milan, New York, Rabat and Santiago de Chile.

The Vigeo Eiris Global Network, comprising 4 exclusive research partners, is present in Brazil, Germany, Israel and Japan.

For more information: [www.vigeo-eiris.com](http://www.vigeo-eiris.com)